

Gibbs random graphs on point processes

Pablo A. Ferrari, Eugene A. Pechersky, Valentin V. Sisko, and Anatoly A. Yambartsev

Citation: Journal of Mathematical Physics 51, 113303 (2010); doi: 10.1063/1.3514605

View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3514605

View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jmp/51/11?ver=pdfcov

Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

Robustness of random graphs based on graph spectra

Chaos 22, 043101 (2012); 10.1063/1.4754875

Analysis of random Landau-Lifshitz dynamics by using stochastic processes on graphs

J. Appl. Phys. 99, 08F301 (2006); 10.1063/1.2165582

Random Feynman Graphs

AIP Conf. Proc. 776, 118 (2005); 10.1063/1.1985383

Kinetic Theory of Random Graphs

AIP Conf. Proc. 776, 3 (2005); 10.1063/1.1985373

An inequality for the Gibbs mean number of clusters

J. Math. Phys. 46, 023301 (2005); 10.1063/1.1845972

Did your publisher get

18 MILLION DOWNLOADS in 2014?

AIP Publishing did.



THERE'S POWER IN NUMBERS. Reach the world with AIP Publishing.



Gibbs random graphs on point processes

Pablo A. Ferrari, $^{1,4,a)}$ Eugene A. Pechersky, $^{2,b)}$ Valentin V. Sisko, $^{3,c)}$ and Anatoly A. Yambartsev $^{4,d)}$

(Received 3 March 2010; accepted 12 October 2010; published online 29 November 2010)

Consider a discrete locally finite subset Γ of \mathbb{R}^d and the complete graph (Γ, E) , with vertices Γ and edges E. We consider Gibbs measures on the set of sub-graphs with vertices Γ and edges $E' \subset E$. The Gibbs interaction acts between open edges having a vertex in common. We study percolation properties of the Gibbs distribution of the graph ensemble. The main results concern percolation properties of the open edges in two cases: (a) when Γ is sampled from a homogeneous Poisson process; and (b) for a fixed Γ with sufficiently sparse points. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3514605]

I. INTRODUCTION

Let a sample $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of a *point process* be a locally finite set of \mathbb{R}^d . We consider an ensemble of graphs with *vertices* Γ and random *edges* belonging to the set of unordered pairs of points in Γ . The edges can be open or closed and we study probability distributions on the set of configurations of open edges. The classical example is the *Erdös-Rényi's random graph* where each edge is open independently of the others with some probability, see Refs. 1 and 2. We introduce interactions between edges and/or vertices and study the associated Gibbs measures.

Given a configuration of open edges, two edges *collide* if both of them are open and they have a vertex in common. *Monomers* are those vertices that belong to no open edge. Each collision and each monomer contribute a positive energy; any open edge contributes a positive energy proportional to its length. The energy function H is described explicitly in (1) later. The Gibbs measure associated with H at inverse temperature $\beta > 0$ gives more weight to configurations with few monomers, few (or no) collisions and short edges.

In Theorem 1, we give sufficient conditions on Γ for the existence of an infinite volume Gibbs measure. An open edge not colliding with any other edge is called *dimer*. In Theorem 2, we show that the ground states for any locally finite configuration Γ are composed only of monomers and dimers. Theorem 3 gives conditions for the uniqueness of the ground state. Theorem 4 shows that if Γ is a sample of a homogeneous Poisson process with small density and low temperature, then there is no percolation for almost all Γ . Non-percolation in this context is the absence of an infinite sequence of colliding open edges a.s. with respect to the Gibbs measure. Theorem 5 proves that if Γ is "sparse" and the temperature is sufficiently low, then there is no percolation a.s. with respect to

0022-2488/2010/51(11)/113303/9/\$30.00 **51**, 113303-1

© 2010 American Institute of Physics

¹Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Pabellón 1, Ciudad Universitaria, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina

²Dobrushin Laboratory of Institute for Information Transmission Problems of Russian Academy of Sciences, 19, Bolshoj Karetny, Moscow, Russia

³Federal University of Fluminense, Institute of Matematics and Statistics, Rua Mário Santos Braga, s/n, 24020-140 Niterói, RJ, Brazil

⁴Department of Statistics, Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, University of São Paulo, Rua do Matão 1010, CEP 05508-090, São Paulo SP, Brazil

a) Electronic mail: pferrari@dm.uba.ar and pablo@ime.usp.br.

b) Electronic mail: pech@iitp.ru.

c) Electronic mail: valentin@mat.uff.br.

d) Electronic mail: yambar@ime.usp.br.

the Gibbs measure. In particular for Γ satisfying an ε -hard-core condition (that is, the ball of radius ε around each point of Γ has no other point of Γ) for some $\varepsilon > 0$, there is no percolation.

