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1. Introduction. Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be the finite field of $q$ elements and let $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. We denote the $n$-dimensional projective and affine spaces defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ by $\mathbb{P}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \mathbb{P}^{n}:=\mathbb{P}^{n}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right), \mathbb{A}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ and $\mathbb{A}^{n}:=\mathbb{A}^{n}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)$ respectively. Let $V$ be an affine or a projective variety defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ (an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-variety for short). Counting or estimating the number $\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$ of $q$-rational points of $V$ is a classical problem. Here by a $q$-rational point of $V$ we mean a point of $V$ with coordinates in $\mathbb{E}_{q}$.

In [19] (see also [15]), S. Lang and A. Weil establish a "prototype" estimate on $\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$ for absolutely irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-varieties. They prove that for an absolutely irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$-variety $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $r$ and degree $\delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|-p_{r}\right| \leq(\delta-1)(\delta-2) q^{r-1 / 2}+C(n, r, \delta) q^{r-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{r}:=q^{r}+q^{r-1}+\cdots+q+1=\left|\mathbb{P}^{r}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$ and $C(n, r, \delta)$ is a constant independent of $q$. We remark that [19] does not provide an explicit expression for $C(n, r, \delta)$.

From the point of view of practical applications, it is usually necessary to provide explicit expressions of the constant $C:=C(n, r, \delta)$ (see, e.g., [14], [16], [24], [2]). Further, particular families of varieties for which better estimates hold are also of interest (see, e.g., [32], [33], [21], [25]).
S. Ghorpade and G. Lachaud ([10], [9]) show that one can take $C=$ $9 \cdot 2^{s}(s d+3)^{n+1}$ in (1), provided that the variety $V$ is defined by $s$ equations of degree at most $d$. The proof of this result relies on the GrothendieckLefschetz trace formula and estimates of the Betti numbers of suitable spaces of étale $\ell$-adic cohomology.

[^0]W. Schmidt ([27], [28]) develops an alternative approach based on combinatorial arguments and an effective version of the first Bertini theorem in order to obtain for the first time an explicit value of $C$ for an absolutely irreducible $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-hypersurface. The authors of this article [3] combine Schmidt's approach with tools of effective elimination theory and an improved effective version of the first Bertini theorem in order to prove that one can take $C=5 \delta^{13 / 3}$ in (1), provided that the regularity condition $q>2(r+1) \delta^{2}$ holds. The estimate (1) holds for hypersurfaces without any regularity condition.

These two are the best general estimates known. Nevertheless, in many particular cases they are far from being sharp. In fact, in the presence of better geometric conditions significant improvements can be obtained, as shown by the work of P. Deligne [7], C. Hooley [13] and others. This article is devoted to obtaining an estimate of type (1) for the number of $q$-rational points of a normal complete-intersection $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-variety $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$.

This case has already been considered in [10], [9]. The authors prove that if $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ is a normal complete-intersection $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-variety of degree $\delta$ and multidegree $\mathbf{d}:=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n-r}\right)$, defined by $n-r$ equations of maximum degree $d$, then the following estimate holds:
(2) $\left|\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|-p_{r}\right| \leq b_{1}^{\prime}(n-r+1, \mathbf{d}) q^{r-1 / 2}+9 \cdot 2^{n-r}((n-r) d+3)^{n+1} q^{r-1}$.

Here $b_{1}^{\prime}(n-r+1, \mathbf{d})$ is the first primitive Betti number of a nonsingular complete intersection curve in $\mathbb{P}^{n-r+1}$ of multidegree $\mathbf{d}$. As $b_{1}^{\prime}(n-r+1, \mathbf{d}) \leq$ $(\delta-1)(\delta-2)$, with equality if and only if $V$ is a hypersurface, we conclude that (2) improves (1) with $C=9 \cdot 2^{s}(s d+3)^{n+1}$.

Compared with the bound $C \leq 5 \delta^{13 / 3}$ obtained in [3] without using the normality assumption, the bound $C \leq 9 \cdot 2^{n-r}((n-r) d+3)^{n+1}$ does not seem to be good for low codimension varieties, in particular for hypersurfaces, which are very common in practical situations (see, e.g., [14], [16], [24], [2], [25]). Indeed, in the hypersurface case the bound for $C$ obtained in [3] exponentially improves that of [10], [9]. In this direction, using methods of elimination theory we derive a further estimate of type (2) better adapted to low codimensional situations. Our main result is the following (cf. Theorem 6.1 and Corollary 6.2 below):

Theorem. Let $q>2(n-r) d \delta+1$ and let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be a normal completeintersection $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-variety of degree $\delta$ and multidegree $\mathbf{d}$, defined by polynomials of maximum degree $d$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|-p_{r}\right| \leq b_{1}^{\prime}(n-r+1, \mathbf{d}) q^{r-1 / 2}+2(n-r)^{2} d^{2} \delta^{2} q^{r-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{1}^{\prime}(n-r+1, \mathbf{d})$ denotes the first primitive Betti number of a nonsingular complete-intersection curve in $\mathbb{P}^{n-r+1}$ of multidegree $\mathbf{d}$.

As previously mentioned, our estimate, although valid under the regularity condition $q>2(n-r) d \delta+1$, clearly improves (2) in the case of a
hypersurface. In fact, for a hypersurface (2) becomes

$$
\left|\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|-p_{n-1}\right| \leq(\delta-1)(\delta-2) q^{n-3 / 2}+18(\delta+3)^{n+1} q^{n-2}
$$

Our estimate also improves (2) in cases of low dimension (such as $2 r \leq n-1$ ) and low degree (such as $d \leq 2(n-r)$ ). Furthermore, we improve the (general) estimate $C=5 \delta^{13 / 3}$ of [3] and its regularity condition $q>2(r+1) \delta^{2}$.

The proof of our main result relies on arguments of elimination theory in the spirit of [3] and an effective version of the second Bertini theorem. More precisely, we express the variety $V$ under consideration as the disjoint union of a suitable number, namely $p_{r-1}:=\left|\mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$, of 1-dimensional linear sections of $V$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Since the dimension of the singular locus of $V$ is at most $r-2$, a generic 1-dimensional linear section of $V$ is a nonsingular complete-intersection curve. A critical point is to obtain an upper bound on the number of 1 -dimensional singular linear sections of $V$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. For this purpose, we establish the following effective version of the second Bertini theorem (see Theorem 5.3):

THEOREM. Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be a normal complete-intersection of dimension $r$ and degree $\delta$, and let $\pi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ be a generic linear projection. Then there exists a variety $W \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ of degree at most $2(n-r)^{2}(d-1)^{2} \delta$ such that the fiber $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is a nonsingular curve of degree at most $\delta$ for every $y \notin W$.

