FREE ALGEBRAS IN VARIETIES OF BL-ALGEBRAS GENERATED BY A BL_n-CHAIN

MANUELA BUSANICHE[™] and ROBERTO CIGNOLI

(Received 27 November 2003; revised 17 March 2005)

Communicated by B. A. Davey

Abstract

Free algebras with an arbitrary number of free generators in varieties of BL-algebras generated by one BL-chain that is an ordinal sum of a finite MV-chain L_n and a generalized BL-chain B are described in terms of weak Boolean products of BL-algebras that are ordinal sums of subalgebras of L_n and free algebras in the variety of basic hoops generated by B. The Boolean products are taken over the Stone spaces of the Boolean subalgebras of idempotents of free algebras in the variety of MV-algebras generated by L_n .

2000 Mathematics subject classification: primary 03G25, 03B50, 03B52, 03D35, 03G25, 08B20. Keywords and phrases: BL-algebras, residuated lattices, hoops, Moisil algebras, free algebras, Boolean products.

Introduction

Basic Fuzzy Logic (BL for short) was introduced by Hájek (see [19] and the references given there) to formalize fuzzy logics in which the conjunction is interpreted by a continuous t-norm on the real segment [0, 1] and the implication by its corresponding adjoint. He also introduced BL-algebras as the algebraic counterpart of these logics. BL-algebras form a variety (or equational class) of residuated lattices [19]. More precisely, they can be characterized as *bounded basic hoops* [1, 7]. Subvarieties of the variety of BL-algebras are in correspondence with axiomatic extensions of BL. Important examples of subvarieties of BL-algebras are MV-algebras (that correspond to Łukasiewicz many-valued logics, see [14]), linear Heyting algebras (that correspond to the superintuitionistic logic characterized by the axiom $(P \Rightarrow Q) \lor (Q \Rightarrow P)$, see [25] for a historical account about this logic), PL-algebras (that correspond to the

^{© 2006} Australian Mathematical Society 1446-7887/06 \$A2.00 + 0.00

logic determined by the t-norm given by the ordinary product on [0, 1], see [15]), and also Boolean algebras (that correspond to classical logic).

Since the propositions under BL equivalence form a free BL-algebra, descriptions of free algebras in terms of functions give concrete representations of these propositions. Such descriptions are known for some subvarieties of BL-algebras. The best known example is the representation of classical propositions by Boolean functions. Free MV-algebras have been described in terms of continuous piecewise linear functions by McNaughton [22] (see also [14]). Finitely generated free linear Heyting algebras were described by Horn [20], and a description of finitely generated free PL-algebras was given in [15]. Linear Heyting algebras and PL-algebras are examples of varieties of BL-algebras satisfying the *Boolean retraction property*. Free algebras in these varieties were completely described in [17].

In [10] the first author described the finitely generated free algebras in the varieties of BL-algebras generated by a single BL-chain which is an ordinal sum of a finite MV-chain L_n and a generalized BL-chain **B**. We call these chains BL_n -chains. The aim of this paper is to extend the results of [10] considering the case of infinitely many free generators. The results of [10] were heavily based on the fact that the Boolean subalgebras of finitely generated algebras in the varieties generated by BL_n -chains are finite. Therefore the methods of [10] cannot be applied to the general case.

As a preliminary step we characterize the Boolean algebra of idempotent elements of a free algebra in \mathcal{MV}_n , the variety of MV-algebras generated by the finite MV-chain \mathbf{L}_n . It is the free Boolean algebra over a poset which is the cardinal sum of chains of length n - 1. In the proof of this result a central role is played by the Moisil algebra reducts of algebras in \mathcal{MV}_n .

Free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras generated by a single BL_n -chain $L_n \uplus B$ are then described in terms of weak Boolean products of BL-algebras that are ordinal sums of subalgebras of L_n and free algebras in the variety of basic hoops generated by **B**. The Boolean products are taken over the Stone spaces of the Boolean algebras of idempotent elements of free algebras in \mathcal{MV}_n . An important intermediate step is the characterization of the variety of generalized BL-algebras generated by **B** (Corollary 3.5).

The paper is organized as follows. In the first section we recall, for further reference, some basic notions on BL-algebras and on the varieties \mathcal{MV}_n . We also recall some facts about the representation of free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras as weak Boolean products. The only new result is given in Theorem 1.5. In Section 2, after giving the necessary background on Moisil algebra reducts of algebras in \mathcal{MV}_n , we characterize the Boolean algebras of idempotent elements of free algebras in \mathcal{MV}_n . These results are used in Section 3 to give the mentioned description of free algebras in the varieties of BL-algebras generated by a BL_n-chain. Finally in Section 4 we give some examples and we compare our results with those of [10] and [17].

1. Preliminaries

1.1. BL-algebras: basic notions A *hoop* [7] is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = (A, *, \rightarrow, \top)$ of type (2, 2, 0), such that $(A, *, \top)$ is a commutative monoid and for all $x, y, z \in A$:

(1) $x \rightarrow x = \top$, (2) $x * (x \rightarrow y) = y * (y \rightarrow x)$,

(3) $x \to (y \to z) = (x * y) \to z$.

A basic hoop [1] or a generalized BL-algebra [18], is a hoop that satisfies the equation

(1.1)
$$(((x \to y) \to z) * ((y \to x) \to z)) \to z = \top.$$

It is shown in [1] that generalized BL-algebras can be characterized as algebras $\mathbf{A} = (A, \land, \lor, *, \rightarrow, \top)$ of type (2, 2, 2, 2, 0) such that

- (1) $(A, *, \top)$, is an commutative monoid,
- (2) $L(A) := (A, \land, \lor, \top)$, is a lattice with greatest element \top ,

(3)
$$x \to x = \top$$
,

(4)
$$x \to (y \to z) = (x * y) \to z$$
,

- (5) $x \wedge y = x * (x \rightarrow y)$,
- (6) $(x \to y) \lor (y \to x) = \top$.

A *BL*-algebra or bounded basic hoop is a bounded generalized BL-algebra, that is, it is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = (A, \land, \lor, *, \rightarrow, \bot, \top)$ of type (2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0) such that $(A, \land, \lor, *, \rightarrow, \top)$ is a generalized BL-algebra, and \bot is the lower bound of $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{A})$. In this case, we define the unary operation \neg by the equation $\neg x = x \rightarrow \bot$. The BL-algebra with only one element, that is, $\bot = \top$, is called the *trivial BL-algebra*. The varieties of BL-algebras and of generalized BL-algebras will be denoted by \mathcal{BL} and \mathcal{GBL} , respectively.

In every generalized BL-algebra A we denote by \leq the (partial) order defined on A by the lattice L(A), that is, for $a, b \in A, a \leq b$ if and only if $a = a \wedge b$ if and only if $b = a \vee b$. This order is called the *natural order* of A. When this natural order is total (that is, for each $a, b, \in A, a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$), we say that A is a *generalized BL-chain* (*BL-chain* in case A is a BL-algebra). The following theorem makes obvious the importance of BL-chains and can be easily derived from [19, Lemma 2.3.16].

THEOREM 1.1. Each BL-algebra is a subdirect product of BL-chains.

In every BL-algebra A we define a binary operation $x \oplus y = \neg(\neg x * \neg y)$. For each positive integer k, the operations x^k and kx are inductively defined as follows:

- (a) $x^1 = x$ and $x^{k+1} = x^k * x$,
- (b) 1x = x and $(k+1)x = (kx) \oplus x$.

MV-algebras, the algebras of Łukasiewicz infinite-valued logic, form a subvariety of \mathcal{BL} , which is characterized by the equation $\neg \neg x = x$ (see [19]). The variety of MV-algebras is denoted by \mathcal{MV} . Totally ordered MV-algebras are called *MV-chains*. For each BL-algebra **A**, the set

$$MV(\mathbf{A}) := \{x \in A : \neg \neg x = x\}$$

is the universe of a subalgebra MV(A) of A which is an MV-algebra (see [18]).