II. DEFINITIONS

Let Γ be a locally finite set of \mathbb{R}^d and consider the complete graph (Γ, E) with vertex set Γ and edge set $E := \{ \gamma \gamma' : \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma \}$. The length of the edge $e = \gamma \gamma'$, is denoted by $L(e) := |\gamma - \gamma'|$. For a point $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let $S_{\gamma} := \{ \gamma \gamma' \in E : \gamma' \in \Gamma \}$, the set of edges incident on γ .

Let $\overline{\Omega}$ be the set of subsets of E. A configuration $\omega \in \overline{\Omega}$ defines the sub-graph (Γ, ω) . Edges $e \in \omega$ are called *open* with respect to ω . Edges $e \in E \setminus \omega$ are called *closed* with respect to ω . When it is clear from the context we use the terms open and closed with no mention to the configuration ω . The *degree* $d_{\gamma}(\omega)$ of a vertex $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is the number of open edges in ω containing γ :

$$d_{\gamma}(\omega) := |S_{\gamma} \cap \omega|.$$

A. Gibbs measures

The set of $\omega \in \overline{\Omega}$ with finite-degree vertices is called

$$\Omega := \{ \omega : \omega \in \overline{\Omega}, \ d_{\gamma}(\omega) < \infty \text{ for all } \gamma \}.$$

Our goal is to define a Gibbs distribution on Ω associated to the following formal Hamiltonian

$$H(\omega) := \sum_{e \in \omega} L(e) + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \phi_{\gamma}(\omega),$$
 (1)

where $\phi_{\nu}(\omega)$ is the contribution of monomers and interacting edges in S_{ν} defined by

$$\phi_{\gamma}(\omega) := \begin{cases} h_0, & \text{if } d_{\gamma}(\omega) = 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } d_{\gamma}(\omega) = 1; \\ h_1\binom{d_{\gamma}(\omega)}{2}, & \text{if } d_{\gamma}(\omega) \ge 2. \end{cases}$$
 (2)

where $0 < h_0 < h_1$ are fixed parameters. The potential $\phi_{\gamma}(\omega)$ depends only on the degree $d_{\gamma}(\omega)$. Degree zero contributes h_0 , degree 1 does not contribute and each pair of open edges incident on γ contributes h_1 .

The potential function ϕ_{γ} depends on infinitely many edges because to establish if $d_{\gamma}(\omega) = 0$ we have to check that $e \in \omega$ for infinitely many edges $e \in S_{\gamma}$. However, the usual Gibbs construction (including existence theorem) for this case works without special considerations.

We define a family of finite volume Gibbs measures. For bounded $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ the set $\Gamma_{\Lambda} := \Gamma \cap \Lambda$ is finite and so is $E_{\Lambda} := \{ \gamma \gamma' : \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_{\Lambda} \}$. The Gibbs state P_{Λ} on $\Omega_{\Lambda} := \{ \omega \in \overline{\Omega} : \omega \subset E_{\Lambda} \}$ with the zero boundary configuration is defined by

$$\mathsf{P}_{\Lambda}(\omega) := \frac{\exp\{-\beta H_{\Lambda}(\omega)\}}{Z_{\Lambda}}, \qquad \omega \in \Omega_{\Lambda}, \tag{3}$$

where the parameter β is called *inverse temperature*, Z_{Λ} is the normalizing constant and

$$H_{\Lambda}(\omega) := \sum_{e \in \omega} L(e) + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\Lambda}} \phi_{\gamma}(\omega), \qquad \omega \in \Omega_{\Lambda}.$$
 (4)

Denote E_Λ the expectation with respect to P_Λ .