The number of $q$-rational points of $V$ lying in the nonsingular linear sections mentioned above is estimated using Deligne's estimate (see Section 6), while the $q$-rational points lying in the remaining linear sections are controlled by means of elementary estimates and our effective second Bertini theorem.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 3 we exhibit an upper bound on the number of $q$-rational points of an arbitrary projective variety defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, which illustrates the kind of arguments of elimination theory we use. Section 4 is devoted to obtaining an upper bound on the degree of the genericity condition underlying the choice of linear varieties $\mathcal{L}_{r}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n-r-1}$ for which the central projection from $\mathcal{L}_{n-r-1}$ mapping $V$ onto $\mathcal{L}_{r}$ is a finite morphism and the corresponding field extension is separable. In Section 5 we obtain the effective version of the second Bertini theorem mentioned above, which is applied in Section 6 to obtain (3). We finish by briefly commenting on an application of (3) in the setting of cryptography.
2. Notions and notations. We use standard notions and notations of commutative algebra and algebraic geometry as can be found in, e.g., [17], [30], [22].

Let K be any of the fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ or $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{q}}$. We say that $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.V \subset \mathbb{A}^{n}\right)$ is a projective (resp. affine) K -variety if it is the set of all common zeros
in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}^{n+1}$ (resp. $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}^{n}$ ) of a family of homogeneous polynomials $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m} \in$ $\mathrm{K}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ (resp. of polynomials $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{m} \in \mathrm{~K}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ ). In this section, unless otherwise stated, all results referring to K -varieties in general should be understood as valid for both projective and affine varieties.

For a K-variety $V$ in the $n$-dimensional (affine or projective) space, we denote by $I(V)$ its defining ideal and by $\mathrm{K}[V]$ its coordinate ring. The dimension $\operatorname{dim} V$ of a K-variety $V$ is the (Krull) dimension of the ring $\mathrm{K}[V]$. The degree $\operatorname{deg} V$ of an irreducible K-variety $V$ is the maximum number of points lying in the intersection of $V$ with a generic linear space $L$ of codimension $\operatorname{dim} V$, for which $V \cap L$ is a finite set (a zero-dimensional variety). More generally, if $V=V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{s}$ is the decomposition of $V$ into irreducible K-components, we define the degree of $V$ as $\operatorname{deg} V:=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{deg} V_{i}$ (cf. [11]).

We say that $V$ has pure dimension $r$ if every irreducible K-component of $V$ has dimension $r$. A K-variety $V$ is absolutely irreducible if it is irreducible as an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$-variety.

A K-variety $V$ of dimension $r$ in an $n$-dimensional space is called an (ideal-theoretic) complete intersection if its ideal $I(V)$ over K can be generated by $n-r$ polynomials. If $V$ is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $r$ and degree $\delta$ and $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n-r}$ is a system of generators of $I(V)$, the degrees $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n-r}$ depend only on $V$ and not on the system of generators. Arranging the $d_{i}$ in such a way that $d_{1} \geq \cdots \geq d_{n-r}$, we call $\mathbf{d}:=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n-r}\right)$ the multidegree of the complete intersection $V$. In particular, it follows that $\delta=\prod_{i=1}^{n-r} d_{i}$.

An irreducible projective K-variety $V$ is normal if for every $x \in V$ there is an affine neighborhood $U$ of $x$ such that the affine coordinate ring $\mathrm{K}[U]$ is integrally closed. Nonsingular varieties are normal, and when $V$ is a curve, normality and nonsingularity are equivalent conditions. We recall Serre's criterion for normality: A projective complete intersection $V$ is normal if and only if $V$ is regular in codimension 1 . If $V$ is a normal complete-intersection curve it is connected and so absolutely irreducible.

Let $V$ and $W$ be irreducible K-varieties of the same dimension and $f$ : $V \rightarrow W$ be a regular dominant map. The degree of the field extension $f^{*}(\mathrm{~K}(W)) \subset \mathrm{K}(V)$ is called the degree of $f$. Suppose further that $W$ is normal and $f$ is a finite morphism. We say that $f$ is unramified at $y \in W$ if the number of inverse images of $y$ equals the degree of $f$.

An important tool for our estimates is the following Bézout inequality (see [11] for the affine case and [5] for the projective case; see also [8], [34]): if $V$ and $W$ are K-varieties, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}(V \cap W) \leq \operatorname{deg} V \operatorname{deg} W \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall also make use of the following well-known identities relating the degree of an affine K -variety $V \subset \mathbb{A}^{n}$, the degree of its projective closure
(with respect to the projective Zariski K-topology) $\bar{V} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ and the degree of the affine cone $\widetilde{V}$ of $\bar{V}$ (see, e.g., [4, Proposition 1.11]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} V=\operatorname{deg} \bar{V}=\operatorname{deg} \tilde{V} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we have the following result concerning the behavior of the degree under linear maps.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\phi: V \rightarrow W$ be a regular linear map between K -varieties. Then $\operatorname{deg} \overline{\phi(V)} \leq \operatorname{deg} V$.

Proof. From (5) we see that it is enough to prove the statement for affine varieties. But for affine varieties this is a well-known fact (see, e.g., [11, Lemma 2]).
3. An elementary upper bound. Following the notations of the preceding section, $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ stand for $\mathbb{P}^{n}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)$ and $\mathbb{A}^{n}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)$ respectively. For a given variety $V$, we denote by $V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ the set of $q$-rational points of $V$ and by $\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$ its cardinality.

In this section we obtain an elementary upper bound on $\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$. Notice that in some cases it is possible to determine the exact value of $\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$. For instance, the number of points $p_{n}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ is given by $p_{n}:=\left|\mathbb{P}^{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|=$ $q^{n}+q^{n-1}+\cdots+q+1$.

For an affine variety $V$ of dimension $r$ and degree $\delta$ we have the following upper bound on the number of $q$-rational points of $V$ [3, Lemma 2.1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq \delta q^{r} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding upper bound for a projective hypersurface is classical ([28], [20]). Our next result extends this bound to arbitrary projective varieties:

Proposition 3.1. Let $V$ be a projective variety of dimension $r$ and degree $\delta$. Then

$$
\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq \delta p_{r}
$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on $r$. If $r=0$ then it is clear that $\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq \delta$. Hence we may assume that $r \geq 1$. Suppose now that $V$ is irreducible. After a linear change of coordinates we may assume that the hyperplane at infinity $\left\{X_{0}=0\right\}$ does not contain $V$.