A *PL-algebra* is a BL-algebra that satisfies the two axioms:

(1) $(\neg \neg z * ((x * z) \rightarrow (y * z))) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = \top$,

(2)
$$x \wedge \neg x = \bot$$
.

PL-algebras correspond to product fuzzy logic, see [15] and [19].

It follows from Theorem 1.1 that for each BL-algebra A the lattice L(A) is distributive. The complemented elements of L(A) form a subalgebra B(A) of A which is a Boolean algebra. Elements of B(A) are called *Boolean elements* of A.

1.2. Implicative filters

DEFINITION 1.2. An *implicative filter* of a BL-algebra A is a subset $F \subseteq A$ satisfying the conditions

- (1) $\top \in F$.
- (2) If $x \in F$ and $x \to y \in F$, then $y \in F$.

An implicative filter is called *proper* provided that $F \neq A$. If W is a subset of a BL-algebra A, the implicative filter generated by W will be denoted by $\langle W \rangle$. If U is a filter of the Boolean subalgebra B(A), then the implicative filter $\langle U \rangle$ is called *Stone filter of* A. An implicative filter F of a BL-algebra A is called *maximal* if and only if it is proper and no proper implicative filter of A strictly contains F.

Implicative filters characterize congruences in BL-algebras. Indeed, if F is an implicative filter of a BL-algebra A it is well known (see [19, Lemma 2.3.14]), that the binary relation \equiv_F on A defined by

$$x \equiv_F y$$
 if and only if $x \to y \in F$ and $y \to x \in F$

is a congruence of A. Moreover, $F = \{x \in A : x \equiv_F \top\}$. Conversely, if \equiv is a congruence relation on A, then $\{x \in A : x \equiv \top\}$ is an implicative filter, and $x \equiv y$ if and only if $x \to y \equiv \top$ and $y \to x \equiv \top$. Therefore, the correspondence $F \mapsto \equiv_F$ is a bijection from the set of implicative filters of A onto the set of congruences of A.

LEMMA 1.3 (see [17]). Let A be a BL-algebra, and let F be a filter of **B**(A). Then $(\equiv_F) = \{(a, b) \in A \times A : a \land c = b \land c \text{ for some } c \in F\}$ is a congruence relation on A that coincides with the congruence relation given by the implicative filter $\langle F \rangle$ generated by F.

1.3. MV_n -algebras For $n \ge 2$, we define:

$$L_n = \left\{ \frac{0}{n-1}, \frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{2}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n-1} \right\}.$$

The set L_n equipped with the operations $x * y = \max(0, x + y - 1), x \rightarrow y = \min(1, 1 - x + y)$, and with $\perp = 0$ defines a finite MV-algebra, which shall be denoted by L_n . Clearly $B(L_n) = \{0, 1\}$.

A BL-algebra A is said to be *simple* provided it is nontrivial and the only proper implicative filter of A is the singleton $\{T\}$. In [14], it is proved that L_n is a simple MV-algebra for each integer n.

We shall denote by \mathcal{MV}_n the subvariety of \mathcal{MV} generated by \mathbf{L}_n . The elements of \mathcal{MV}_n are called \mathcal{MV}_n -algebras. A finite MV-chain \mathbf{L}_m belongs to \mathcal{MV}_n if and only if m - 1 is a divisor of n - 1. Therefore it is not hard to corroborate that every \mathcal{MV}_n -algebra is a subdirect product of a family of algebras ($\mathbf{L}_{m_i}, i \in I$) where $m_i - 1$ divides n - 1 for each $i \in I$.

It can be deduced from [14, Corollary 8.2.4 and Theorem 8.5.1] that \mathcal{MV}_n is the proper subvariety of \mathcal{MV} characterized by the equations

$$(\alpha_n) x^{(n-1)} = x^n$$

and if $n \ge 4$, for every integer p = 2, ..., n - 2 that does not divide n - 1

$$(\beta_n) \qquad (p x^{p-1})^n = n x^p.$$

If A is an MV_n -algebra, it is not hard to verify that for each $x \in A \setminus \{\top\}$, $x^n = \bot$ and for each $y \in A \setminus \{\bot\}$, $n y = \top$.

1.4. Ordinal sum and decomposition of BL-chains Let $\mathbf{R} = (R, *_{\mathbf{R}}, \rightarrow_{\mathbf{R}}, \top)$ and $\mathbf{S} = (S, *_{\mathbf{S}}, \rightarrow_{\mathbf{S}}, \top)$ be two hoops such that $R \cap S = \{\top\}$. Following [7] we can define the *ordinal sum* $\mathbf{R} \uplus \mathbf{S}$ of these two hoops as the hoop given by $(R \cup S, *, \rightarrow, \top)$ where the operations $(*, \rightarrow)$ are defined as follows:

$$x * y = \begin{cases} x *_{\mathbf{R}} y & \text{if } x, y \in R, \\ x *_{\mathbf{S}} y & \text{if } x, y \in S, \\ x & \text{if } x \in R \setminus \{\mathsf{T}\} \text{ and } y \in S, \\ y & \text{if } y \in R \setminus \{\mathsf{T}\} \text{ and } x \in S. \end{cases}$$
$$x \to y = \begin{cases} \top & \text{if } x \in R \setminus \{\mathsf{T}\}, y \in S, \\ x \to_{\mathbf{R}} y & \text{if } x, y \in R, \\ x \to_{\mathbf{S}} y & \text{if } x, y \in S, \\ y & \text{if } y \in R \setminus \{\mathsf{T}\} \text{ and } x \in S. \end{cases}$$

If $R \cap S \neq \{T\}$, **R** and **S** can be replaced by isomorphic copies whose intersection is $\{T\}$, thus their ordinal sum can be defined. When **R** is a generalized BL-chain and **S** is a generalized BL-algebra, the hoop resulting from their ordinal sum satisfies equation (1.1). Thus $\mathbf{R} \uplus \mathbf{S}$ is a generalized BL-algebra. Moreover, if **R** is a BL-chain, then $\mathbf{R} \uplus \mathbf{S}$ is a BL-algebra, where $\bot = \bot_{\mathbf{R}}$. If S is totally ordered it is obvious that the chain $\mathbf{R} \uplus \mathbf{S}$ is subdirectly irreducible if and only if **S** is subdirectly irreducible. Notice also that for any generalized BL-algebra \mathbf{S} , $\mathbf{L}_2 \uplus \mathbf{S}$ is the BL-algebra that arises from adjoining a bottom element to **S**.

Given a BL-algebra A, we can consider the set $D(A) := \{x \in A : \neg x = \bot\}$. It is shown in [18], that $D(A) = (D(A), \land, \lor, *, \rightarrow, \top)$ is a generalized BL-algebra.

THEOREM 1.4 (see [10]). For each BL-chain A, we have that $A \cong MV(A) \uplus D(A)$.

THEOREM 1.5. Let A be a BL-algebra such that $MV(A) \cong L_n$ for some integer n. Then $A \cong MV(A) \uplus D(A) \cong L_n \uplus D(A)$.

PROOF. From Theorem 1.1, we can think of each non trivial BL-algebra **A** as a subdirect product of a family $(\mathbf{A}_i, i \in I)$ of non trivial BL-chains, that is, there exists an embedding $e : \mathbf{A} \to \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i$, such that $\pi_i(e(\mathbf{A})) = \mathbf{A}_i$ for each $i \in I$, where π_i denotes each projection. We shall identify **A** with $e(\mathbf{A})$. Then each element of A is a tuple **x** and coordinate *i* is $x_i \in A_i$. With this notation we have that for each $\mathbf{x} \in A$, $\pi_i(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$. We will prove the following items:

(1) For each $i \in I$, $MV(A_i)$ is isomorphic to L_n .