We only consider Gibbs distributions P on $\overline{\Omega}$ associated with the formal Hamiltonian H defined in (1) that can be constructed as a limit along subsequences of P_{Λ} , $\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{R}^d$, where P_{Λ} is defined in (3). Since $\overline{\Omega}$ is compact, P exists but may have infinite-degree vertices. In Theorem 1 we show that under mild conditions on Γ , all vertex has finite degree with P-probability 1. This implies that P is concentrated on Ω . Uniqueness of P is not discussed in this article.

The measure P_{Λ} can be seen as a measure on $\overline{\Omega}$ concentrating mass in the set Ω_{Λ} .

B. Percolation

A path of length n connecting γ_0 with γ_n in the graph (Γ, E) is a sequence of distinct vertices $\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_n$ and the edges connecting successive points. A path is *open* if all its edges $\gamma_i \gamma_{i+1}$ are open. A connected component of ω is a set of points that can be mutually connected by open paths and the open edges incident to those points. Maximal connected components of ω are called *open clusters*. The *open cluster at* γ , called $C_{\gamma}(\omega)$, is the maximal connected component containing γ . The *vertex set* of $C_{\gamma}(\omega)$ is the set of all vertices in Γ which are connected to γ by open paths and the *edge set* of $C_{\gamma}(\omega)$ is the set of edges of ω which join pairs of such vertices.

We give sufficient conditions for the absence of infinite clusters with P probability one; this is called *no percolation*.

III. MAIN RESULTS

A. Existence

Let $\alpha > 0$. A point set Γ is α -homogeneous if for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$T_{\gamma}(\alpha) := \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} e^{-\alpha L(\gamma \gamma')} < \infty. \tag{5}$$

A point set Γ is uniformly α -homogeneous if

$$T(\alpha) := \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} T_{\gamma}(\alpha) < \infty. \tag{6}$$

If Γ consists of hard core ball centers of a fixed radius then Γ is uniformly α -homogeneous for all α ; this is because the number of points grows polynomially with the distance while the weight decreases exponentially. Almost all sample Γ from a Poisson process is α -homogeneous for all α but uniformly α -homogeneous for no α ; see Lemma 2.

Theorem 1: Take $0 < h_0 < h_1$, $\beta > 0$ and a β -homogeneous Γ . Then, any Gibbs measure P at inverse temperature β is concentrated on Ω .

B. Ground states

A configuration $\widehat{\omega}$ is a *local perturbation* of $\omega \in \Omega$ if the symmetric difference $\widehat{\omega} \Delta \omega$ is a finite set. A configuration $\omega \in \Omega$ is a *ground state* if

$$H(\widehat{\omega}) - H(\omega) \ge 0$$

for any local perturbation $\widehat{\omega}$ of ω . The difference is well defined because all but a finite number of terms vanish.

The next result says that all ground states are composed by dimers and monomers.

Theorem 2: For any locally finite configuration Γ and any $0 < h_0 < h_1$ there exists at least one ground state. If ω is a ground state then

$$d_{\nu}(\omega) \leq 1$$

for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The length of any open edge in ω is less than $2h_0$.

Let π_{λ} be the distribution of a homogeneous Poisson process on \mathbb{R}^d with rate $\lambda > 0$.

Theorem 3: There exists λ_g such that if $\lambda < \lambda_g$, then for π_{λ} -almost all Γ there is only one ground state.

C. No percolation at low rate and temperature

We consider the random graph as a dependent percolation model.⁴ For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let $|C_{\gamma}(\omega)|$ be the number of vertices in $C_{\gamma}(\omega)$ and let $\theta_{\gamma}(P)$ be the probability that the open cluster at γ is infinite:

$$\theta_{\nu}(\mathsf{P}) := \mathsf{P}(|C_{\nu}(\omega)| = \infty).$$

We say that there is no percolation for P if $\theta_{\nu}(P) = 0$.

Take a Poisson process of rate λ and a Gibbs state at inverse temperature β . We establish a (λ, β) -region where there is no percolation for P:

Theorem 4: If

$$\lambda \left(2h_0 + \frac{\log 2}{\beta} \right) \le 1 \tag{7}$$

then there is no percolation for P for π_{λ} -almost all Γ .