Then $V_{\text {aff }}:=V \cap\left\{X_{0}=1\right\}$ is an affine $r$-dimensional variety with projective closure $V$. Therefore, deg $V_{\text {aff }}=\delta$ by (5) and thus (6) implies $\left|V_{\text {aff }}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq \delta q^{r}$.

On the other hand, by assumption, $V_{\infty}:=V \cap\left\{X_{0}=0\right\}=V \backslash V_{\text {aff }}$ is a projective variety of dimension at most $r-1$ and degree at most $\delta$. Then by the induction hypothesis we have $\left|V_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq \delta p_{r-1}$.

In conclusion,

$$
\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|=\left|V_{\mathrm{aff}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|+\left|V_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq \delta q^{r}+\delta p_{r-1}=\delta p_{r}
$$

This completes the inductive step when $V$ is irreducible. Next, for an arbitrary projective variety $V$, let $V=V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{s}$ be its decomposition into irreducible projective varieties. Then $\operatorname{dim} V_{i} \leq r$ and $\delta=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \delta_{i}$, where $\delta_{i}:=\operatorname{deg} V_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Therefore

$$
\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left|V_{i}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s} \delta_{i} p_{r} \leq \delta p_{r}
$$

This finishes the proof of the proposition.
A somewhat different proof is given in [10, Proposition 12.1] (see also [18, Proposition 2.3]). Nevertheless, we have included our proof because it illustrates the kind of arguments of elimination theory we use. We also observe that in the case of an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-hypersurface $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ of degree $\delta \leq q+1$ we have the upper bound $\left|H\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq \delta q^{n-1}+p_{n-2}$ due to J.-P. Serre [29]. Unfortunately, the hypersurfaces we consider in the next sections are not in general defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and thus Serre's bound cannot be applied.
4. On the existence of good linear projections. In this section we establish some results which are crucial to obtaining our effective version of the second Bertini theorem of Section 5.

Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be an absolutely irreducible complete-intersection $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-variety of dimension $r$ and degree $\delta$. Let $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n-r} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ be homogeneous polynomials which form a regular sequence and generate the ideal of the variety $V$. We denote by $d_{i}$ the degree of $F_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-r$, and we set $d:=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n-r} d_{i}$.

Since $V$ has pure dimension $r$, for a generic choice of linear varieties $\mathcal{L}_{r}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n-r-1}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $r$ and $n-r-1$ respectively, we have

$$
\mathcal{L}_{r} \cap \mathcal{L}_{n-r-1}=\emptyset, \quad V \cap \mathcal{L}_{n-r-1}=\emptyset .
$$

Furthermore, $V$ is mapped onto $\mathcal{L}_{r}$ by the central projection $\pi_{r}$ from $\mathcal{L}_{n-r-1}$, and finitely many points of $V$ lie over any point of $\mathcal{L}_{r}$ under this projection. Finally, if $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}$ are linear forms on $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{q}}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ whose zero set defines the linear variety $\mathcal{L}_{n-r-1}$, and $\pi_{r}$ is defined by

$$
\pi_{r}: V \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{r}, \quad x \mapsto\left(Y_{0}(x): \cdots: Y_{r}(x)\right)
$$

then $\pi_{r}$ is a finite morphism. Our first result yields a suitable choice for the linear variety $\mathcal{L}_{n-r-1}$ :

Lemma 4.1. There exist indices $0 \leq i_{r+1}<\cdots<i_{n} \leq n$ such that, if we define $Y_{j}:=X_{i_{j}}$ for $r+1 \leq j \leq n$, then $Y_{r+1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ are $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$-linearly
independent and $\mathcal{U}:=\left\{x \in V:\left(\partial F_{i} / \partial Y_{r+j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-r}(x) \neq 0\right\}$ is a nonempty Zariski open subset of $V$.

Proof. Since $V$ is absolutely irreducible, from, e.g., [28, Chapter 6, Corollary 6.C], we conclude that there exist linear forms $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ such that $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}(V)$ is an algebraic separable field extension. Further, these linear forms can be chosen in such a way that the projection mapping $\pi_{r}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r}$ defined by $\pi_{r}(x):=\left(Y_{0}(x): \cdots: Y_{r}(x)\right)$ is a finite morphism, as asserted above. For the sake of the argument, fix arbitrarily such linear forms and denote by $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}^{(r+1) \times(n+1)}$ the matrix whose rows are the coefficients of these forms.

From, e.g., [31, II.6.3, Theorem 4], we see that there exists $y \in \mathbb{P}^{r}$ such that $\pi_{r}^{-1}(y)$ is an unramified fiber of $\pi_{r}$, i.e., the number of inverse images of $y$ equals the degree of the field extension $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}(V)$. Fix arbitrarily $x \in \pi_{r}^{-1}(y)$. The unramifiedness of $\pi_{r}$ at $x$ means that the differential $d_{x} \pi_{r}: T_{x} V \rightarrow T_{y} \mathbb{P}^{r}$ between the tangent spaces is injective (see [6, §5, 5.2]). This in turns means that the following $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ matrix is nonsingular:

$$
D_{r}(x):=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda_{0,0} & \cdots & \lambda_{0, n} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\lambda_{r, 0} & \ldots & \lambda_{r, n} \\
\frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{0}}(x) & \ldots & \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial X_{n}}(x) \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial F_{n-r}}{\partial X_{0}}(x) & \ldots & \frac{\partial F_{n-r}}{\partial X_{n}}(x)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Considering the Laplace expansion of the determinant of $D_{r}(x)$, we conclude that there exist two disjoint sets of indices $0 \leq i_{0}<i_{1}<\cdots<i_{r} \leq n$ and $0 \leq i_{r+1}<\cdots<i_{n} \leq n$ such that both the square Jacobian matrices $\left(\partial Y_{i} / \partial X_{i_{j}}\right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq r}$ and $\left(\left(\partial F_{i} / \partial X_{i_{r+j}}\right)(x)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-r}$ are nonsingular.

From the nonsingularity of $\left(\partial Y_{i} / \partial X_{i_{j}}\right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq r}$ we conclude that the linear forms $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}, X_{i_{r+1}}, \ldots, X_{i_{n}}$ are $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$-linearly independent. Furthermore, defining $Y_{j}:=X_{i_{j}}$ for $r+1 \leq j \leq n$, we see that the matrix $\left(\left(\partial F_{i} / \partial Y_{r+j}\right)(x)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-r}$ is nonsingular, which implies that $\mathcal{U}:=\{x \in V$ : $\left.\left(\partial F_{i} / \partial Y_{r+j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-r}(x) \neq 0\right\}$ is a nonempty Zariski open subset of $V$.