Since for each $i \in I$, π_i is a homomorphism and $\pi_i(MV(\mathbf{A})) \subseteq A_i$, we have that $\pi_i(MV(\mathbf{A})) \subseteq MV(\mathbf{A}_i)$. Then $\pi_i(\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}))$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}_i)$. On the other hand, given $i \in I$, let $x_i \in MV(\mathbf{A}_i)$. Then $\neg \neg x_i = x_i$ and there exists an element $\mathbf{x} \in A$ such that $\pi_i(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$. Taking $\mathbf{y} = \neg \neg \mathbf{x} \in MV(\mathbf{A})$ we have that $\pi_i(\mathbf{y}) = x_i$ and $x_i \in \pi_i(MV(\mathbf{A}))$. Hence $MV(\mathbf{A}_i) \subseteq \pi_i(MV(\mathbf{A}))$.

In conclusion $MV(A_i) = \pi_i(MV(A)) = \pi_i(L_n) = L_n$, because L_n is simple.

(2) If $\mathbf{x} \in A$, then $\mathbf{x} \in MV(\mathbf{A}) \cup D(\mathbf{A})$.

Let $\mathbf{x} \in A$ and let $\mathbf{y} = n(\neg \mathbf{x})$. If $x_i \in L_n \setminus \{\top\}$, then $\neg x_i \in L_n \setminus \{\bot\}$. From equation (α_n) we obtain that $y_i = n(\neg x_i) = \top$. On the other hand, if $\neg x_i = \bot$, then $y_i = n(\neg x_i) = \bot$. Now let $\mathbf{z} = (\neg \neg \mathbf{x})^n$. If $x_i \in L_n \setminus \{\top\}$, then $z_i = \bot$, but if $\neg \neg x_i = \top$, then $z_i = \top$.

Suppose there exists $\mathbf{x} \in A$ such that $\mathbf{x} \notin MV(\mathbf{A})$ and $\mathbf{x} \notin D(\mathbf{A})$. It follows from Theorem 1.4 that for each $i \in I$, $\mathbf{A}_i = \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}_i) \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A}_i)$. Then there exist $i, j \in I$, such that $x_i \in MV(\mathbf{A}_i) \setminus \{\top\} = L_n \setminus \{\top\}$ and $x_j \in D(\mathbf{A}_j) \setminus \{\top\}$.

Let $\mathbf{y} = n(\neg \mathbf{x})$. Then $y_i = \top$, $y_j = \bot$, and $y_k \in \{\bot, \top\}$ for each $k \in I \setminus \{i, j\}$. Now let $\mathbf{z} = (\neg \neg \mathbf{x})^n$. We have that $z_j = \top$, $z_i = \bot$, and $z_k \in \{\bot, \top\}$ for each $k \in I \setminus \{i, j\}$. It follows that y and z are elements in the chain $MV(\mathbf{A}) = L_n$, which are not comparable, and this is a contradiction.

(3) If $\mathbf{x} \in MV(\mathbf{A}) \setminus \{\top\}$ and $\mathbf{y} \in D(\mathbf{A})$, then $\mathbf{x} < \mathbf{y}$.

The statement is clear if $x_i \in MV(\mathbf{A}_i) \setminus \{\top\}$ for every $i \in I$ or if $y_i = \top$ for each $i \in I$. Otherwise, suppose $x_i = \top$ for some $i \in I$. Since $\mathbf{x} \neq \top$, there must exist $j \in I$ such that $x_j \neq \top$. If $y_i = \top$ for each $i \in I$ such that $x_i = \top$, then $\mathbf{x} < \mathbf{y}$. If not, let $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} \land \mathbf{y}$. Since operations are coordinatewise, $z_j \in MV(\mathbf{A}_j) \setminus \{\top\}$ and $z_i \in D(\mathbf{A}_i) \setminus \{\top\}$, for some $i \in I$. Hence $\mathbf{z} \notin MV(\mathbf{A})$ and $\mathbf{z} \notin D(\mathbf{A})$, contradicting the previous item.

(4) If
$$\mathbf{x} \in MV(\mathbf{A}) \setminus \{\top\}$$
 and $\mathbf{y} \in D(\mathbf{A})$, then $\mathbf{y} \to \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y} * \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$.

Since $\neg \mathbf{y} = \bot$ we have that

$$y \to x = y \to \neg \neg x = y \to (\neg x \to \bot) = \neg x \to (y \to \bot)$$
$$= \neg x \to \bot = \neg \neg x = x,$$

and

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \land \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \ast (\mathbf{y} \to \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y} \ast \mathbf{x}.$$

From the previous items it follows that $A \cong MV(A) \uplus D(A) = L_n \uplus D(A)$.

1.5. Free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras generated by a BL_n-chain Recall that an algebra **A** in a variety \mathcal{K} is said to be *free over a set* Y if and only if for every algebra **C** in \mathcal{K} and every function $f : Y \to \mathbf{C}$, f can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism of **A** into **C**. Given a variety \mathcal{K} of algebras, we denote by $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ the free algebra in \mathcal{K} over X. As mentioned in the introduction, we define a BL_n -chain as a BL-chain that is an ordinal sum of the MV-chain \mathbf{L}_n and a generalized BL-chain. Once we fixed the generalized BL-chain **B**, we study the free algebra $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$, where \mathcal{V} is the variety of BL-algebras generated by the BL_n-chain

$$\mathbf{T}_n := \mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{B}.$$

Notice that $MV(\mathbf{T}_n) \cong \mathbf{L}_n$ and if $x \notin MV(\mathbf{T}_n) \setminus \{\top\}$, then $x \in D(\mathbf{T}_n) = B$.

Recall that a *weak Boolean product* of a family $(A_y, y \in Y)$ of algebras over a Boolean space Y is a subdirect product A of the given family such that the following conditions hold:

- (1) If $a, b \in A$, then $[a = b] = \{y \in Y : a_y = b_y\}$ is open.
- (2) If $a, b \in A$ and Z is a clopen in X, then $a|_Z \cup b|_{X \setminus Z} \in A$.

Since the variety \mathcal{BL} is congruence distributive, it has the Boolean Factor Congruence property. Therefore each nontrivial BL-algebra can be represented as a weak Boolean product of directly indecomposable BL-algebras (see [5] and [23]). The

explicit representation of each BL-algebra as a weak Boolean product of directly indecomposable algebras is given in [17] by the following lemma.

LEMMA 1.6. Let \mathbf{A} be a BL-algebra and let $\operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$ be the Boolean space of ultrafilters of the Boolean algebra $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$. The correspondence

$$a \mapsto (a/\langle U \rangle)_{U \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})}$$

gives an isomorphism of A onto the weak Boolean product of the family

$$(\mathbf{A}/\langle U \rangle) : U \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$$

over the Boolean space $\operatorname{Sp} B(A)$. This representation is called the Pierce representation. Any other representation of A as a weak Boolean product of a family of directly indecomposable algebras is equivalent to the Pierce representation.

Therefore, to describe $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ we need to describe $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ and the quotients $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle$ for each $U \in \mathrm{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$.

In Section 2 we obtain a characterization of the Boolean algebra $B(Free_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$. Once this aim is achieved, we consider the quotients $Free_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle$.

2. B(Free_{\mathcal{V}}(X))

The next two results can be found in [18].

THEOREM 2.1. For each BL-algebra A, $B(A) \cong B(MV(A))$.

THEOREM 2.2. For each variety \mathcal{K} of BL-algebras and each set X

 $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{K}}(X)) \cong \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV} \cap \mathcal{K}}(\neg \neg X).$

THEOREM 2.3. $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{MV}$ is the variety \mathcal{MV}_n .

PROOF. Since $\mathbf{L}_n \cong \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{T}_n)$ is in $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{MV}$, we have that $\mathcal{MV}_n \subseteq \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{MV}$. On the other hand, let A be an MV-algebra in $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{MV}$. Suppose A is not in \mathcal{MV}_n . Then there exists an equation $e(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = \top$ that is satisfied by \mathbf{L}_n and is not satisfied by A, that is, there exist elements a_1, \ldots, a_p in A such that $e(a_1, \ldots, a_p) \neq \top$. Since $(\neg \neg b_1, \ldots, \neg \neg b_p)$ is in $(L_n)^p$, for each tuple (b_1, \ldots, b_p) in $(T_n)^p$, the equation $e'(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = e(\neg \neg x_1, \ldots, \neg \neg x_p) = \top$ is satisfied in \mathcal{V} . Since $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{MV}$, it follows that $\top = e'(a_1, \ldots, a_p) = e(\neg \neg a_1, \ldots, \neg \neg a_p) = e(a_1, \ldots, a_p) \neq \top$, a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{MV}_n = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{MV}$.

