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#### Abstract

We study the Hochschild and cyclic homology of noncommutative monogenic extensions. As an application we compute the Hochschild and cyclic homology of the rank 1 Hopf algebras introduced in [L. Krop, D. Radford, Finite dimensional Hopf algebras of rank 1 in characteristic 0, Journal of Algebra 302 (1) (2006) 214-230].
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## Introduction

Let $k$ be a commutative ring with 1 . A monogenic extension of $k$ is a $k$-algebra $k[x] /\langle f\rangle$, where $f \in k[x]$ is a monic polynomial. In [F-G-G] this concept was generalized to the noncommutative setting. Examples are the rank 1 Hopf algebras in characteristic zero, recently introduced in [ $\mathrm{Kr}-\mathrm{R}]$. In the paper [F-G-G], mentioned above, the Hochschild cohomology ring of these extensions was computed. In the present paper we study their Hochschild, cyclic, periodic and negative homology groups, generalizing the results obtained in [B]. We think that the computations of the type cyclic homology groups of these algebra can be a first step in order to calculate other important invariants such as their $K$-theory groups. We are also interested in these computations because some crossed products can be present as noncommutative monogenic extensions, and we believe that the calculations made out in this paper may help understand the homology of a such crossed product $A \#_{f} G$, where $f$ is a cocycle with values in $A$, at least when $A$ is a noncommutative smooth algebra. For the problem of computing the type cyclic homology groups of crossed products we refer to [F-T,N,G-J,A-K,K-R]

[^0]and [C-G-G]. The main result obtained by us, is that, for any monogenic extension $A$ of a $k$-algebra $K$, there exists a small mixed complex $\left(C_{*}^{S}(A), d_{*}, D_{*}\right)$, giving the Hochschild, cyclic, periodic and negative homology of $A$ relative to $K$. When $K$ is a separable $k$-algebra this gives the absolute Hochschild, cyclic, periodic and negative homology groups. The mixed complex ( $C^{S}(A), d_{*}, D_{*}$ ) is simple enough to allow us to compute these homologies for each rank 1 Hopf algebra.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 1 we give some preliminaries we need. In particular we recall from [F-G-G], the simple $\Upsilon$-projective resolution $C_{S}^{\prime}(A)$ of a monogenic extension $A / K$ (where $\Upsilon$ is the family of all $A$-bimodule epimorphisms which split as $K$-bimodule maps), and the comparison maps $\phi_{*}^{\prime}: C_{S}^{\prime}(A) \rightarrow\left(A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{*}} \otimes A, b^{\prime}\right)$ and $\psi_{*}^{\prime}:\left(A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{*}} \otimes A, b^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow C_{S}^{\prime}(A)$. We also prove that $\psi_{*}^{\prime} \phi_{*}^{\prime}=$ id and construct a homotopy $\omega_{*+1}^{\prime}$ from $\phi_{*}^{\prime} \psi_{*}^{\prime}$ to id. Let $M$ be an $A$ bimodule (symmetric over $k$ ). In Section 2 we use the mentioned above resolution to build a complex $C^{S}(A, M)=\left(C_{*}^{S}(A, M), d_{*}\right)$ giving the Hochschild homology of $A$ relative to $K$, with coefficients in $M$. Moreover we obtain explicit quasi-isomorphism $\phi_{*}$ from $C^{S}(A, M)$ to the relative to $K$ normalized Hochschild complex of $A$ with coefficients in $M$, and $\psi_{*}$ in the opposite direction, satisfying $\psi_{*} \phi_{*}=$ id and $\phi_{*} \psi_{*}$ is homotopically equivalent to the identity map. We also get an explicit homotopy $\omega_{*+1}$ from $\phi_{*} \psi_{*}$ to id. We apply these results to calculate the Hochschild homology of $A$ with coefficients in $M$ is several cases. When $M=A$ we write $C^{S}(A)$ and $C_{*}^{S}(A)$, instead of $C^{S}(A, A)$ and $C_{*}^{S}(A, A)$, respectively. In Section 3 we prove that $C^{S}(A)$ is the Hochschild complex of a mixed complex, generalizing the main result of [B]. Our method of proof consists in to use the perturbation lemma to construct a complex giving the cyclic homology of $A$ relative to $K$, and then to use an ad hoc argument to prove that this complex is the total complex of the mixed complex $\left(C_{*}^{S}(A), d_{*}, D_{*}\right)$, which also gives the periodic and negative homology of $A$ relative to $K$. We use this fact to compute the cyclic homology of $A$ in several cases, including the rank 1 Hopf algebras. Finally, in Section 4, we compute the periodic and negative homology groups of $A$ under suitable hypothesis.