From now on we fix linear forms $Y_{r+1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ satisfying the statement of Lemma 4.1. Our next result yields an upper bound on the degree of the genericity condition underlying the choice of the linear variety $\mathcal{L}_{r}$. Before stating it, we introduce some notations. Let $\Lambda:=\left(\Lambda_{i, j}\right)_{0 \leq i \leq r, 0 \leq j \leq n}$ be a matrix of indeterminates and let $\Lambda^{(i)}$ denote the $i$ th row of $\Lambda$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$. Set $X:=\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ and $\widetilde{Y}:=\left(\widetilde{Y}_{0}, \ldots, \widetilde{Y}_{r}\right):=\Lambda X$.

Proposition 4.2. There exists a nonzero polynomial $A \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[\Lambda]$ of degree at most $2 \delta+1$ in each group of variables $\Lambda^{(i)}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$ with the following property. For any $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}^{(r+1)(n+1)}$ with $A(\lambda) \neq 0$, the linear forms $\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right):=\lambda X$ satisfy the following conditions:
(i) the map $\pi_{r}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r}$ defined by $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}$ is a finite morphism,
(ii) $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}(V)$ is a separable field extension,
(iii) if $Y_{r+1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ denote the linear forms of Lemma 4.1, then $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ are $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$-linearly independent.

Proof. Let $\Lambda^{(r+1)}$ be a vector of $n+1$ new indeterminates and let $\widetilde{Y}_{r+1}:=$ $\Lambda^{(r+1)} X$. Let $P_{V} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left[\Lambda, \Lambda^{(r+1)}, \widetilde{Y}_{0}, \ldots, \widetilde{Y}_{r+1}\right]$ be the Chow form of $V$ (cf. [26], [12]). It is a well-known fact that $P_{V}$ is an irreducible polynomial in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left[\Lambda, \Lambda^{(r+1)}, \widetilde{Y}_{0}, \ldots, \widetilde{Y}_{r+1}\right]$ which is separable in each of the variables $\widetilde{Y}_{0}, \ldots, \widetilde{Y}_{r+1}$ and homogeneous in $\widetilde{Y}_{0}, \ldots, \widetilde{Y}_{r+1}$ and in each group of variables $\Lambda^{(i)}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r+1$. Furthermore, $P_{V}$ satisfies the following degree estimates:

- $\operatorname{deg}_{\widetilde{Y}} P_{V}=\operatorname{deg}_{\widetilde{Y}_{r+1}} P_{V}=\delta$,
- $\operatorname{deg}_{\Lambda^{(i)}} P_{V} \leq \delta$ for $0 \leq i \leq r+1$.

Considering the expansion of $P_{V}$ in powers of $\widetilde{Y}_{r+1}$, let $\widetilde{A}_{1} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left[\Lambda, \Lambda^{(r+1)}\right]$ be the nonzero polynomial which arises as the coefficient of the monomial $\widetilde{Y}_{r+1}^{\delta}$ in $P_{V}$, and let $\widetilde{A}_{2} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left[\Lambda, \Lambda^{(r+1)}, \widetilde{Y}_{0}, \ldots, \widetilde{Y}_{r}\right]$ be the coefficient of a monomial $\tilde{Y}_{r+1}^{j_{0}}$, with $j_{0}$ not divisible by the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[\Lambda]$ be nonzero coefficients of $\widetilde{A}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{A}_{2}$, respectively, where we consider $\widetilde{A}_{1}$ as an element of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[\Lambda]\left[\Lambda^{(r+1)}\right]$ and $\widetilde{A}_{2}$ as an element of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[\Lambda]\left[\Lambda^{(r+1)}, \widetilde{Y}_{0}, \ldots, \widetilde{Y}_{r}\right]$. The above estimates imply that both $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ have degree at most $\delta$ in each group of variables $\Lambda^{(i)}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r+1$.

Let $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}^{(r+1)(n+1)}$ be any point for which $A_{1}(\lambda) \neq 0$ and $A_{2}(\lambda) \neq 0$, and define the $r+1$ linear forms $\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right):=\lambda X$. Since $\widetilde{A}_{1}\left(\lambda, \Lambda^{(r+1)}\right)$ and $\widetilde{A}_{2}\left(\lambda, \Lambda^{(r+1)}, Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right)$ are nonzero polynomials, we deduce the existence of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$-linearly independent vectors $w_{0}, \ldots, w_{n} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}{ }^{n+1}$ such that $P_{V}\left(\lambda, w_{j}, Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}, \widetilde{Y}_{r+1}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left[Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right]\left[\widetilde{Y}_{r+1}\right]$ is a nonzero, monic (up to elements of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ ) and separable polynomial, for every $0 \leq j \leq n$.

If we define $\ell_{j}:=w_{j} X$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$, it turns out that the polynomial $P_{V}\left(\lambda, w_{j}, Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}, \ell_{j}\right)$ yields an integral dependence equation for the coordinate function induced by $\ell_{j}$ in the ring extension $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right] \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[V]$. On the other hand, $P_{V}\left(\lambda, w_{j}, Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}, \ell_{j}\right)$ also yields a separable equation for $\ell_{j}$ in the field extension $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}(V)$. Since $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left[\ell_{0}, \ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right]=$ $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$, we conclude that conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied.

Finally, to prove (iii), let $A_{3} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[\Lambda]$ be the nonzero determinant of the matrix defined by the vectors of the coefficients of the linear forms $\widetilde{Y}_{0}, \ldots, \widetilde{Y}_{r}$,
$Y_{r+1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$, where $Y_{r+1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ are the linear forms of the statement of Lemma 4.1. It is clear that if $A_{3}(\lambda) \neq 0$ and we define $\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right):=\lambda X$, then condition (iii) will be satisfied. Observe that $\operatorname{deg}_{\Lambda^{(i)}} A_{3} \leq 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$.

Define $A:=A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}$; our previous arguments show that $A$ satisfies the requirements of the statement of the proposition.

From Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 we deduce the main result of this section:

Corollary 4.3. Let $q>2(n-r) d \delta+1$. Then there exist linear forms $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) the map $\pi_{r}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r}$ defined by $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}$ is a finite morphism,
(ii) the map $\pi_{r-1}: V \backslash \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ defined by $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r-1}$ is a finite morphism,
(iii) $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}(V)$ is a separable field extension,
(iv) $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r-1}\right) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}(\mathcal{C})$ is a separable field extension for every $a b$ solutely irreducible component $\mathcal{C}$ of $V \backslash \mathcal{U}$,
(v) the linear forms $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}, Y_{r+1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ are $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$-linearly independent.

Proof. From Proposition 4.2 it follows that there exists a nonzero polynomial $A \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[\Lambda]$ of degree at most $2 \delta+1$ in each group of variables $\Lambda^{(i)}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r+1$ such that, for every $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}(r+1)(n+1)$ with $A(\lambda) \neq 0$, defining $\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right):=\lambda X$, we see that conditions (i), (iii) and (v) are satisfied.