From these results we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.4. **B**(**Free**_{\mathcal{V}}(X)) \cong **B**(**Free**_{\mathcal{MV}_e}($\neg\neg X$)).

2.1. n-valued Moisil algebras Boolean elements of $Free_{MV_{a}}(\neg \neg X)$ depend on some operators that can be defined on each MV_n -algebra. Such operators provide each MV_n -algebra with an n-valued Moisil algebra structure, in the sense of the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.5. For each integer $n \ge 2$, an *n*-valued Moisil algebra ([8, 11]) or n-valued Łukasiewicz algebra ([4, 12, 13]) is an algebra

$$\mathbf{A} = (A, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \sigma_1^n, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, 0, 1)$$

of type (2, 2, 1, ..., 1, 0, 0) such that $(A, \land, \lor, 0, 1)$ is a distributive lattice with unit 1 and zero 0, and $\neg, \sigma_1^n, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^n$ are unary operators defined on A that satisfy the following conditions:

(1)
$$\neg \neg x = x$$
,

(2)
$$\neg (x \lor y) = \neg x \land \neg y$$

- (3) $\sigma_i^n(x \lor y) = \sigma_i^n x \lor \sigma_i^n y,$ (4) $\sigma_i^n x \lor \neg \sigma_i^n x = 1,$

(5)
$$\sigma_i^n \sigma_j^n x = \sigma_j^n x$$
, for $i, j = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$,

(6) $\sigma_i^n(\neg x) = \neg(\sigma_{n-i}^n x),$

(7)
$$\sigma_i^n x \vee \sigma_{i+1}^n x = \sigma_{i+1}^n x$$
, for $i = 1, 2, ..., n-2$,

(8) $x \vee \sigma_{n-1}^n x = \sigma_{n-1}^n x$,

(9)
$$(x \wedge \neg \sigma_i^n x \wedge \sigma_{i+1}^n y) \lor y = y$$
, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-2$.

Properties and examples of *n*-valued Moisil algebras can be found in [4] and [8]. The variety of *n*-valued Moisil algebras will be denoted \mathcal{M}_n .

THEOREM 2.6 (see [11]). Let A be in \mathcal{M}_n . Then $x \in B(A)$ if and only if

$$\sigma_{n-1}^n(x) = x.$$

Furthermore.

$$\sigma_{n-1}^n(x) = \min\{b \in B(\mathbf{A}) : x \le b\} \quad and \quad \sigma_1^n(x) = \max\{a \in B(\mathbf{A}) : a \le x\}.$$

DEFINITION 2.7. For each integer $n \ge 2$, a Post algebra of order n is a system

$$\mathbf{A} = (A, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \sigma_1^n, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, 0, 1)$$

such that $(A, \land, \lor, \neg, \sigma_1^n, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, 0, 1)$ is an *n*-valued Moisil algebra and e_1, \ldots, e_n e_{n-1} are constants that satisfy the equations:

$$\sigma_i^n(e_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i+j < n, \\ 1 & \text{if } i+j \ge n. \end{cases}$$

For every $n \ge 2$, we can define one-variable terms $\sigma_1^n(x), \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^n(x)$ in the language $(\neg, \rightarrow, \top)$ such that evaluated on the algebras \mathbf{L}_n give

$$\sigma_i^n\left(\frac{j}{(n-1)}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i+j \ge n, \\ 0 & \text{if } i+j < n, \end{cases}$$

for i = 1, ..., n - 1 (see [13] or [24]). It is easy to check that

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L}_n) = (L_n, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \sigma_1^n, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, 0, 1)$$

is a *n*-valued Moisil algebra. Since these algebras are defined by equations and L_n generates the variety \mathcal{MV}_n , we have that each $A \in \mathcal{MV}_n$ admits a structure of an *n*-valued Moisil algebra, denoted by $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{A})$. The chain $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L}_n)$ plays a very important role in the structure of *n*-valued Moisil algebras, since each *n*-valued Moisil algebra is a subdirect product of subalgebras of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L}_n)$ (see [4] or [12]). If we add to the structure $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L}_n)$ the constants $e_i = i/(n-1)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, then $\mathbf{PT}(\mathbf{L}_n) = (L_n, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \sigma_1^n, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}, 0, 1)$ is a Post algebra.

Not every *n*-valued Moisil algebra has a structure of MV_n -algebra (see [21]). For example, a subalgebra of $M(L_n)$ may not be a subalgebra of L_n as MV_n -algebra. For instance, the set

$$C = \left\{ \frac{0}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{4} \right\}$$

is the universe of a subalgebra of $M(L_5)$, but not the universe of a subalgebra of L_5 . On the other hand, every Post algebra has a structure of MV_n -algebra (see [24, Theorem 10]).

The next example will play an important role in what follows.

EXAMPLE 2.8. Let $C = (C, \land, \lor, \neg, 0, 1)$ be a Boolean algebra. We define

$$C^{[n]} := \{ \mathbf{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}) \in C^{n-1} : z_1 \le z_2 \le \ldots \le z_{n-1} \}.$$

For each $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}) \in C^{[n]}$, we define

$$\neg_{n} \mathbf{z} = (\neg z_{n-1}, \dots, \neg z_{1}),$$

$$\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0),$$

$$\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1),$$

$$\sigma_{i}^{n}(\mathbf{z}) = (z_{i}, z_{i}, \dots, z_{i}) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1$$

With \wedge and \vee defined coordinatewise, $\mathbf{C}^{[n]} = (C^{[n]}, \wedge, \vee, \neg_n, \sigma_1^n, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$ is a *n*-valued Moisil algebra (see [8, Chapter 3, Example 1.10]). If we define $\mathbf{e}_j = (e_{j,1}, \dots, e_{j,n-1})$ by

$$e_{j,i} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i \ge j, \end{cases}$$

then $\mathbf{C}^{[n]} = (C^{[n]}, \wedge, \vee, \neg_n, \sigma_1^n, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{n-1}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$ is a Post algebra. Consequently, $\mathbf{C}^{[n]}$ has a structure of MV_n -algebra.

It is easy to see that for each MV_n -algebra \mathbf{A} , $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{A}))$.

We need to show that the Boolean elements of the MV_n -algebra generated by a set G coincide with the Boolean elements of the *n*-valued Moisil algebra generated by the same set. In order to prove this result it is convenient to consider the following operators on each *n*-valued Moisil algebra **A**. For each i = 0, ..., n - 1,

$$J_i(x) = \sigma_{n-i}^n(x) \wedge \neg \sigma_{n-i-1}^n(x),$$

where $\sigma_0^n(x) = 0$ and $\sigma_n^n(x) = 1$. In $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L}_n)$ we have

$$J_i\left(\frac{j}{(n-1)}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i\neq j. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.9. Let **A** be an MV_n -algebra, and let $G \subset A$. If $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}V_n}$ is the subalgebra of **A** generated by the set G and $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$ is the subalgebra of **M**(**A**) generated by G, then $\mathbf{B}(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}V_n}) = \mathbf{B}(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n})$.

PROOF. Since $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$ is always a subalgebra of $\mathbf{M}(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{V}_n})$, we have that $\mathbf{B}(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n})$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{B}(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{V}_n})$.