## 1. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some well known definitions and results that we will use in the rest of the paper.

### 1.1. A simple resolution for a noncommutative monogenic extension

In the sequel we recall the definition of noncommutative monogenic extension and we give a brief review of some of its properties (for details and proofs we refer to [F-G-G]). Let $k$ be a commutative ring, $K$ an associative $k$-algebra and $\alpha$ a $k$-algebra endomorphism of $K$. Consider the Ore extension $E=K[x, \alpha]$, that is the algebra generated by $K$ and $x$ subject to the relations

$$
x \lambda=\alpha(\lambda) x \text { for all } \lambda \in K \text {. }
$$

Let $f=x^{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x^{n-i}$ be a monic polynomial of degree $n \geqslant 2$, where each coefficient $\lambda_{i} \in K$ satisfies $\alpha\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=\lambda_{i}$ and $\lambda_{i} \lambda=\alpha^{i}(\lambda) \lambda_{i}$ for all $\lambda \in K$. Sometimes we will write $f=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_{i} x^{n-i}$, assuming that $\lambda_{0}=1$. The monogenic extension of $K$ associated with $\alpha$ and $f$ is the quotient $A=E /\langle f\rangle$. It is easy to see that $\left\{1, x, \ldots, x^{n-1}\right\}$ is a left $K$-basis of $A$. Moreover, given $P \in E$, there exist unique $\bar{P}$ and $\dddot{P}$ in $E$ such that

$$
P=\bar{P} f+\dddot{P} \quad \text { and } \quad \dddot{P}=0 \text { or } \operatorname{deg} \dddot{P}<n .
$$

In this paper, unadorned tensor product $\otimes$ means $\otimes_{K}$, all the maps are $k$-linear and all the $K$ bimodule are assumed to be symmetric over $k$. Given a $K$-bimodule $M$, we let $M \otimes$ denote the quotient $M /[M, K]$, where $[M, K]$ is the $k$-module generated by the commutators $m \lambda-\lambda m$, with $\lambda \in K$ and $m \in M$. Let $A_{\alpha^{r}}^{2}=A_{\alpha^{r}} \otimes A$, where $A_{\alpha^{r}}$ is $A$ endowed with the regular left $A$-module struc-
ture and with the right $K$-module structure twisted by $\alpha^{r}$, that is $a \cdot \lambda=a \alpha^{r}(\lambda)$, for all $a \in A_{\alpha^{r}}$ and $\lambda \in K$. We recall that

$$
\frac{T}{T X}: E \rightarrow A_{\alpha}^{2}
$$

is the unique $K$-derivation such that $\frac{T x}{T X}=1 \otimes 1$. Notice that

$$
\frac{T x^{i}}{T x}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} x^{\ell} \otimes x^{i-\ell-1}
$$

Let $\Upsilon$ be the family of all $A$-bimodule epimorphisms which split as $K$-bimodule maps.
Theorem 1.1. The complex

$$
C_{S}^{\prime}(A)=\cdots \longrightarrow A_{\alpha^{2 n+1}}^{2} \xrightarrow{d_{5}^{\prime}} A_{\alpha^{2 n}}^{2} \xrightarrow{d_{4}^{\prime}} A_{\alpha^{n+1}}^{2} \xrightarrow{d_{3}^{\prime}} A_{\alpha^{n}}^{2} \xrightarrow{d_{2}^{\prime}} A_{\alpha}^{2} \xrightarrow{d_{1}^{\prime}} A^{2}
$$

where

$$
d_{2 m+1}^{\prime}: A_{\alpha^{m n+1}}^{2} \rightarrow A_{\alpha^{m n}}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad d_{2 m}^{\prime}: A_{\alpha^{m n}}^{2} \rightarrow A_{\alpha^{(m-1) n+1}}^{2}
$$

are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{2 m+1}^{\prime}(1 \otimes 1)=x \otimes 1-1 \otimes x \\
& d_{2 m}^{\prime}(1 \otimes 1)=\frac{T f}{T x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{n-i} \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} x^{\ell} \otimes x^{i-\ell-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