Let $V \backslash \mathcal{U}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{s} \mathcal{C}_{j}$ be the decomposition of $V \backslash \mathcal{U}$ into absolutely irreducible components. We have $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}_{j}=r-1$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$. From the proof of Proposition 4.2 we conclude that for $1 \leq j \leq s$ there exists a nonzero polynomial $A^{(j)} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[\Lambda]$ of degree at most $2 \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{C}_{j}$ in each group of variables $\Lambda^{(i)}$ such that for every $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}^{(r+1)(n+1)}$ with $A^{(j)}(\lambda) \neq 0$, the linear forms $\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right):=\lambda X$ satisfy conditions (ii) and (iv) for $\mathcal{C}_{j}$.

Since $\sum_{j=1}^{s} \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{C}_{j}=\operatorname{deg}(V \backslash \mathcal{U}) \leq(n-r)(d-1) \delta$, we conclude that the polynomial $A^{*}:=A \cdot A^{(1)} \cdots A^{(s)}$ has degree at most $2 \delta+1+2(n-r)(d-1) \delta \leq$ $2(n-r) d \delta+1$ in each group of variables $\Lambda^{(i)}$, and for every $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}(r+1)(n+1)$ with $A^{*}(\lambda) \neq 0$, the linear forms $\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right):=\lambda X$ satisfy conditions (i)-(v).

Let $a^{(0)} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left[\Lambda^{(0)}\right]$ be a nonzero coefficient of $A^{*}$, considering $A^{*}$ as a polynomial in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left[\Lambda^{(0)}\right]\left[\Lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(r)}\right]$. By (6) it follows that $a^{(0)}$ has at most $(2(n-r) d \delta+1) q^{n}$ zeros in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n+1}$. Since $q>2(n-r) d \delta+1$, we conclude that there exists $\lambda^{(0)} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n+1}$ such that $A^{*}\left(\lambda^{(0)}, \Lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \Lambda^{(r)}\right)$ is a nonzero polynomial. Arguing in a similar way, we successively deduce the existence of $\lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(r)} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n+1}$ such that $A^{*}(\lambda) \neq 0$ for $\lambda:=\left(\lambda^{(0)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(r)}\right)$. The linear forms $\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}\right):=\lambda X$ satisfy the conditions of the corollary.

We remark that, from the proof of Corollary 4.3, we deduce that there exist linear forms $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ such that the map $\pi_{r}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r}$ defined
by $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r}$ is a finite morphism for $q>\delta-1$. This is also proved in [18, Proposition 2.3].
5. An effective second Bertini theorem. This section is devoted to establishing an effective version of the second Bertini theorem suitable for our requirements. The second Bertini theorem (see, e.g., [31, II.6.2, Theorem 2]) asserts that, given a dominant morphism of irreducible varieties $f: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ defined over a field of characteristic zero with $V_{1}$ nonsingular, there exists a dense open set $U$ of $V_{2}$ such that the fiber $f^{-1}(y)$ is nonsingular for every $y \in U$. Our effective version holds without any restriction on the characteristic of the ground field and gives an upper bound on the degree of the subvariety of points of $V_{2}$ defining singular fibers. An effective version of a weak form of the Bertini theorem is given in [1]. Nevertheless, the bound given there is exponentially higher than ours and therefore is not suitable for our purposes.

Let notations and assumptions be as in Section 4. Assume that $q>$ $2(n-r) d \delta+1$, and let $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ be linear forms satisfying conditions (i)-(v) of Corollary 4.3. Consider the linear mappings $\pi_{r}: V \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ and $\pi_{r-1}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ defined by $\pi_{r}(x):=\left(Y_{0}(x): \cdots: Y_{r}(x)\right)$ and $\pi_{r-1}(x):=\left(Y_{0}(x): \cdots: Y_{r-1}(x)\right)$. Then $\pi_{r}$ is a well-defined finite morphism, $\pi_{r-1}$ is well-defined outside (the 0-dimensional subvariety) $\pi_{r}^{-1}(0: \cdots: 0: 1)$, and the choice of the linear forms $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r-1}$ implies that $\pi_{r-1}^{-1}(y)$ is a pure dimensional curve on $V$ for every $y \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. We shall prove that there exists a proper subvariety $W$ of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ such that $\pi_{r-1}^{-1}(y)$ is nonsingular for every $y \notin W$, and we shall provide an upper bound for the degree of $W$.

For a given $x \in V$ and $y:=\pi_{r-1}(x) \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$, we denote by $T_{x} V$ and $T_{y} \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ the respective tangent spaces. Further, we denote by $d_{x} \pi_{r-1}: T_{x} V$ $\rightarrow T_{y} \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ the differential of $\pi_{r-1}$ at $x$ and for any $y \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ we set $V_{y}$ $:=\pi_{r-1}^{-1}(y)$. We start with the following lemma, which yields a sufficient condition for the nonsingularity of the fiber $V_{y}$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $y$ be a point of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ such that for any point $x \in V_{y}$ the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $x$ is a regular point of $V$,
(ii) $d_{x} \pi_{r-1}$ is surjective.

Then $V_{y}$ is a nonsingular curve.
Proof. Let $x$ be an arbitrary point of $V_{y}$. Since the composite of $T_{x} V_{y} \hookrightarrow$ $T_{x} V$ with $d_{x} \pi_{r-1}$ is the zero map, the tangent space $T_{x} V_{y}$ is contained in the kernel of $d_{x} \pi_{r-1}$. By the surjectivity of $d_{x} \pi_{r-1}$ the dimension of the image of $d_{x} \pi_{r-1}$ equals $r-1$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{dim} T_{x} V_{y} \leq \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} d_{x} \pi_{r-1}=\operatorname{dim} T_{x} V-\operatorname{dim} T_{y} \mathbb{P}^{r-1}=1
$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $x$ is a nonsingular point of $V$. Since $V_{y}$ is of pure dimension 1 , we conclude that $\operatorname{dim} T_{x} V_{y}=1$ and therefore $x$ is regular point of $V_{y}$. This shows that $V_{y}$ is nonsingular.

Next we give a sufficient condition for the surjectivity of $d_{x} \pi_{r-1}$.
Lemma 5.2. Let $\mathcal{U}=\left\{x \in V: \operatorname{det}\left(\partial F_{i} / \partial Y_{r+j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-r}(x) \neq 0\right\}$ be the nonempty Zariski open subset of $V$ of Lemma 4.1. Then $d_{x} \pi_{r-1}$ is surjective for every $x \in \mathcal{U} \backslash \pi_{r}^{-1}(0: \cdots: 0: 1)$.