We will see that $B(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}) \subseteq B(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n})$. The case $G = \emptyset$ is clear. Suppose that G is a finite set of cardinality $p \ge 1$. Since MV_n -algebras are locally finite (see [9, Chapter II, Theorem 10.16]), we obtain that $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$ is a finite MV_n -algebra. Since finite MV_n -algebras are direct products of simple algebras, there exists a finite $k \ge 1$ such that $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n} = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{L}_{m_i}$, where each $m_i - 1$ divides n - 1, for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$. If k = 1, then $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$ and $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$ are finite chains whose only Boolean elements are their extremes. Otherwise, we can think of the elements of $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$ as k-tuples, that is, if $\mathbf{x} \in \langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$, then $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_k)$. We shall denote by $\mathbf{1}^j$ the k-tuple given by

$$(\mathbf{1}^j)_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that for each j = 1, ..., k, $\mathbf{1}^{j}$ is in $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_{n}}$. From this it follows that for every pair $i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, ..., k\}$, there exists an element $\mathbf{x} \in G$ such that $x_{j} \neq x_{i}$. Indeed, suppose on the contrary that there exist $i, j \leq k$ such that $x_{i} = x_{j}$, for every $\mathbf{x} \in G$. Then for every $\mathbf{z} \in \langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_{n}}$ we would have $z_{j} = z_{i}$ contradicting the fact that $\mathbf{1}^{i}$ is in $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_{n}}$.

To see that every Boolean element in $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$ is also in $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$, it is enough to prove that $\mathbf{1}^j$ is in $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$ for every $j = 1, \ldots, k$. For a fixed j, for each $i \neq j$,

i = 1, ..., k, we choose $\mathbf{x}^i \in G$ such that $x_j^i \neq x_i^i$. Let j_i be the numerator of $x_j^i \in L_n$. It is not hard to verify that

$$\mathbf{1}^{j} = \bigwedge_{i=1, i\neq j}^{k} J_{j_{i}}(\mathbf{x}^{i}).$$

Therefore $1^{j} \in \langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}$ and $B(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{V}_{n}}) \subseteq B(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}})$.

If G is not finite, let y be a Boolean element in $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$. Hence, there exists a finite subset G_y of G such that y belongs to the subalgebra of $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$ generated by G_y . Therefore, since y is Boolean, y belongs to the subalgebra of $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$ generated by G_y , and we conclude that $B(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}) \subseteq B(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n})$ for all sets G.

Given an algebra A in a variety \mathcal{K} , a subalgebra S of A, and an element $x \in A$, we shall denote by $(S, x)_{\mathcal{K}}$ the subalgebra of A generated by the set $S \cup \{x\}$ in \mathcal{K} .

LEMMA 2.10. Let C be in \mathcal{M}_n and $x \in C$. Let S be a subalgebra of C such that $\sigma_i^n(x)$ belongs to B(S) for each i = 1, ..., n - 1. Then $B(\langle S, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}) = B(S)$.

PROOF. Clearly **B**(**S**) is a subalgebra of **B**($\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$). It is left to check that $B(\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}) \subseteq B(\mathbf{S})$. To achieve this aim, we shall study the form of the elements in $\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$. We define for each $s \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(s) &= s \wedge x, \\ \beta(s) &= s \wedge \neg x, \\ \gamma_i(s) &= s \wedge \sigma_i^n(x), \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots n - 1, \\ \delta_i(s) &= s \wedge \neg \sigma_i^n(x), \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

For all $s \in S$ we have that $\gamma_i(s)$ and $\delta_i(s)$ are in S for i = 1, ..., n - 1. Let

$$M := \left\{ y = \bigvee_{j=1}^{k_y} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{p_j} f_i(s_i) : f_i \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma_1, \delta_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}, \delta_{n-1}\} \text{ and } s_i \in S \right\}.$$

We shall see that $\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n} = \mathbf{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \land, \lor, \neg, \sigma_1^n, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, 0, 1)$. Indeed, for all $s \in S$, $s = \gamma_1(s) \lor \delta_1(s)$, and then $S \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Besides, $x \in \mathcal{M}$ because $x = \alpha(1)$. Lastly, it is easy to see that \mathcal{M} is closed under the operations of *n*-valued Moisil algebra. Thus $\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$ is a subalgebra of \mathbf{M} . From the definition of \mathcal{M} , it is obvious that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$, and the equality follows.

Now let $z \in B(\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n})$. By Theorem 2.6, $\sigma_{n-1}^n(z) = z$ and $z = \bigvee_{j=1}^{k_i} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{p_j} f_i(s_i)$ with $f_i \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma_1, \delta_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}, \delta_{n-1}\}$ and $s_i \in S$. Then we have

$$z = \sigma_{n-1}^{n}(z) = \sigma_{n-1}^{n}\left(\bigvee_{j=1}^{k_{z}}\bigwedge_{i=1}^{p_{j}}f_{i}(s_{i})\right) = \bigvee_{j=1}^{k_{z}}\bigwedge_{i=1}^{p_{j}}\sigma_{n-1}^{n}(f_{i}(s_{i})),$$

[12]

is in $B(\mathbf{S})$ because $\sigma_{n-1}^n(f_i(s_i)) = \gamma_k(\sigma_{n-1}^n(s_i))$ or $\sigma_{n-1}^n(f_i(s_i)) = \delta_k(\sigma_{n-1}^n(s_i))$, for some $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

THEOREM 2.11. Let C be an MV_n -algebra and $x \in C$. Let S be a subalgebra of C such that $\sigma_i^n(x)$ belongs to B(S) for each i = 1, ..., n - 1. Then

$$\mathbf{B}(\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_a}) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{S}).$$

PROOF. By Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.10 we obtain $\mathbf{B}(\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}) = \mathbf{B}(\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{S}).$

2.2. Boolean elements in Free_{MV_n}(Z) Recall that a Boolean algebra **B** is said to be *free over a poset* Y if for each Boolean algebra **C** and for each non-decreasing function $f: Y \to C$, f can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism from **B** into **C**.

THEOREM 2.12. **B**(**Free**_{MV_n}(Z)) is the free Boolean algebra over the poset $Z' := {\sigma_i^n(z) : z \in Z, i = 1, ..., n - 1}.$

PROOF. Let S be the subalgebra of $B(Free_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(Z))$ generated by Z'. Let C be a Boolean algebra and let $f : Z' \to C$ be a non-decreasing function. The monotonicity of f implies that the prescription

$$f'(z) = (f(\sigma_1^n(z)), \dots, f(\sigma_{n-1}^n(z)))$$

defines a function $f': Z \to \mathbb{C}^{[n]}$. Since $\mathbb{C}^{[n]} \in \mathcal{MV}_n$, there is a unique homomorphism $h': \operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(Z) \to \mathbb{C}^{[n]}$ such that h'(z) = f'(z) for every $z \in Z$. Let $\pi: \mathbb{C}^{[n]} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the projection over the first coordinate. The composition $\pi \circ h'$ restricted to S is a homomorphism $h: S \to \mathbb{C}$, and for $y = \sigma_j^n(z) \in Z'$ we have

$$h(y) = \pi(h'(\sigma_j^n(z))) = \pi(\sigma_j^n(h'(z))) = \pi(\sigma_j^n(f'(z)))$$

= $\pi(\sigma_j^n(f(\sigma_1^n(z)), \dots, f(\sigma_{n-1}^n(z))))$
= $\pi(f(\sigma_i^n(z)), \dots, f(\sigma_i^n(z))) = f(\sigma_i^n(z)) = f(y)$

Hence S is the free Boolean algebra over the poset Z'. However, since $\sigma_j^n(z)$ is in S for all $z \in Z$ and j = 1, ..., n - 1, Theorem 2.11 asserts that

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{S}) = \mathbf{B}(\langle \mathbf{S}, z \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n})$$

for every $z \in Z$. From the fact that **S** is a subalgebra of **B**(**Free**_{MV_n}(Z)) we obtain

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{B}(\langle \mathbf{S}, Z \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(Z))$$

that is, **B**(**Free**_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(Z)) is the free Boolean algebra generated by the poset Z'. \Box

431

From Theorem 2.4 we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 2.13. **B**(Free_{\mathcal{V}}(*X*)) is the free Boolean algebra generated by the poset $Y := \{\sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x) : x \in X, i = 1, ..., n - 1\}.$

REMARK 2.14. If n = 2, that is, the variety considered \mathcal{V} is generated by a BL₂chain, then $\sigma_1^2(x) = x$ for each $x \in X$. Therefore, in this case, $Y = \{\neg \neg x : x \in X\}$, and the cardinality of Y equals the cardinality of X. It follows that **B**(**Free**_{\mathcal{V}}(X)) is the free Boolean algebra over the set Y.