The next theorem is stronger but for more restricted sets Γ .

Theorem 5: *If* Γ *is such that*

$$\varepsilon := T(\beta) \exp(-\beta (h_1 - h_0)) < 1, \tag{8}$$

then there is no percolation for P.

Remark 1: Fix Γ , h_0 and h_1 . Then $T(\beta)$ is a non-increasing function of β . Therefore the inequality (8) is valid for all β big enough.

IV. PROOFS

Along this section Γ is assumed β -homogeneous. Let P^{o} be the product measure on $\overline{\Omega}$ with marginals

$$\mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{o}}(e \in \omega) = \frac{e^{-\beta L(e)}}{e^{-\beta L(e)} + e^{-2\beta h_0}}.$$
 (9)

Lemma 1: Any infinite volume Gibbs measure P is stochastically dominated by the product measure P^o : for any finite set of edges $\zeta \subset E$,

$$P(\omega \supset \zeta) < P^{o}(\omega \supset \zeta).$$

Proof: We start proving that for any bounded $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, P_Λ is stochastically dominated by P_Λ^o the product measure defined on Ω_Λ . Holley Theorem says that if for all $\tilde{\omega} \subset E_\Lambda$

$$p := \mathsf{P}_{\Lambda}(e \in \omega \mid \omega \setminus \{e\}) \leq \mathsf{P}_{\Lambda}^{0}(e \in \omega). \tag{10}$$

then P_{Λ} is stochastically dominated by P_{Λ}^{o} ; see Theorem 4.8 in Ref. 3. This is enough to prove the lemma because for finite ζ , $\{\omega \supset \zeta\}$ is a cylinder set and the limit

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}(\omega \supset \zeta) &= \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{R}^d} \mathsf{P}_{\Lambda}(\omega \supset \zeta) \\ &\leq \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{R}^d} \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{o}}_{\Lambda}(\omega \supset \zeta) \ = \ \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{o}}(\omega \supset \zeta) \end{split}$$

holds along subsequences.

Now we prove (10). Let $e = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \in E_{\Lambda}$. The conditional probability in (10) depends only on configurations on $(S_{\gamma_1} \cup S_{\gamma_2}) \cap E_{\Lambda} \setminus \{e\}$:

$$\mathsf{p} \ = \ \mathsf{P}_{\Lambda}(e \in \omega \mid \omega_{\gamma_1} = \tilde{\omega}_{\gamma_1}, \omega_{\gamma_2} = \tilde{\omega}_{\gamma_2}),$$

where $\omega_{\gamma} = \omega \cap S_{\gamma} \cap E_{\Lambda} \setminus \{e\}$. Consider three cases:

- 1. $\tilde{\omega}_{\gamma_1} = \tilde{\omega}_{\gamma_2} = \emptyset$,
- 3. $\tilde{\omega}_{\gamma_1} \neq \emptyset$, $\tilde{\omega}_{\gamma_2} = \emptyset$ (and its symmetric version $\tilde{\omega}_{\gamma_1} = \emptyset$, $\tilde{\omega}_{\gamma_2} \neq \emptyset$).
- 3. $\tilde{\omega}_{\nu_1} \neq \emptyset$, $\tilde{\omega}_{\nu_2} \neq \emptyset$.

Case 1: The edge e is isolated of the rest, so the probability to be in ω is

$$\mathsf{p} = \frac{e^{-\beta L(e)}}{e^{-\beta L(e)} + e^{-2\beta h_0}} = \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{o}}(e \in \omega).$$

Case 2: Let m be the number of open edges in $\tilde{\omega}_{v_1}$. If e is open then it interacts with m open edges:

$$p = \frac{e^{-\beta L(e) - \beta m h_1}}{e^{-\beta L(e) - \beta m h_1} + e^{-\beta h_0}}$$
$$< \frac{e^{-\beta L(e)}}{e^{-\beta L(e)} + e^{-2\beta h_0}} = \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{o}}(e \in \omega).$$