Proof. Let $x$ be an arbitrary point of $\mathcal{U}$. Then $x$ is a regular point of $V$, which implies that $T_{x} V$ has dimension $r$. Therefore, from the identity $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} d_{x} \pi_{r-1}=r-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im} d_{x} \pi_{r-1}$, we conclude that the surjectivity of $d_{x} \pi_{r-1}$ is equivalent to the condition $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} d_{x} \pi_{r-1}=1$. Suppose without loss of generality that $Y_{0}(x) \neq 0$. Then we may assume that we are in an affine situation, and $\pi_{r-1}$ is locally defined by $\pi_{r-1}(x):=\left(Y_{1}(x)\right.$, $\left.\ldots, Y_{r-1}(x)\right)$. Now $\operatorname{Ker} d_{x} \pi_{r-1}$ is the affine linear space defined by the equations $\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\partial F_{i} / \partial Y_{j}\right)(x)\left(Y_{j}-Y_{j}(x)\right)=0(1 \leq i \leq n-r), Y_{k}-Y_{k}(x)=0$ $(1 \leq k \leq r-1)$. From the definition of $\mathcal{U}$ we see that these equations are $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$-linearly independent, which proves that $\operatorname{Ker} d_{x} \pi_{r-1}$ has dimension 1. This completes the proof.

Now we are ready to state our effective version of the second Bertini theorem.

ThEOREM 5.3. There exists a proper subvariety $W \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ of degree at most $2(n-r)^{2}(d-1)^{2} \delta$ such that the fiber $V_{y}$ is a nonsingular curve of degree at most $\delta$ for every $y \notin W$.

Proof. Let $Z$ be the proper closed subset of $V$ consisting of the points of $V$ where $d_{x} \pi_{r-1}$ is not surjective, and let $V_{\text {reg }}$ and $V_{\text {sing }}$ denote the sets of regular and singular points of $V$, respectively. Then $Z$ can be expressed as

$$
Z=\left(Z \cap V_{\mathrm{reg}}\right) \cup\left(Z \cap V_{\text {sing }}\right)=\overline{Z \cap V_{\mathrm{reg}}} \cup\left(Z \cap V_{\text {sing }}\right)
$$

where $\overline{Z \cap V_{\text {reg }}}$ denotes the Zariski closure of $Z \cap V_{\text {reg }}$. From Lemma 5.2 we conclude that $Z \subset V \backslash \mathcal{U}$, i.e.,

$$
Z \subset\left\{x \in V: F_{1}(x)=\cdots=F_{n-r}(x)=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial F_{i} / \partial Y_{r+j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-r}(x)=0\right\}
$$

Since $V$ is a normal variety, the set of singular points $V_{\text {sing }}$ has codimension at least two in $V$.

Claim 1. There exists a closed subset $Z_{\text {sing }} \subset V$ of codimension two in $V$ and degree bounded by $(n-r)^{2}(d-1)^{2} \delta$ such that $V_{\text {sing }} \subset Z_{\text {sing }}$.

Proof of Claim 1. The Jacobian matrix $\left(\partial F_{i} / \partial X_{j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n-r, 1 \leq j \leq n+1}$ has $N_{r}:=\binom{n+1}{n-r}$ maximal minors $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{N_{r}}$. If $x \in V$ is a regular point, at least one of these minors is not zero at $x$. As a consequence we may choose $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{N_{r}} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{N_{r}} \gamma_{j} M_{j}(x) \neq 0$. Setting $G:=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{j} M_{j}$,
from the Jacobian criterion we see that $V_{\text {sing }} \subset V \cap\{G=0\} \subset V$. Moreover, the absolute irreducibility of $V$ implies that $V \cap\{G=0\}$ is an equidimensional projective variety of dimension $r-1$.

Consider now the decomposition $V \cap\{G=0\}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} \mathcal{C}_{i}$ into absolutely irreducible components. Since $V_{\text {sing }}$ has dimension at most $r-2$, it follows that $\mathcal{C}_{i} \cap V_{\text {reg }}$ is nonempty for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Hence, arguing as above, we conclude that there exist $x_{i} \in V_{\text {reg }} \cap \mathcal{C}_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\gamma}_{N_{r}} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ such that no $x_{i}$ is a zero of the polynomial $H:=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{r}} \widetilde{\gamma}_{j} M_{j}$. Observe that both $G$ and $H$ have degree at most $(n-r)(d-1)$.

We define $Z_{\text {sing }}:=V \cap\{G=0, H=0\}$. By construction, $V_{\text {sing }} \subset Z_{\text {sing }}$ $\subset V$ and $Z_{\text {sing }}$ is an equidimensional projective variety of dimension $r-2$. Furthermore, from the Bézout inequality (4) we conclude that $\operatorname{deg} Z_{\text {sing }} \leq$ $\delta \operatorname{deg} G \operatorname{deg} H \leq(n-r)^{2}(d-1)^{2} \delta$. This finishes the proof of our claim.

CLAIM 2. There exists a proper closed subset $Z_{\text {reg }} \subset V$ of degree bounded by $(n-r)^{2}(d-1)^{2} \delta$ such that $Z \cap V_{\mathrm{reg}} \subset Z_{\mathrm{reg}}$ and $\pi_{r-1}\left(Z_{\mathrm{reg}}\right)$ is a proper closed subset of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$.

Proof of Claim 2. We consider separately the cases $\operatorname{dim} \overline{Z \cap V_{\text {reg }}}=r-1$ and $\operatorname{dim} \overline{Z \cap V_{\text {reg }}}<r-1$.

First, suppose that $\overline{Z \cap V_{\text {reg }}}$ has dimension $r-1$. Let $\overline{Z \cap V_{\text {reg }}}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} \mathcal{D}_{i}$ be the decomposition into absolutely irreducible components. We are going to prove that the image of each $\mathcal{D}_{i}$ under $\pi_{r-1}$ is a proper closed subset of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. For components having dimension less than $r-1$ this is clear, hence we only have to deal with components of dimension $r-1$.