3. Free_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/ $\langle U \rangle$

Following the program established at the end of Section 2, our next aim is to describe **Free**_V(X)/ $\langle U \rangle$ for each ultrafilter U in the free Boolean algebra generated by $Y = \{\sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x) : x \in X, i = 1, ..., n - 1\}$, where $\langle U \rangle$ is the BL-filter generated by the Boolean filter U.

The plan is to prove that $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle)$ is a subalgebra of \mathbf{L}_n and then, using Theorem 1.5, decompose each quotient $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle$ into an ordinal sum. To accomplish this we need the following results.

THEOREM 3.1. Let **A** be a BL-algebra and $U \in Sp \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$. Then

$$\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}/\langle U \rangle) \cong \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A})/(\langle U \rangle \cap \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A})).$$

PROOF. Let $V =: \langle U \rangle \cap \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A})$ and let $f : \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A})/V \to \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}/\langle U \rangle)$ be given by $f(a/V) = a/\langle U \rangle$, for each $a \in MV(\mathbf{A})$. It is easy to see that f is a homomorphism into $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}/\langle U \rangle)$. We have that

(1) f is injective.

Let $a/\langle U \rangle = b/\langle U \rangle$, with $a, b \in MV(\mathbf{A})$. From Lemma 1.3, we know that there exists $u \in U$ such that $a \wedge u = b \wedge u$. Since $U \subseteq MV(\mathbf{A})$, we have that $u \in V$. From the fact that u is Boolean (see [17, Lemma 2.2]), we have that $a * u = a \wedge u = b \wedge u \leq b$, thus $u \leq a \rightarrow b$ and similarly $u \leq b \rightarrow a$. Then $a \rightarrow b$ and $b \rightarrow a$ are in V and this means that a/V = b/V.

(2) f is surjective.

Let $a/\langle U \rangle \in MV(\mathbf{A}/\langle U \rangle)$. Then $a/\langle U \rangle = \neg \neg (a/\langle U \rangle) = \neg \neg a/\langle U \rangle$, and since $\neg \neg a \in MV(\mathbf{A})$ we obtain that $f(\neg \neg a/V) = a/\langle U \rangle$.

By Theorem 2.4, if $U \in \text{Sp B}(\text{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$, then U is an ultrafilter in

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(\neg \neg X)).$$

Moreover, $\langle U \rangle \cap \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)) = \langle U \rangle \cap \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(\neg \neg X)$ is the Stone ultrafilter of $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(\neg \neg X)$ generated by U. From [14, Chapter 6.3], we have that

$$\langle U \rangle \cap \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(\neg \neg X)$$

is a maximal filter of $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(\neg \neg X)$. It follows from [14, Corollary 3.5.4] that the MV-algebra $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))/(\langle U \rangle \cap \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)))$ is an MV-chain in \mathcal{MV}_n , thus from Theorem 3.1 we have the following result.

THEOREM 3.2. $MV(Free_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle) \cong L_s$ with s - 1 dividing n - 1.

From Theorems 1.5 and 3.2 we obtain the next result.

THEOREM 3.3. For each $U \in \text{Sp } \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$, we have that

 $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle \cong \mathbf{L}_s \uplus \mathbf{D}(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle)$

for some s - 1 dividing n - 1.

In order to complete the description of $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ we have to find a description of $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle)$ for each $U \in \mathrm{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$. This last description depends on the characterization of the variety \mathcal{W} of generalized BL-algebras generated by the generalized BL-chain **B**. Therefore, we shall firstly consider such variety.

3.1. The subvariety of \mathcal{GBL} **generated by B** We recall that \mathcal{V} is the variety of BLalgebras generated by the BL-chain $\mathbf{T}_n = \mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{B}$. Let \mathcal{W} be the variety of generalized BL-algebras generated by the chain **B**.

Let $\{e_i, i \in I\}$ be the set of equations that define \mathcal{MV}_n as a subvariety of \mathcal{BL} , and $\{d_j, j \in J\}$ be the set of equations that define \mathcal{W} as a subvariety of \mathcal{GBL} . For each $i \in I$, let e'_i be the equation that results from substituting $\neg \neg x$ for each variable x in e_i , and for each $j \in J$, let d'_j be the equation that results from substituting $\neg \neg x \rightarrow x$ for each variable x in the equation d_j . Let \mathcal{V}' denote the variety of BL-algebras characterized by the equations of BL-algebras plus the equations $\{e'_i, i \in I\} \cup \{d'_i, j \in J\}$.

Theorem 3.4. $\mathcal{V}' \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

PROOF. Let A be a subdirectly irreducible BL-algebra in \mathcal{V}' . From Theorem 1.1, A is a BL-chain, and by Theorem 1.4, $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}) \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$. Since for each $x \in MV(\mathbf{A})$, we have $\neg \neg x = x$, $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A})$ satisfies equations $\{e_i, i \in I\}$. Then $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A})$ is a chain in \mathcal{MV}_n , that is, $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}) \cong \mathbf{L}_s$, with s - 1 dividing n - 1. Moreover, since for each $x \in D(\mathbf{A})$, we have $\neg \neg x \to x = x$, $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ satisfies equations $\{d_j, j \in J\}$. Hence

D(**A**) = **C** is a generalized BL-chain in \mathcal{W} . Since **A** is subdirectly irreducible, **C** is also subdirectly irreducible, and since \mathcal{GBL} is a congruence distributive variety, we can apply Jónsson's Lemma (see [9]) to conclude that $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{HSP}_u(\mathbf{B})$. Hence there is a set $J \neq \emptyset$ and an ultrafilter U over J such that **C** is a homomorphic image of a subalgebra of \mathbf{B}^J/U . From the proof of [2, Proposition 3.3] it follows that $(\mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{B})^J/U = \mathbf{L}_n^J/U \uplus \mathbf{B}^J/U$, and since \mathbf{L}_n is finite, $\mathbf{L}_n^J/U \cong \mathbf{L}_n$. Now it is easy to see that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}_s \uplus \mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{HSP}_u(\mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

The next corollary states the main result of this section.

COROLLARY 3.5. The variety W of generalized BL-algebras generated by **B** consists of the generalized BL-algebras **C** such that $\mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{C}$ belongs to \mathcal{V} .

PROOF. Given $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{W}$, $\mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{V}' \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. On the other hand, if \mathbf{C} is a generalized BL-algebra such that $\mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{V}$, then the elements of C satisfy equations d'_j for each $j \in J$ and since $\neg \neg x \rightarrow x = x$ for each $x \in C$, the elements of C satisfy equations d_j for each $j \in J$. Hence \mathbf{C} is in \mathcal{W} .

3.2. $D(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle)$ We know that the ultrafilters of a Boolean algebra are in bijective correspondence with the homomorphisms from the algebra into the two elements Boolean algebra, 2. Since every upwards closed subset of the poset $Y = \{\sigma_i^n(\neg\neg x) : x \in X, i = 1, ..., n - 1\}$ is in correspondence with an increasing function from Y onto 2, and every increasing function from Y can be extended to a homomorphism from $B(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ into 2, the ultrafilters of $B(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ are in correspondence with the upwards closed subsets of Y. This is summarized in the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.6. Consider the poset $Y = \{\sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x) : x \in X, i = 1, ..., n-1\}$. The correspondence that assigns to each upwards closed subset $S \subseteq Y$ the Boolean filter U_S generated by the set $S \cup \{\neg y : y \in Y \setminus S\}$, defines a bijection from the set of upwards closed subsets of Y onto the ultrafilters of $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_V(X))$.