Case 3: Let m be the number of open edges in $\tilde{\omega}_{\gamma_1} \cup \tilde{\omega}_{\gamma_2}$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{p} &= \frac{e^{-\beta L(e) - \beta m h_1}}{e^{-\beta L(e) - \beta m h_1} + 1} < \frac{e^{-\beta L(e)}}{e^{-\beta L(e)} + 1} \\ &< \frac{e^{-\beta L(e)}}{e^{-\beta L(e)} + e^{-2\beta h_0}} = \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{o}}(e \in \omega). \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem 1: Use Lemma 1 to dominate the degree of γ as follows

$$\mathsf{E}d_{\gamma} = \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \mathsf{P}(\gamma \gamma' \in \omega) \le \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{o}}(\gamma \gamma' \in \omega)
= \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \frac{e^{-\beta L(\gamma \gamma')}}{e^{-\beta L(\gamma \gamma')} + e^{-2\beta h_0}} \le \frac{T_{\gamma}(\beta)}{e^{-2\beta h_0}} < \infty,$$
(11)

because Γ is β -homogeneous by hypothesis.

Lemma 2: Almost all samples Γ from the Poisson process distribution π_{λ} are α -homogeneous for all α .

Proof: Without losing generality assume $0 \in \Gamma$. Consider a sequence of hypercubes $\Lambda_n = [-n^{1/d}, n^{1/d}]^d$, $n \ge 0$. Any ring $W_n = \Lambda_{n+1} \setminus \Lambda_n$, $n \ge 0$, has volume 2. If $\gamma \in W_n$ then $L(0\gamma) \ge n^{1/d}$ and

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-\alpha L(0\gamma)} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\Gamma \cap W_n| \exp(-\alpha n^{(1/d)}).$$

Since $|\Gamma \cap W_n|$ is a Poisson random variable with mean 2 for all n, the sum is finite π_{λ} -a.s. for any $\alpha > 0$.

Proof of Theorem 2: Assume ω is a ground state and proceed by contradiction: assume that there exists a vertex $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $d_{\gamma}(\omega) \geq 2$. Let $\gamma \gamma' \in \omega$. Let $\tilde{\omega}$ be the same as ω but without the edge $\gamma \gamma'$:

$$\tilde{\omega} := \omega \setminus \{\gamma \gamma'\}.$$

Then,

$$H(\omega) - H(\tilde{\omega}) = \begin{cases} L(\gamma \gamma') + (d_{\gamma}(\tilde{\omega}) + d_{\gamma'}(\tilde{\omega}))h_1 & \text{if } d_{\gamma'}(\tilde{\omega}) \ge 1, \\ L(\gamma \gamma') + d_{\gamma}(\tilde{\omega})h_1 - h_0 & \text{if } d_{\gamma'}(\tilde{\omega}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $0 < h_0 < h_1$, we have $H(\omega) - H(\tilde{\omega}) > 0$, which contradicts that ω is a ground state.

There are no edges in a ground state with length L greater than $2h_0$, since the energy of two monomers is $2h_0 < L$.

Now we prove existence of at least one ground state. Let (Λ_n) be a sequence of increasing cubes covering $\mathbb{R}^d = \bigcup_n \Lambda_n$. Let ω_n be a configuration in Λ_n having the minimal energy over all configurations in Λ_n . There exists a subsequence (ω_i') of the sequence (ω_n) (that is $\omega_i' = \omega_{n_i}$) such that there exists a limit $\lim_{i\to\infty} \omega_i'(e)$ for every $e\in E$ (here w(e)=1 if $e\in \omega$ and w(e)=0 otherwise). Moreover, the sequence (ω_i') can be chosen in such a way that $\omega_j'(e)\equiv \text{const}$ for all $j\geq i$ when $e\in E_{\Lambda_i}$. The configuration $\omega'=\bigcup_i \omega_i'$ is one of the ground states. To see it, let $\widehat{\omega}$ be a local perturbation of ω' . There exists k such that $\widehat{\omega}\Delta\omega'\subseteq E_{\Lambda_k}$. For i>k let $\widehat{\omega}_i$ be the restriction of $\widehat{\omega}$ to E_{Λ_i} . Since $\widehat{\omega}_i$ is a perturbation of ω_i' ,

$$H_{\Lambda_i}(\widehat{\omega}_i) - H_{\Lambda_i}(\omega_i') \geq 0.$$

Since no ω_i has edges with a length greater than $2h_0$, there exists $i_1 \geq i_0$ such that

$$H(\widehat{\omega}) - H(\omega') = H_{\Lambda_{i_1}}(\widehat{\omega}_{i_1}) - H_{\Lambda_{i_1}}(\omega'_{i_1}) \ge 0.$$

This proves that ω' is a ground state.