is an $\Upsilon$-projective resolution of $A$.
Let $\left(A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{*}} \otimes A, b^{\prime}\right)$ be the canonical Hochschild resolution relative to $K$, where $\bar{A}=A / K$.
Theorem 1.2. There are comparison maps

$$
\phi_{*}^{\prime}: C_{S}^{\prime}(A) \rightarrow\left(A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{*}} \otimes A, b^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{*}^{\prime}:\left(A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{*}} \otimes A, b^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow C_{S}^{\prime}(A)
$$

which are inverse one of each other up to homotopy. These maps are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{0}^{\prime}(1 \otimes 1)=1 \otimes 1, \\
& \phi_{1}^{\prime}(1 \otimes 1)=1 \otimes x \otimes 1, \\
& \phi_{2 m}^{\prime}(1 \otimes 1)=\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_{m}} \lambda_{\mathbf{n - i}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} x^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m, 1}} \otimes 1, \\
& \phi_{2 m+1}^{\prime}(1 \otimes 1)=\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_{m}} \lambda_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} x^{\mathbf{i}-\ell \mid-m} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m, 1}} \otimes x \otimes 1, \\
& \psi_{2 m}^{\prime}\left(1 \otimes \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}_{1,2 m}} \otimes 1\right)=\overline{x^{i_{1}+i_{2}}} \overline{x^{i_{3}+i_{4}}} \ldots \overline{x^{i_{2 m-1}+i_{2 m}}} \otimes 1, \\
& \psi_{2 m+1}^{\prime}\left(1 \otimes \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}_{1,2 m+1}} \otimes 1\right)=\overline{x^{i_{1}+i_{2}}} \overline{x^{i_{3}+i_{4}}} \ldots \overline{x^{i_{2 m-1}+i_{2 m}}} \frac{T\left(x^{i_{2 m+1}}\right)}{T x},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

- $\mathbb{I}_{m}=\left\{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}: 1 \leqslant i_{j} \leqslant n\right.$ for all $\left.j\right\}$,
- $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}=\left\{\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}: 1 \leqslant \ell_{j}<i_{j}\right.$ for all $\left.j\right\}$,
- $\lambda_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}}=\lambda_{n-i_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{n-i_{m}}$,
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m, 1}}=x \otimes x^{\ell_{m}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x \otimes x^{\ell_{1}}$,
- $|\mathbf{i}-\ell|=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(i_{j}-\ell_{j}\right)$,
- $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}_{1 r}}=x^{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i_{r}}$.

Proposition 1.3. $\psi_{*}^{\prime} \phi_{*}^{\prime}=\mathrm{id}$ and a homotopy $\omega_{*+1}^{\prime}$ from $\phi_{*}^{\prime} \psi_{*}^{\prime}$ to id is recursively defined by $\omega_{1}^{\prime}=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{r+1}^{\prime}(\mathbf{x} \otimes 1) & =(-1)^{r+1}\left(\phi_{r}^{\prime} \psi_{r}^{\prime}-\mathrm{id}-\omega_{r}^{\prime} b_{r}^{\prime}\right)(\mathbf{x} \otimes 1) \otimes 1 \\
& =(-1)^{r+1} \phi_{r}^{\prime} \psi_{r}^{\prime}(\mathbf{x} \otimes 1) \otimes 1+\omega_{r}^{\prime}(\mathbf{x}) \otimes 1
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\mathbf{x} \in A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{r}}$.
Proof. The equality $\psi_{*}^{\prime} \phi_{*}^{\prime}=$ id follows immediately from the definitions. For the second assertion see [G-G, Proposition 1.2.1].

### 1.2. The suspension

Let $s$ be an integer number. The $s$ th suspension of a chain complex $(X, d)$ is the complex $(X, d)[s]=(X[s], d[s])$, defined by $X[s]_{*}=X_{*-s}$ and $d[s]_{*}=(-1)^{s} d_{*-s}$.

### 1.3. Mixed complexes

In this subsection we recall briefly the notion of mixed complex. For more details about this concept we refer to $[\mathrm{K}]$ and $[\mathrm{Bu}]$.