We shall refer to each member of Sp $B(Free_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ by U_S making explicit reference to the upwards closed subset S that corresponds to it.

LEMMA 3.7. Let \mathbf{F}_{s} be the subalgebra of the generalized BL-algebra

 $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$

generated by the set $X_S := \{x \mid \langle U_S \rangle : x \in X, \neg \neg x \in \langle U_S \rangle\}$. Then

$$\mathbf{F}_{S} = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_{S} \rangle)$$

PROOF. Free_V(X)/ $\langle U_S \rangle$ is the BL-algebra generated by the set $Z_S = \{x/\langle U_S \rangle : x \in X\}$. From Theorem 3.3, there exists an integer *m* such that

$$\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_{\mathcal{S}}\rangle = \mathbf{L}_m \uplus \mathbf{D}(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_{\mathcal{S}}\rangle).$$

Hence each element of Z_s is either in $L_m \setminus \{\top\}$ or it is in $D(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_s \rangle)$.

If $X_s = \emptyset$, then $F_s = D(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_s \rangle) = \{\top\}$. So let us suppose $X_s \neq \emptyset$. Let $y \in D(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_s \rangle)$. Recalling that \mathbf{F}_s is the generalized BL-algebra generated by X_s , we will check that y is in F_s . Since $y \in \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_s \rangle$, y is given by a term on the elements $x/\langle U_s \rangle \in Z_s$. By induction on the complexity of y, we have:

- If y is a generator, that is, y = x/⟨U_s⟩ for some x/⟨U_s⟩ ∈ Z_s, since y ∈ D(Free_V(X)/⟨U_s⟩), we have that T = ¬¬y = ¬¬(x/⟨U_s⟩) = (¬¬x)/⟨U_s⟩. This happens only if ¬¬x ∈ ⟨U_s⟩.
- Suppose that for each element $z \in D(\operatorname{Free}_{V}(X)/\langle U_{S} \rangle)$ of complexity less than k, z can be written as a term in the variables $x/\langle U_{S} \rangle$ in X_{S} . Let $y \in D(\operatorname{Free}_{V}(X)/\langle U_{S} \rangle)$ be an element of complexity k. The possible cases are the following:
 - (1) $y = a \rightarrow b$ for some elements a, b of complexity $\langle k$. In this case the possibilities are
 - (a) $a \leq b$. This means $a \to b = \top$ and y can be written as $x/\langle U_S \rangle \to x/\langle U_S \rangle$ for any $x/\langle U_S \rangle \in X_S$, and thus $y \in F_S$,
 - (b) $a \not\leq b$. Since $y = a \rightarrow b$ is in $D(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$, the only possibility is that $a, b \in D(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$ and by inductive hypothesis y is in F_S .
 - (2) y = a * b for some elements a, b of complexity $\langle k$. In this case necessarily $a, b \in D(Free_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$ and by inductive hypothesis y is in F_S .

Then for each $y \in D(Free_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_s \rangle)$, y can be written as a term on the elements of X_s . Therefore $y \in F_s$ and we conclude that $\mathbf{F}_s = \mathbf{D}(Free_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_s \rangle)$.

With the notation of the previous lemma, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.8. For each U_s in Sp **B**(Free_V(X)),

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_{\mathcal{S}}\rangle) \cong \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}(X_{\mathcal{S}}).$$

PROOF. From Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 3.6 we can deduced that $\neg \neg x \in \langle U_S \rangle$ if and only if $\sigma_1^n(\neg \neg x) \in S$ if and only if $\sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x) \in S$ for i = 1, ..., n - 1. Hence if $\neg \neg x \notin \langle U_S \rangle$ there is a j such that $\sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x) \notin S$. We define, for each $x \in X$,

$$j_x = \begin{cases} \bot & \text{if } \neg \neg x \in \langle U_S \rangle \\ \max\{i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} : \sigma_i^n (\neg \neg x) \notin S\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $C \in \mathcal{W}$ and let $C' = L_n \uplus C$. From Theorem 3.5, C' is in \mathcal{V} . Given a function $f: X_S \to C$, define $\hat{f}: X \to C'$ by the prescriptions:

$$\hat{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x/\langle U_S \rangle) & \text{if } \neg \neg x \in \langle U_S \rangle \\ (n - j_x - 1)/(n - 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There is a unique homomorphism \hat{h} : **Free**_V(X) \rightarrow **C**' such that $\hat{h}(x) = \hat{f}(x)$ for each $x \in X$. We have that $U_S \subseteq \hat{h}^{-1}(\{\top\})$. Indeed, if $\neg \neg x \in \langle U_S \rangle$, then $\hat{h}(\sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x)) = \sigma_i^n(\neg \neg (\hat{h}(x)) = \sigma_i^n(\neg \neg f(x/\langle U_S \rangle)) = \sigma_i^n(\top) = \top$. If $\neg \neg x \notin \langle U_S \rangle$, then

$$\hat{h}(\sigma_i^n(\neg\neg x)) = \sigma_i^n\left(\neg\neg\frac{n-j_x-1}{n-1}\right) = \sigma_i^n\left(\frac{n-j_x-1}{n-1}\right) = \begin{cases} \bot & \text{if } i \le j_x, \\ \top & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence there is a unique homomorphism h_1 : $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle \to \mathbf{C}'$ such that $h_1(a/\langle U_S \rangle) = \hat{h}(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$. By Lemma 3.7, $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$ is the algebra generated by X_S . Then the restriction h of h_1 to $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$ is a homomorphism $h : \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle) \to \mathbf{C}$, and for each x such that $\neg \neg x \in \langle U_S \rangle$,

$$h(x/\langle U_S \rangle) = h_1(x/\langle U_S \rangle) = \tilde{h}(x) = \tilde{f}(x) = f(x/\langle U_S \rangle)$$

Therefore we conclude that $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle) \cong \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}(X_S)$.

THEOREM 3.9. The free BL-algebra $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ can be represented as a weak Boolean product of the family $(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$: $U_S \in \mathrm{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$, where $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ is the free Boolean algebra over the poset $Y = \{\sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x) : x \in X, i = 1, ..., n - 1\}$. Moreover, for each $U_S \in \mathrm{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$, there exists $m \ge 2$ such that m - 1 divides n - 1 and

$$\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S\rangle = \mathbf{L}_m \uplus \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}(X_S),$$

where $X_s := \{x/\langle U_s \rangle : \neg \neg x \in \langle U_s \rangle\}$ and W is the variety of generalized BL-algebras generated by **B**.

4. Examples

4.1. PL-algebras Let G be a lattice-ordered abelian group (ℓ -group), and $G^- = \{x \in G : x \le 0\}$ its negative cone. For each pair of elements $x, y \in G^-$, we define the following operators:

$$x * y = x + y$$
 and $x \to y = 0 \land (y - x)$.

Then $\mathbf{G}^- = (G^-, \wedge, \vee, *, \rightarrow, 0)$ is a generalized BL-algebra. The following result can be deduced from [3] (see also [6] and [15]).

[18]

 \square

THEOREM 4.1. The following conditions are equivalent for a generalized BLalgebra A:

(1) A is a cancellative hoop.

(2) There is an ℓ -group **G** such that $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{G}^{-}$.

(3) A is in the variety of generalized BL-algebras generated by \mathbb{Z}^- , where \mathbb{Z} denotes the additive group of integers with the usual order.

Let us consider W, the variety of generalized BL-algebras generated by \mathbb{Z}^- , that is, the variety of cancellative hoops. In [16] a description of $\mathbf{Free}_{W}(X)$ is given for any set X of free generators. Therefore we can have a complete description of free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras generated by the ordinal sum

$$\mathbf{PL}_n = \mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{Z}^-.$$

Indeed, if we denote by \mathcal{PL}_n the variety of BL-algebras generated by \mathbf{PL}_n , from Theorem 3.9 we obtain that $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{PL}_n}(X)$ is a weak Boolean product of algebras of the form $\mathbf{L}_s \uplus \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}(X')$ with s - 1 dividing n - 1 and some set X' of cardinality less or equal than X. Therefore, in the present case, the BL-algebra $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{PL}_n}(X)$ can be completely described as a weak Boolean product of ordinal sums of two known algebras.