Proof of Theorem 3: Let Γ be a sample of π_{λ} , the law of a Poisson process with intensity λ . Let U be the union of all circles of radius h_0 centered at the points of Γ . There exists a critical intensity λ_c such that for any $\lambda < \lambda_c$ any maximal connected component of U is bounded π_{λ} -a.s.; see Theorem 3.3 in Ref. 5. Thus, for $\lambda < \lambda_c \pi_{\lambda}$ -almost-all Γ is a union of finite clusters $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i$, $|\Gamma_i| < \infty$, and for any $i \neq j$ and for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_i$, $\gamma' \in \Gamma_j$ the distance $|\gamma - \gamma'| > 2h_0$. There are open edges only inside the clusters Γ_i . Since Γ_i are finite there exists a unique configuration in Γ_i minimizing the energy.

The random connection model. Choose a point configuration Γ with π_{λ} and then the edges with P^{o} . The resulting random graph is called *random-connection model* with rate λ and *connection function*

$$g(x) := \frac{e^{-\beta x}}{e^{-\beta x} + e^{-2\beta h_0}}.$$
 (12)

See Chapter 6 in Ref. 5. The connection function g(x) is the probability that two points at distance x be connected. To show Theorem 4 we will dominate our graph with this model. The next lemma gives a sufficient condition for non-percolation in the random connection model.

Lemma 3: In the region (7) for π_{λ} -almost all Γ there is no percolation in the random-connection model with connection function (12).

Proof: Theorem 6.1 of Ref. 5 establishes that the random-connection model with connection function (12) does not percolate if

$$\lambda \int_0^\infty g(x) \mathrm{d}x < 1. \tag{13}$$

For any $\beta > 0$ and any $h_0 > 0$ the integral of g(x) in (13) is finite and equals

$$\int_0^{2h_0} \frac{e^{-\beta x}}{e^{-\beta x} + e^{-2\beta h_0}} dx + \int_{2h_0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\beta x}}{e^{-\beta x} + e^{-2\beta h_0}} dx := J_1(\beta) + J_2(\beta).$$

The first integral on the right side of the above equality is increasing and tends to $2h_0$ as $\beta \to \infty$. The second integral tends to 0 as $\beta \to \infty$. Fix $\beta > 0$ and choose λ such that

$$\lambda < \frac{1}{2h_0 + J_2(\beta)} \le \frac{1}{\int g(x) \mathrm{d}x}.$$

We obtain the lemma by computing $J_2(\beta) = \frac{\log 2}{\beta}$.

Proof of Theorem 4: Lemma 1 says that the Gibbs measure P is dominated by the product measure P^o defined by (9). Lemma 3 implies that the product measure P^o does not percolate under the conditions of the theorem. Thus the Gibbs measure P does not percolate for μ_{λ} -a.s. Γ .

Lemma 4: Let B_{γ} and D_{γ} be a partition of S_{γ} . Assume B_{γ} is finite and that ξ is a nonempty set of edges contained in B_{γ} . Then, for any $e \in D_{\gamma}$,

$$P(e \in \omega \mid \omega \cap B_{\nu} = \xi) \leq \exp(-\beta L(e) - \beta (h_1 - h_0)).$$