A mixed complex $(X, b, B)$ is a graded $C$-module $\left(X_{r}\right)_{r \geqslant 0}$, endowed with morphisms $b: X_{r} \rightarrow X_{r-1}$ and $B: X_{r} \rightarrow X_{r+1}$, such that

$$
b b=0, \quad B B=0 \quad \text { and } \quad B b+b B=0 .
$$

A morphism of mixed complexes $f:(X, b, B) \rightarrow(Y, d, D)$ is a family $f_{r}: X_{r} \rightarrow Y_{r}$ of maps, such that $d f=f b$ and $D f=f B$. A mixed complex $\mathcal{X}=(X, b, B)$ determines a double complex


By deleting the positively numbered columns we obtain a subcomplex $\mathrm{BN}(\mathcal{X})$ of $\mathrm{BP}(\mathcal{X})$. Let $\mathrm{BN}^{\prime}(\mathcal{X})$ be the kernel of the canonical surjection from $\mathrm{BN}(\mathcal{X})$ to $(X, b)$. The quotient double complex $\mathrm{BP}(\mathcal{X}) / \mathrm{BN}^{\prime}(\mathcal{X})$ is denoted by $\mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{X})$. The homology groups $\mathrm{HC}_{*}(\mathcal{X}), \mathrm{HN}_{*}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathrm{HP}_{*}(\mathcal{X})$, of the total complexes of $\mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{X}), \mathrm{BN}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathrm{BP}(\mathcal{X})$ respectively, are called the cyclic, negative and periodic homology of $\mathcal{X}$ (the $n$th module of the total complex is the product of all the modules which are in the $n$th diagonal). The homology $\mathrm{HH}_{*}(\mathcal{X})$, of $(X, b)$, is called the Hochschild homology of $\mathcal{X}$.

If we truncate $\operatorname{BP}(\mathcal{X})$ to the left of the $p$ th column we obtain a complex $\mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{X})[2 p]$. Note that

$$
\mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{X})[0]=\mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{X}), \quad \operatorname{Tot}(\mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{X})[2 p])=\operatorname{Tot}(\mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{X}))[2 p]
$$

and that there is a natural epimorphism

$$
S: \mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{X})[2 p] \rightarrow \mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{X})[2 p+2] \text { for each } p
$$

It is immediate that $\operatorname{Tot}(\operatorname{BP}(\mathcal{X}))=\lim _{p} \operatorname{Tot} \operatorname{BC}(\mathcal{X})[2 p]$ and that there is a diagram of short exact sequences


Taking homology in the above diagram we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows


The rows in this diagram are name the SBI Connes periodicity exact sequences of $\mathcal{X}$. Finally, it is clear that a morphism $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of mixed complexes induces a morphism from the double complex $\operatorname{BP}(\mathcal{X})$ to the double complex $\operatorname{BP}(\mathcal{Y})$. Let $A$ be a noncommutative monogenic extension of $K$. The normalized mixed complex of $A$ relative to $K$ is $\left(A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{*}} \otimes, b, B\right)$, where $b$ is the canonical Hochschild boundary map and

$$
B\left(\left[a_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{r}\right]\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^{i r}\left[1 \otimes a_{i} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{r} \otimes a_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1}\right]
$$

in which [ $a_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{r}$ ] denotes the class of $a_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{r}$ in $A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{r}} \otimes$. The cyclic, negative, periodic and Hochschild homology groups $\mathrm{HC}_{*}^{K}(A), \mathrm{HN}_{*}^{K}(A), \mathrm{HP}_{*}^{K}(A)$ and $\mathrm{HH}_{*}^{K}(A)$ of $A$, are the respective homology groups of $\left(A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{*}} \otimes, b, B\right)$.

### 1.4. The perturbation lemma

Next we recall the perturbation lemma. We give the version introduced in [C].
A homotopy equivalence data

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Y, \partial) \underset{i}{\stackrel{p}{\longleftrightarrow}}(X, d), \quad h: X_{*} \rightarrow X_{*+1}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

consists of the following:
(1) Chain complexes $(Y, \partial),(X, d)$ and quasi-isomorphisms $i$ and $p$ between them.
(2) A homotopy $h$ from ip to id.

A perturbation $\delta$ of (1) is a map $\delta: X_{*} \rightarrow X_{*-1}$ such that $(d+\delta)^{2}=0$. We call it small if id $-\delta h$ is invertible. In this case we write $\Delta=(\mathrm{id}-\delta h)^{-1} \delta$ and we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Y, \partial^{1}\right) \underset{i^{1}}{\stackrel{p^{1}}{\leftrightarrows}}(X, d+\delta), \quad h^{1}: X_{*} \rightarrow X_{*+1}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\partial^{1}=\partial+p \Delta i, \quad i^{1}=i+h \Delta i, \quad p^{1}=p+p \Delta h, \quad h^{1}=h+h \Delta h .
$$

A deformation retract is a homotopy equivalence data such that $p i=i d$. A deformation retract is called special if $h i=0, p h=0$ and $h h=0$.