Assume that there exists an irreducible component $\mathcal{D}_{i}$ of $\overline{Z \cap V_{\text {reg }}}$ of dimension $r-1$ for which $\pi_{r-1}\left(\mathcal{D}_{i}\right)=\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Since $\mathcal{D}_{i} \subset Z \subset V \backslash \mathcal{U}$, and $V \backslash \mathcal{U}$ has dimension $r-1$, it follows that $\mathcal{D}_{i}$ is an absolutely irreducible component of $V \backslash \mathcal{U}$, and Corollary 4.3 implies that the field extension $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left(Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r-1}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{i}\right)$ is separable. Applying, e.g., [31, II.6.2, Lemma 2], we conclude that there exists a nonempty Zariski open subset $\mathcal{O}_{i} \subset \mathcal{D}_{i}$ such that $d_{x} \pi_{r-1}$ is surjective for every $x \in \mathcal{O}_{i}$, contrary to $\mathcal{D}_{i} \subset Z$. This shows that $\pi_{r-1}\left(\mathcal{D}_{i}\right)$ is a proper closed subset of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Let $M(x)$ denote the Jacobian matrix of $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n-r}, Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r-1}$ with respect to the variables $X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}$ evaluated at $x$. A point $x \in V_{\text {reg }}$ belongs to $Z$ if and only if $M(x)$ does not have full rank $n$. If $x \in V_{\text {reg }}$ is a point for which $d_{x} \pi_{r-1}$ is surjective (for instance, $x$ can be chosen in the nonempty open set $\mathcal{U}$ of Lemma 5.2), the matrix $M(x)$ has full rank $n$, and hence it has at least one nonzero $n \times n$ minor. Denoting by $M^{(1)}, \ldots, M^{(n+1)}$ the maximal minors of $M$, we define the polynomial $\widetilde{G}:=\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \eta_{j} M^{(j)}$, where $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n+1}$ are elements of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ such that $\widetilde{G}(x) \neq 0$. It follows that $V \cap\{\widetilde{G}=0\}$ is an equidimensional projective variety of dimension $r-1$.

Furthermore, by our characterization of the points of $Z \cap V_{\text {reg }}$ we easily conclude that $Z \cap V_{\text {reg }} \subset V \cap\{\widetilde{G}=0\}$ and so $\overline{Z \cap V_{\text {reg }}} \subset V \cap\{\widetilde{G}=0\}$.

Let $V \cap\{\widetilde{G}=0\}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{t^{\prime}} \mathcal{E}_{i}$ be the decomposition into absolutely irreducible components. As before, given that $\operatorname{dim} V_{\text {sing }} \leq r-2$ and that each $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ has dimension $r-1$, the intersection $\mathcal{E}_{i} \cap V_{\text {reg }}$ is nonempty for each $1 \leq i \leq t^{\prime}$. Assume that $\mathcal{E}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_{t^{\prime \prime}}$ are all the components contained in $\overline{Z \cap V_{\text {reg }}}$ for certain $t^{\prime \prime} \leq t^{\prime}$. This means that for $t^{\prime \prime}+1 \leq i \leq t^{\prime}$ there exists a point $x_{i} \in \mathcal{E}_{i} \cap\left(V_{\text {reg }} \backslash Z\right)$. Hence, arguing as for Claim 1 we conclude that there exist $\widetilde{\eta}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\eta}_{n+1} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ such that no $x_{i}$ is a root of the polynomial $\widetilde{H}:=\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \widetilde{\eta}_{j} M_{j}$.

We consider the variety $Z_{\mathrm{reg}}:=V \cap\{\widetilde{G}=0, \widetilde{H}=0\}$. By construction, $Z \cap V_{\mathrm{reg}} \subset Z_{\mathrm{reg}} \subset V$ and $Z_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is a projective variety of dimension $r-1$. Furthermore, $Z_{\text {reg }}$ can be expressed as $Z_{\text {reg }}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{t^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{E}_{i} \cup \widetilde{Z}$ with $\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{Z} \leq r-2$ and $\operatorname{dim} \pi_{r-1}\left(\mathcal{E}_{i}\right) \leq r-2$ for $1 \leq i \leq t^{\prime \prime}$, which proves that $\pi_{r-1}\left(Z_{\mathrm{reg}}\right)$ is strictly contained in $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Finally, from the Bézout inequality (4) we conclude that $\operatorname{deg} Z_{\text {reg }} \leq \delta \operatorname{deg} \widetilde{G} \operatorname{deg} \widetilde{H} \leq(n-r)^{2}(d-1)^{2} \delta$. This finishes the proof of our claim in the case $\operatorname{dim} \overline{Z \cap V_{\text {reg }}}=r-1$.

The analysis of the case $\operatorname{dim} \overline{Z \cap V_{\text {reg }}}<r-1$ is simpler since we do not have to deal with components of $\overline{Z \cap V_{\text {reg }}}$ of dimension $r-1$. Therefore, choosing the polynomials $\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{H}$ as above guarantees that $\operatorname{dim} \pi_{r-1}\left(Z_{\text {reg }}\right) \leq$ $r-2$. This finishes the proof of Claim 2.

From the claims above we know that $Z \cup V_{\text {sing }} \subset Z_{\text {sing }} \cup Z_{\text {reg }}$ and $Z_{\text {sing }} \cup$ $Z_{\text {reg }}$ is a proper subvariety of $V$ of dimension $r-1$ and degree at most $2(n-r)^{2}(d-1)^{2} \delta$. Furthermore, $W:=\pi_{r-1}\left(Z_{\text {reg }} \cup Z_{\text {sing }}\right)$ is a proper subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ which, by Lemma 2.1, has degree at most $2(n-r)^{2}(d-1)^{2} \delta$. For $y \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \backslash W$ every $x \in V_{y}$ is a regular point of $V$ not belonging to $Z$. Then Lemma 5.1 shows that $V_{y}$ is a nonsingular curve of $V$, which by (4) has degree at most $\delta$. This finishes the proof of the theorem.

Since the curve $V_{y}$ is a nonsingular projective complete intersection for $y \notin W$, Hartshorne's connectedness theorem (see, e.g., [17, VI, Theorem 4.2]) shows that $V_{y}$ is connected, which implies that $V_{y}$ is absolutely irreducible.
6. The estimate. In this section we obtain an estimate on the number of $q$-rational points of a normal complete-intersection $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-variety $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension $r$, degree $\delta$ and multidegree $\mathbf{d}:=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n-r}\right)$. Our estimate relies on the following estimate, due to P. Deligne ([7]), on the number of $q$-rational points of a nonsingular complete-intersection $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ of degree $\delta$ and multidegree $\mathbf{d}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left|\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|-p_{1}\right| \leq b_{1}^{\prime}(n, \mathbf{d}) q^{1 / 2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{1}^{\prime}(n, \mathbf{d})$ denotes the first primitive Betti number of any nonsingular complete intersection $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ of dimension 1 and multidegree $\mathbf{d}$. We have $b_{1}^{\prime}(n, \mathbf{d}) \leq(\delta-1)(\delta-2)$, with equality if and only if $n=2$.