Proof: Consider any bounded $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\Lambda \supset B_{\gamma} \cup \{e\}$. Let

$$A := \{ \omega \subset E_{\Lambda} : \omega \cap B_{\gamma} = \xi, \ e \in \omega \},$$

$$Z := \sum_{\omega \subset E_{\Lambda} : \omega \cap B_{\gamma} = \xi} \exp(-\beta H_{\Lambda}(\omega))$$

and compute

$$P_{\Lambda}(e \in \omega \mid \omega \cap B_{\gamma} = \xi)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\omega \in A} \exp(-\beta H_{\Lambda}(\omega))$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\omega \in A} \exp(-\beta (H_{\Lambda}(\omega) - H_{\Lambda}(\omega \setminus \{e\})) \exp(-\beta H_{\Lambda}(\omega \setminus \{e\}))$$

$$\leq \exp(-\beta L(e) - \beta (h_{1} - h_{0})) \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\omega \in A} \exp(-\beta H_{\Lambda}(\omega \setminus \{e\}))$$

$$\leq \exp(-\beta L(e) - \beta (h_{1} - h_{0})) P_{\Lambda}(e \notin \omega \mid \omega \cap B_{\gamma} = \xi)$$

$$\leq \exp(-\beta L(e) - \beta (h_{1} - h_{0})). \tag{14}$$

The event $\{e \in \omega\}$ is cylindrical and so is $\{\omega \cap B_{\gamma} = \xi\}$, as B_{γ} is finite by hypothesis. Hence we can take the limit as $\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and conclude.

Proof of Theorem 5: Without losing generality we assume that the origin is a point of Γ , $0 \in \Gamma$. Let $C(\omega)$ be the vertex set of the graph $C_0(\omega)$ and $E(\omega)$ its edge set. Let us show that under the conditions of the theorem the expected number of vertices in the open cluster of the origin E|C| is finite. This is inspired in the method of generations introduced by Menshikov⁶ to show no percolation in the site percolation model.

For a given configuration ω let $E(\omega)$ be the edge set of the open cluster $C(\omega)$, let $V_0(\omega) = \{0\}$ and for $n \ge 1$ define

$$V_{n}(\omega) := \{ \gamma' \in \Gamma \setminus (V_{0}(\omega) \cup \cdots \cup V_{n-1}(\omega)) :$$

$$\text{there is a } \gamma \in V_{n-1}(\omega) \text{ such that } \gamma \gamma' \in E(\omega) \},$$

$$O_{n}(\omega) := \{ \gamma \gamma' \in E(\omega) : \gamma \in V_{n-1}(\omega), \gamma' \in V_{n-1}(\omega) \cup V_{n}(\omega) \}.$$

$$(15)$$

Each vertex in $V_n(\omega)$ is attained with at least a path of n distinct open edges starting from the origin but cannot be attained with a shorter open path. Each edge in $O_n(\omega)$ is the n-th step of an open self avoiding path starting from the origin and not belonging to a shorter open path. Since $(V_n, n \ge 0)$ is a partition of the set of vertices of the open cluster at 0,

$$\mathsf{E}|C| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathsf{E}|V_n|. \tag{16}$$

If we show that for ε defined in (8) and $n \ge 0$,

$$\mathsf{E}|V_{n+1}| \le \varepsilon \mathsf{E}|V_n|, \tag{17}$$

then the sum in (16) is bounded by $\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}$ and the theorem is proven.

Proof of (17): Call $\widetilde{O}_n(\omega) := O_1(\omega) \cup \ldots \cup O_n(\omega)$. The edges of the (n+1)-th generation is the union of the edges belonging to the stars centered at the points of V_n and not contained in previous generations:

$$O_{n+1}(\omega) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in V_n(\omega)} (\omega \cap S_{\gamma} \setminus \widetilde{O}_n(\omega)). \tag{18}$$

For $n \ge 1$ define

$$F_n(\omega) := \{ \gamma \gamma' \in E \setminus E(\omega) : \gamma \in V_{n-1}(\omega), \gamma' \in \Gamma \}$$

the set of closed edges incident to the vertices in V_{n-1} . Let $\widetilde{F}_n := F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_n$.

Let ξ be a possible set of open edges for the first n generations: $\xi = \widetilde{O}_n(\xi)$. For any ω , if $\xi = \widetilde{O}_n(\xi) = \widetilde{O}_n(\omega)$, then $\widetilde{F}_n(\xi) = \widetilde{F}_n(\omega)$. Since every vertex has finite degree, the set of possible ξ is countable and

$$\sum_{\xi} \mathsf{P}(\widetilde{O}_n(\omega) = \xi) = 1,$$

where the sum runs over finite $\xi \subset E$ such that $\xi = \widetilde{O}_n(\xi)$.