In the case considered in this paper the map $\delta h$ is locally nilpotent, and so $(\mathrm{id}-\delta h)^{-1}=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(\delta h)^{j}$.

Theorem 1.4. (See [C].) If $\delta$ is a small perturbation of the homotopy equivalence data (1), then the perturbed data (2) is a homotopy equivalence. Moreover, if (1) is a special deformation retract, then (2) is also.

## 2. Hochschild homology of $A$

Let $k, K, \alpha, f=X^{n}+\lambda_{1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n}$ and $A$ be as in Subsection 1.1. Given an $A$-bimodule $M$, we let $[M, K]_{\alpha^{j}}$ denote the $k$-submodule of $M$ generated by the twisted commutators $[m, \lambda]_{\alpha^{j}}=$ $m \alpha^{j}(\lambda)-\lambda m$. As usual, we let $A^{e}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{K}(A, M)$ denote the enveloping algebra $A \otimes_{k} A^{\mathrm{op}}$ of $A$ and the Hochschild homology of $A$ relative to $K$, with coefficients in $M$, respectively. When $M=A$ we will write $\mathrm{HH}_{*}^{K}(A)$ instead of $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{K}(A, A)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $M$ be an A-bimodule. With the notations introduced in Theorem 1.2, we have:

1. The chain complex

$$
C^{S}(A, M)=\cdots \xrightarrow{d_{4}} \frac{M}{[M, K]_{\alpha^{n+1}}} \xrightarrow{d_{3}} \frac{M}{[M, K]_{\alpha^{n}}} \xrightarrow{d_{2}} \frac{M}{[M, K]_{\alpha}} \xrightarrow{d_{1}} \frac{M}{[M, K]_{\alpha^{0}}},
$$

where the boundary maps $d_{*}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{2 m+1}([\mathfrak{m}])=[\mathfrak{m} x-x \mathfrak{m}] \\
& d_{2 m}([\mathfrak{m}])=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1}\left[\lambda_{n-i} x^{i-\ell-1} \mathfrak{m} x^{\ell}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

in which $[\mathfrak{m}]$ denotes the class of $\mathfrak{m} \in M$ in $\frac{M}{[M, K]_{\alpha} m n+1}$ and $\frac{M}{[M, K]_{\alpha} m n}$ respectively, computes $H_{*}^{K}(A, M)$.
(2) The maps

$$
\phi_{*}: C^{S}(A, M) \rightarrow\left(M \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{*}} \otimes, b_{*}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{*}:\left(M \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{*}} \otimes, b_{*}\right) \rightarrow C^{S}(A, M),
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{0}([\mathfrak{m}])=[\mathfrak{m}], \\
& \phi_{1}([\mathfrak{m}])=[\mathfrak{m} \otimes x] \\
& \phi_{2 m}([\mathfrak{m}])=\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_{m}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{i}}}\left[\lambda_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}} \mathfrak{m} x^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m, 1}}\right], \\
& \phi_{2 m+1}([\mathfrak{m}])=\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_{m}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}}\left[\lambda_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}} \mathfrak{m} x^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m, 1}} \otimes x\right], \\
& \psi_{2 m}\left(\left[\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}_{1,2 m}}\right]\right)=\left[\mathfrak{m} \overline{x^{i_{1}+i_{2}}} \cdots \overline{x^{i_{2 m-1}+i_{2 m}}}\right], \\
& \psi_{2 m+1}\left(\left[\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}_{1,2 m+1}}\right]\right)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{i_{2 m+1}-1}\left[x^{i_{2 m+1}-\ell-1} \overline{\mathfrak{m}} \overline{x^{i_{1}+i_{2}}} \cdots \overline{\chi^{i_{2 m-1}+i_{2 m}}} \chi^{\ell}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left[\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}_{1 r}}\right]$ denotes the class of $\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{i}_{1 r}}$ in $M \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{r}} \otimes$, are chain morphisms which are inverse one of each other up to homotopy.
(3) Let

$$
\beta: M \otimes_{A^{e}} A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{r+1}} \otimes A \rightarrow M \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{r+1}} \otimes
$$

be the map defined by

$$
\beta_{r+1}\left(\mathfrak{m} \otimes x_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+2}\right)=\left[x_{r+2} \mathfrak{m} x_{0} \otimes x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r+1}\right] .
$$