From [15, Theorem 2.8], \mathcal{PL}_2 is the variety of PL-algebras \mathcal{PL} . From Remark 2.14, Sp **B**(**Free**_{\mathcal{PL}}(X)) is the Cantor space $2^{|X|}$. From Theorem 3.9, the free PL-algebra over a set X can be describe as a weak Boolean product over the Cantor space $2^{|X|}$ of algebras of the form $\mathbf{L}_2 \uplus \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}(X')$ for some set X' of cardinality less or equal than X.

Given a BL-algebra A, the radical R(A) of A is the intersection of all maximal implicative filters of A. We have that $\mathbf{r}(A) = (R(A), *, \rightarrow, \land, \lor, \top)$ is a generalized BL-algebra. Let

$$\mathcal{PL}^{r} = \{\mathbf{R} : \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{A}) \text{ for some } \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{PL}\}.$$

 \mathcal{PL}^r is a variety of generalized BL-algebras. In [17] a description of $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{PL}}(X)$ is given. From Example 4.7 and Theorem 5.7 in the mentioned paper we obtain that $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{PL}}(X)$ is the weak Boolean product of the family $(\mathbf{L}_2 \uplus \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{PL}'}(S) : S \subseteq 2^{|X|})$ over the Cantor space $2^{|X|}$. In order to check that our description and the one given in [17] coincide it is only left to check that $\mathcal{PL}^r = \mathcal{W}$. From Corollary 3.5 we have that \mathcal{W} consist on the generalized BL-algebras C such that $\mathbf{L}_2 \uplus \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{PL}$.

THEOREM 4.2. $\mathcal{PL}^r = \mathcal{W}$.

PROOF. Let $C \in \mathcal{PL}^r$. Then there exists a BL-algebra $A \in \mathcal{PL}$ such that $\mathbf{r}(A) = C$. It is not hard to check that $L_2 \uplus C$ is a subalgebra of A, thus $L_2 \uplus C$ is in \mathcal{PL} . It

[20]

follows that $C \in \mathcal{W}$. On the other hand, let $C \in \mathcal{W}$. Then $L_2 \uplus C$ is in \mathcal{PL} , and $C \in \mathcal{PL}'$.

4.2. Finitely generated free algebras As we mentioned in the introduction, when the set of generators X is finite, let us say of cardinality k, the algebra $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ is described in [10] as a direct product of algebras of the form $\mathbf{L}_s \oplus \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}(X')$, with s-1 that divides n-1 and some set X' of cardinality less than or equal to the cardinality of X, where \mathcal{W} is again the subvariety of \mathcal{GBL} generated by **B**. The method used to describe the algebras strongly relies on the fact that the Boolean elements of $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ form a finite Boolean algebra. Indeed, $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ is a direct product of n^k algebras obtained by taking the quotients by the implicative filters generated by the atoms of $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$. In this case, once you know the form of the atom that generates the ultrafilter U you also know the number s such that $\mathbf{MV}((\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))/\langle U \rangle) = \mathbf{L}_s$.

When the set X of generators is finite, of cardinality k, then $Y = \{\sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x) : x \in X, i = 1, ..., n - 1\}$ is the cardinal sum of k chains of length n - 1. Therefore the number of upwards closed subsets of Y is n^k . Since weak Boolean products over discrete finite spaces coincide with direct products, Theorem 3.9 asserts that $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ is a direct product of n^k BL-algebras of the form $\mathbf{L}_s \uplus \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}(Y)$, with s - 1 that divides n - 1 and some set Y of cardinality less than or equal to k.

Therefore the description given in the present paper coincides with the one in [10]. However, the description given in [10], based on a detailed analysis of the structure of the atoms of $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ for a finite X, is more precise because it gives the number of factors of each kind appearing in the direct product representation.

References

- [1] P. Aglianò, I. M. A. Ferreirim and F. Montagna, 'Basic hoops: An algebraic study of continuous t-norms', manuscript.
- [2] P. Aglianò and F. Montagna, 'Varieties of BL-algebras I: General properties', J. Pure Appl. Algebra 181 (2003), 105–129.
- [3] K. Amer, 'Equationally complete classes of conmutative monoids with monus', Algebra Universalis 18 (1984), 129–131.
- [4] R. Balbes and P. Dwinger, Distributive lattices (University of Missoury Press, Columbia, 1974).
- [5] D. Bigelow and S. Burris, 'Boolean algebras of factor congruences', Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 54 (1990), 11-20.
- [6] W. J. Block and I. M. A. Ferreirim, 'Hoops and their implicational reducts (Abstract)', in: Algebraic Methods in Logic and Computer Sciences, Banach Center Publications 28 (Polish Academy of Science, Warsaw, 1993) pp. 219-230.
- [7] ____, 'On the structure of hoops', Algebra Universalis 43 (2000), 233-257.
- [8] V. Boicescu, A. Filipoiu, G. Georgescu and S. Rudeanu, *Łukasiewicz-Moisil algebras* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1991).
- [9] S. Burris and H. P. Sankappanavar, A course in universal algebra (Springer, New York, 1981).

- [10] M. Busaniche, 'Free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras generated by a chain', Algebra Universalis 50 (2003), 259–277.
- [11] R. Cignoli, *Moisil algebras, Notas de lógica matemática* (Instituto De Matemática, Universidad Nac. del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina, 1970).
- [12] —, 'Some algebraic aspects of many-valued logics', in: Proceedings of the third Brazilian Conference on Mathematical Logic (Sociedade Brasileira de Lógica) (eds. A. I. Arruda, N. C. A. da Costa and A. M. Sette) (São Paulo, 1980) pp. 49–69.
- [13] _____, 'Proper n-valued łukasiewicz algebras as S-algebras of łukasiewicz n-valued propositional calculi', *Studia Logica* **41** (1982), 3–16.
- [14] R. Cignoli, M. I. D'Ottaviano and D. Mundici, *Algebraic foundations of many-valued reasoning* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2000).
- [15] R. Cignoli and A. Torrens, 'An algebraic analysis of product logic', *Mult.-Valued Log.* 5 (2000), 45-65.
- [16] —, 'Free cancelative hoops', Algebra Universalis 43 (2000), 213–216.
- [17] _____, 'Free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras with a Boolean retract', Algebra Universalis 48 (2002), 55-79.
- [18] —, 'Hájek basic fuzzy logic and łukasiewicz infinite-valued logic', Arch. Math. Logic 42 (2003), 361–370.
- [19] P. Hájek, Metamathematics of fuzzy logic (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1998).
- [20] A. Horn, 'Free L-algebras', J. Symbolic Logic 34 (1969), 475-480.
- [21] A. Iorgulescu, 'Connections between MV_n-algebras and n-valued łukasiewicz-moisil algebras. Part I', *Discrete Math.* 181 (1998), 155–177.
- [22] R. McNaughton, 'A theorem about infinite-valued sentential logic', J. Symbolic Logic 16 (1951), 1–13.
- [23] A. Di Nola, G. Georgescu and L. Leustean, 'Boolean products of BL-algebras', J. Math. Anal. Appl. 251 (2000), 106–131.
- [24] A. J. Rodríguez and A. Torrens, 'Wajsberg algebras and post algebras', *Studia Logica* 53 (1994), 1–19.
- [25] J. von Plato, 'Skolem's discovery of Gödel-Dummett logic', Studia Logica 73 (2003), 153-157.

Departamento de Matemática

Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales

Universidad de Buenos Aires - CONICET

Ciudad Universitaria, 1428 Buenos Aires

Argentina

e-mail: manuelabusaniche@yahoo.com.ar, cignoli@mate.dm.uba.ar