Fix $\gamma \in V_n(\xi)$ and let $B_{\gamma}(\xi) := S_{\gamma} \cap (\widetilde{O}_n(\xi) \cup \widetilde{F}_n(\xi))$, the set of edges incident to γ already determined by ξ and $D_{\gamma}(\xi) := S_{\gamma} \setminus B_{\gamma}(\xi)$ the edges in S_{γ} that are not fixed by ξ . Since ξ is finite, so is $B_{\gamma}(\xi)$. Take $e \in D_{\gamma}(\xi)$. Then,

$$\mathsf{P}(e \in \omega \mid \widetilde{O}_n(\omega) = \xi) = \mathsf{P}(e \in \omega \mid \omega \cap B_{\gamma}(\xi) = \xi \cap B_{\gamma}(\xi))$$
$$< \exp(-\beta L(e) - \beta (h_1 - h_0)),$$

by Lemma 4; indeed $\xi \cap B_{\gamma}(\xi)$ is not empty. The obtained bound does not depend on ξ . Since $|V_n(\xi)| \le |O_n(\xi)|$ for all ξ and $n \ge 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{E}(|V_{n+1}| \mid \widetilde{O}_n(\omega) &= \xi) \le \mathsf{E}(|O_{n+1}| \mid \widetilde{O}_n(\omega) = \xi) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in V_n(\xi)} \sum_{e \in D_\gamma(\xi)} \mathsf{P}(e \in \omega \mid \widetilde{O}_n(\omega) = \xi) \\ &\le \sum_{\gamma \in V_n(\xi)} \sum_{e \in E_\gamma} \exp(-\beta L(e) - \beta(h_1 - h_0)) \\ &\le T(\beta) \exp(-\beta(h_1 - h_0)) |V_n(\xi)| \ = \ \varepsilon |V_n(\xi)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathsf{E}|V_{n+1}| = \sum_{\xi} \mathsf{E}(|V_{n+1}| \mid \widetilde{O}_n(\omega) = \xi) \, \mathsf{P}(\widetilde{O}_n(\omega) = \xi) \, \leq \, \varepsilon \mathsf{E}|V_n|. \quad \Box$$

V. FINAL REMARKS

Since the ground state of Gibbs Random Graph does not percolate, the theorems about non-percolation show a kind of "stability" of the ground states.

Sufficient conditions for the existence of an infinite open cluster and monotonicity of θ_{γ} as function of β (or (λ, β)) are open problems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of E.A.P. was partly supported by CNPq grants 300576/92-7 and 662177/96-7, (PRONEX) and FAPESP grant 99/11962-9, RFBR grants 07-01-92216 and 08-01-00105.

The work of V.V.S. was supported by FAPERJ (grants E-26/170.008/2008 and E-26/110.982/2008) and CNPq (grants 471891/2006-1, 309397/2008-1 and 471946/2008-7).

The work of A.Ya. was partly supported by FAPERJ grant E26/170.008/2008, and CNPq 306092/2007-7.

¹B. Bollobás, *Random Graphs*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001), vol.73.

²R. Durrett, *Random Graph Dynamics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007).

³H.-O. Georgii, O. Häggström, and C. Maes, "The random geometry of equilibrium phases." In phase transitions and critical phenomena, Vol. 18. Phase Transit. Crit. Phenom., vol. 18. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1–142.

⁴G. R. Grimmett, and D. J. A. Welsh, *Disorder in Physical Systems*. edited by (The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1990).

⁵R. Meester, and R. Roy, *Continuum percolation*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996), vol. 119.

⁶M. V. Men'shikov, S. A. Molchanov, and A. F. Sidorenko, (1986). Percolation theory and some applications. In *Probability theory. Mathematical statistics. Theoretical cybernetics*, Vol. 24 (Russian). Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki. Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 53–110, i. Translated in J. Soviet Math. **42**(4), 1766 (1988).