The composition $\psi_{*} \phi_{*}$ is the identity map, and the family of maps

$$
\omega_{*+1}: M \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{*}} \otimes \rightarrow M \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{*+1}} \otimes
$$

defined by

$$
\omega_{r+1}([\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathbf{x}])=\beta\left(\mathfrak{m} \otimes_{A^{e}} \omega_{r+1}^{\prime}(1 \otimes \mathbf{x} \otimes 1)\right)
$$

is a homotopy from $\phi_{*} \psi_{*}$ to the identity map.
Proof. For the first item, apply the functor $M \otimes_{A^{e}}$ - to the resolution $C_{S}^{\prime}(A)$, and use the identification

$$
\begin{aligned}
M \otimes_{A^{e}} A_{\alpha^{j}}^{2} \xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{M}{[M, K]_{\alpha^{j}}} \\
\mathfrak{m} \otimes(a \otimes b) \longmapsto[b \mathfrak{m} a] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For instance

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{2 m}([\mathfrak{m}]) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1}\left[x^{i-\ell-1} \mathfrak{m} \lambda_{n-i} x^{\ell}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1}\left[x^{i-\ell-1} \mathfrak{m} x^{\ell} \lambda_{n-i}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1}\left[\lambda_{n-i} x^{i-\ell-1} \mathfrak{m} x^{\ell}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\psi_{*}$ and $\phi_{*}$ be the morphisms induced by the comparison maps $\psi_{*}^{\prime}$ and $\phi_{*}^{\prime}$. The second and third items follow easily from Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 1.3 in a similar way.

When $M=A$ we will write $C^{S}(A)$ and $C_{*}^{S}(A)$ instead of $C^{S}(A, A)$ and $C_{*}^{S}(A, A)$, respectively. The following result will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.6.

Corollary 2.2. There is a special deformation retract

$$
\operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BC}\left(C_{*}^{S}(A), d_{*}, 0\right) \underset{\widetilde{\Phi}}{\stackrel{\widetilde{\Psi}}{\leftrightarrows}} \operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BC}\left(A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{*}} \otimes, b, 0\right), \quad \widetilde{W},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\Phi}_{n}\left([\mathbf{a}]_{n},\left[\mathbf{a}_{n-2}\right], \ldots\right)=\left(\phi_{n}\left([\mathbf{a}]_{n}\right), \phi_{n-2}\left(\left[\mathbf{a}_{n-2}\right]\right), \ldots\right), \\
& \widetilde{\Psi}_{n}\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}, \mathbf{x}_{n-2}, \ldots\right)=\left(\psi_{n}\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right), \psi_{n-2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{n-2}\right), \ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{W}_{n+1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}, \mathbf{x}_{n-2}, \ldots\right)=\left(\omega_{n+1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right), \omega_{n-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{n-2}\right), \ldots\right) .
$$

Proof. It is immediate.

### 2.1. Explicit computations

The aim of this subsection is to compute the Hochschild homology of $A$ relative to $K$, with coefficients in $A$, under suitable hypothesis. We let $Z(K)$ denote the center of $K$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $C_{r}^{S}(A)$ denote the rth module of $C^{S}(A)$. If there exists $\check{\lambda} \in Z(K)$ such that

- $\alpha^{n}(\breve{\lambda})=\check{\lambda}$,
- $\breve{\lambda}-\alpha^{i}(\breve{\lambda})$ is invertible in $K$ for $1 \leqslant i<n$,
then $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{n-1}=0$ and

$$
C_{r}^{S}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{K}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{m n}}} & \text { if } r=2 m, \\
K & \frac{}{[K, K]_{\alpha}(m+1) n} x^{n-1}
\end{array} \text { ifr=2m+1.} .\right.
$$