Set $d:=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n-r} d_{i}$ and assume that $q>2(n-r) d \delta+1$. Then there exist linear forms $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ satisfying conditions (i)-(v) of Corollary 4.3. We recall that the choice of $Y_{0}, \ldots, Y_{r-1}$ implies that $V_{y}:=\pi_{r-1}^{-1}(y)$ is a pure dimensional curve on $V$ for every $y \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$.

Denote by $N_{y}$ the number of $q$-rational points of $V_{y}$ for any $y \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{E}_{q}\right)$. We are going to estimate $\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$ in terms of the quantities $N_{y}$. For this purpose, we apply our effective version of the second Bertini theorem (Theorem 5.3 ), which asserts that there exists a variety $W \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ of dimension at most $r-2$ such that for every $y \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \backslash W$ the fiber $V_{y}$ is a nonsingular complete intersection of degree at most $\delta$. Since $V_{y}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-curve for every $y \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, for each $y$ in $\left(\mathbb{P}^{r-1} \backslash W\right)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ we can estimate $N_{y}$ by means of (7). We have the following result:

ThEOREM 6.1. Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be a normal complete-intersection $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-variety of dimension $r$, degree $\delta \geq 2$ and multidegree $\mathbf{d}$. For $q>2(n-r) d \delta+1$, $\left|\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|-p_{r}\right| \leq b_{1}^{\prime}(n-r+1, \mathbf{d}) q^{r-1 / 2}+\left(b_{1}^{\prime}(n-r+1, \mathbf{d})+\delta \operatorname{deg} W+2\right) q^{r-1}$, where $W \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is the variety of the statement of Theorem 5.3.

Proof. We begin by expressing $\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|$ in terms of the numbers $N_{y}$ with $y \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|=\sum_{y \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)} N_{y}+e \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e$ is the number of $q$-rational points of $\pi_{r}^{-1}(0: \cdots: 0: 1)$. Since $\pi_{r}$ is a finite morphism and $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ is a normal variety, the cardinality of every fiber of $\pi_{r}$ is upper bounded by $\delta$. In particular, $e \leq \delta$.

Subtracting $p_{r}$ from both sides of (8) and using the identity $p_{r}=p_{1} p_{r-1}-$ $q p_{r-2}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|-p_{r}\right| \leq \sum_{y \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)}\left|N_{y}-p_{1}\right|+q p_{r-2}+\delta \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We decompose the first summand of the right-hand side of (9) as

$$
\sum_{y \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)}\left|N_{y}-p_{1}\right|=\sum_{y \notin W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)}\left|N_{y}-p_{1}\right|+\sum_{y \in W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)}\left|N_{y}-p_{1}\right| .
$$

Thus, we have to estimate the quantities $\left|N_{y}-p_{1}\right|$ in two different cases: for $y$ belonging to $W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ and for $y$ belonging to $\left(\mathbb{P}^{r-1} \backslash W\right)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.

For any $y$ in $W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, the number $N_{y}$ is less than or equal to $\delta p_{1}$. Hence, taking into account that $\delta \geq 2$, we obtain $\left|N_{y}-p_{1}\right| \leq(\delta-1) p_{1}$. From

Proposition 3.1 we have $\left|W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \leq \operatorname{deg} W p_{r-2}$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{y \in W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)}\left|N_{y}-p_{1}\right| \leq(\delta-1) p_{1} \cdot \operatorname{deg} W p_{r-2} \leq \delta \operatorname{deg} W q^{r-1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if $y \in\left(\mathbb{P}^{r-1} \backslash W\right)\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, Theorem 5.3 shows that the fiber $V_{y}$ is a nonsingular complete-intersection $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-curve in $\mathbb{P}^{n-r+1}$ of degree at most $\delta$ and multidegree at most d. By (7) we obtain the estimate $\left|N_{y}-p_{1}\right| \leq$ $b_{1}^{\prime}(n-r+1, \mathbf{d}) q^{1 / 2}$, where $b_{1}^{\prime}(n-r+1, \mathbf{d})$ is the corresponding Betti number. Hence, writing $b_{1}^{\prime}:=b_{1}^{\prime}(n-r+1, \mathbf{d})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{y \notin W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)}\left|N_{y}-p_{1}\right| \leq b_{1}^{\prime} q^{r-1 / 2}+b_{1}^{\prime} p_{r-2} q^{1 / 2} \leq b_{1}^{\prime} q^{r-1 / 2}+b_{1}^{\prime} q^{r-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (9)-(11) and taking into account that $q p_{r-2}+\delta \leq 2 q^{r-1}$, we easily deduce the statement of the theorem.

Taking into account the upper bound $\operatorname{deg} W \leq 2(n-r)^{2}(d-1)^{2} \delta$ of Theorem 5.3, we deduce the following corollary:

Corollary 6.2. With notations and assumptions as in Theorem 6.1, we have:

$$
\left|\left|V\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right|-p_{r}\right| \leq(\delta-1)(\delta-2) q^{r-1 / 2}+2(n-r)^{2} d^{2} \delta^{2} q^{r-1} .
$$

In order to illustrate the comparison between the result of Corollary 6.2 and (2) we briefly comment on an application of this kind of estimates in the setting of cryptography.

Boolean functions $f: \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ are used in cryptography in order to design algorithms for block ciphering. It is important for such functions to possess a high resistance to differential cryptanalysis. In order to analyze the resistance of such functions to differential attacks, Nyberg [23] has introduced the notion of almost perfect nonlinearity (APN).

Let $q:=2^{m}$. In [25, Corollaire 3.1], F. Rodier shows that, if a given function $f: \mathbb{F}_{q} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}$ is APN, then a certain absolutely irreducible nonsingular projective $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-surface $V_{f}$ of degree $\delta$ associated to $f$ has at most $3((\delta-3) q+1)$ $q$-rational points. Then, as a consequence of [9, Corollary 7.3], he shows that for $m \geq 6$ and $\delta<q^{1 / 6}+3.9$ the function $f$ is not APN [25, Théorème 4.1].

By means of our estimates we may strengthen this conclusion. Indeed, from Corollary 6.2 we deduce that, for $q>2 \delta^{2}+1$,

$$
\left|V_{f}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right| \geq p_{2}-(\delta-1)(\delta-2) q^{3 / 2}-2 \delta^{4} q
$$

Therefore, from [25, Corollaire 3.1] it follows that if $q>2 \delta^{2}+1$ and

$$
p_{2}-(\delta-1)(\delta-2) q^{3 / 2}-2 \delta^{4} q>3((\delta-3) q+1)
$$

then $f$ is not APN. As a consequence, we see that for $q \geq 4 \delta^{4}$, the function $f$ is not APN, which significantly improves [25, Théorème 4.1].
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