Proof. Since $\breve{\lambda} \lambda_{i}=\lambda_{i} \breve{\lambda}=\alpha^{i}(\breve{\lambda}) \lambda_{i}$ and $\breve{\lambda}-\alpha^{i}(\breve{\lambda})$ is invertible in $K$ for $1 \leqslant i<n$, we have $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=$ $\lambda_{n-1}=0$. By item (1) of Theorem 2.1 we know that

$$
C_{r}^{S}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{A}{[A, K]_{\alpha m n}} & \text { if } r=2 m, \\
\frac{A}{[A, K]_{\alpha m n+1}} & \text { if } r=2 m+1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover

$$
[a, \lambda]_{\alpha^{r}}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[\lambda_{i}^{\prime}, \lambda\right]_{\alpha^{r+i}} x^{i}
$$

for each $a=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{i}^{\prime} x^{i} \in A$ and $\lambda \in K$. Hence, it will be sufficient to check that if $i$ is not congruent to 0 module $n$, then $[K, K]_{\alpha^{m n+i}}=K$. But this follows immediately from the facts that

$$
\left[\lambda^{\prime}, \breve{\lambda}\right]_{\alpha^{m n+i}}=\lambda^{\prime} \alpha^{m n+i}(\breve{\lambda})-\breve{\lambda} \lambda^{\prime}=\lambda^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{i}(\breve{\lambda})-\breve{\lambda}\right),
$$

since $\breve{\lambda} \in \mathrm{Z}(K)$ and $\alpha^{n}(\breve{\lambda})=\breve{\lambda}$, and $\alpha^{i}(\breve{\lambda})-\breve{\lambda}$ is invertible if $i$ is not congruent to 0 module $n$.
Theorem 2.4. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.3, the boundary maps of $C^{S}(A)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{2 m+1}\left([\lambda] x^{n-1}\right)=\left[(\alpha(\lambda)-\lambda) \lambda_{n}\right], \\
& d_{2 m+2}([\lambda])=\left[\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{\ell}(\lambda)\right] x^{n-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $m \geqslant 0$. Consequently, if $\lambda_{n}=0$, then the odd boundary maps $d_{2 *+1}$ are zero.
Proof. By item (1) of Theorem 2.1,

$$
d_{2 m+1}\left([\lambda] x^{n-1}\right)=\left[\lambda x^{n}-x \lambda x^{n-1}\right]=\left[(\lambda-\alpha(\lambda)) x^{n}\right]=\left[(\alpha(\lambda)-\lambda) \lambda_{n}\right],
$$

where the last equality follows from Theorem 2.3. Again by item (1) of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3,

$$
d_{2 m+2}([\lambda])=\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1}\left[x^{n-\ell-1} \lambda x^{\ell}\right]=\left[\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{n-\ell-1}(\lambda)\right] x^{n-1}
$$

as we want.
Theorem 2.4 implies that $\lambda \lambda_{n}-\alpha^{n}(\lambda) \lambda_{n} \in[K, K]_{\alpha^{m n}}$ for all $\lambda \in K$ and $m \geqslant 0$. Indeed, this can be proved directly from the hypothesis at the beginning of this paper and then it is true with full generality. In fact,

$$
\lambda \lambda_{n}-\alpha^{n}(\lambda) \lambda_{n}=\lambda \lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n} \lambda=\lambda \alpha^{m n}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)-\lambda_{n} \lambda .
$$

Corollary 2.5. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HH}_{0}^{K}(A)=\frac{K}{[K, K]+\operatorname{Im}(\alpha-\mathrm{id}) \lambda_{n}}, \\
& \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+1}^{K}(A)=\frac{\left\{\lambda \in K:(\alpha(\lambda)-\lambda) \lambda_{n} \in[K, K]_{\alpha^{m n}}\right\}}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1) n}}+\operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{\ell}\right)} x^{n-1}, \\
& \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+2}^{K}(A)=\frac{\left\{\lambda \in K: \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{\ell}(\lambda) \in[K, K]_{\alpha(m+1) n}\right\}}{[K, K]_{\alpha(m+1) n}+\operatorname{Im}(\alpha-\mathrm{id}) \lambda_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume now that $k$ is a field, the hypothesis of Theorem 2.3 are fulfilled, $K$ is finite dimensional over $k$ and $\alpha$ is diagonalizable. Let $\omega_{1}=1, \omega_{2}, \ldots, \omega_{s}$ be the eigenvalues of $\alpha$ and let $K^{\omega_{h}}$ be the eigenspace of eigenvalue $\omega_{h}$. Write

$$
[K, K]_{\alpha^{r}}^{\omega_{h}}=K^{\omega_{h}} \cap[K, K]_{\alpha^{r}} .
$$

Note that $1, \lambda_{n} \in K^{1}$. We assert that there is a primitive $n$th root of 1 in $k$ (which implies that the characteristic of $k$ does not divide $n$ ), and that all the $n$th roots of 1 in $k$ are eigenvalues of $\alpha$. In fact,
since $\alpha$ is diagonalizable, we can write $\breve{\lambda}=x_{1}+\cdots+x_{s}$, where $x_{i}$ is an eigenvector of eigenvalue $w_{i}$. Since

$$
w_{1}^{i} x_{1}+\cdots+w_{s}^{i} x_{s}=\alpha^{i}(\breve{\lambda}) \neq \breve{\lambda} \quad \text { for } i<n
$$

and

$$
w_{1}^{n} x_{1}+\cdots+w_{s}^{n} x_{s}=\alpha^{n}(\check{\lambda})=\breve{\lambda},
$$

$w_{1}, \ldots, w_{s}$ are $n$th roots of 1 and the least common multiple of their orders is $n$. Hence, there exist $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w:=w_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots w_{s}^{i_{s}}$ is a primitive $n$th root of 1 , and so $\left(x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{s}^{i_{s}}\right)^{i}$ is an eigenvector of eigenvalue $w^{i}$ of $\alpha$, because $\alpha$ is an algebra morphism.

Theorem 2.6. The chain complex $C^{S}(A)$ decomposes as a direct sum $C^{S}(A)=\bigoplus_{h=1}^{s} C^{S, \omega_{h}}(A)$, where

$$
C_{r}^{S, \omega_{h}}(A)= \begin{cases}\frac{K^{\omega_{h}}}{[K, K]_{\alpha_{h n}}^{\omega_{n}}} & \text { if } r=2 m, \\ \frac{K^{\omega_{h}}}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1) n}}^{\omega_{n}}} x^{n-1} & \text { if } r=2 m+1 .\end{cases}
$$

Moreover the boundary maps $d_{*}^{\omega_{h}}$ of $C_{r}^{S, \omega_{h}}(A)$ are given by:

$$
d_{2 m}^{\omega_{h}}([\lambda])=\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \omega_{h}^{\ell}\right)[\lambda] x^{n-1} \quad \text { and } \quad d_{2 m+1}^{\omega_{h}}\left([\lambda] x^{n-1}\right)=\left(\omega_{h}-1\right)\left[\lambda \lambda_{n}\right] .
$$

Proof. It follows easily from Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 , since the fact that $\lambda_{n} \in K^{1}$ implies that if $\lambda \in K^{\omega_{h}}$, then $\lambda \lambda_{n} \in K^{\omega_{h}}$ (and so $C^{S, \omega_{h}}(A)$ is a subcomplex of $C^{S}(A)$ ).

Corollary 2.7. Let $\mathrm{HH}_{*}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)$ denote the homology of $C^{S, \omega_{h}}(A)$. By Theorems 2.1 and 2.6 we know that $\mathrm{HH}_{*}^{K}(A)=\bigoplus_{h=1}^{S} \mathrm{HH}_{*}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HH}_{0}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)= \begin{cases}\frac{K^{1}}{[K, K]^{1}} & \text { if } h=1, \\
\frac{K^{\omega_{h}}}{[K, K]^{\omega_{h}}+K^{\omega_{h} \lambda_{n}}} & \text { if } h \neq 1,\end{cases} \\
& \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)= \begin{cases}\frac{\left\{\lambda \in K^{\omega_{h}}: \lambda \lambda_{n} \in[K, K]_{\alpha^{m n}}^{\omega_{h}}\right]}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1) n}}^{\omega_{h}}} x^{n-1} & \text { if } h \neq 1 \text { and } \omega_{h}^{n}=1, \\
0 & \text { otherwise, },\end{cases} \\
& \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+2}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)= \begin{cases}\frac{K^{\omega_{h}}}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1) n}}^{\omega_{h}}+K^{\omega_{h}} \lambda_{n}} & \text { if } h \neq 1 \text { and } \omega_{h}^{n}=1, \\
0 & \text { otherwise. } .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that if $\alpha^{n}$ has finite order $v$ (that is $\alpha^{n v}=$ id and $\alpha^{n j} \neq$ id for $0<j<v$ ), then

$$
\mathrm{HH}_{2 m+1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)=\mathrm{HH}_{2(m+v)+1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+2}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)=\mathrm{HH}_{2(m+v)+2}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)
$$

for all $m \geqslant 0$.
Example 2.8. Let $k$ be a field, $K=k[G]$ the group $k$-algebra of a finite group $G$ and $\chi: G \rightarrow k^{\times}$ a character, where $k^{\times}$is the group of unities of $k$. Let $\alpha: K \rightarrow K$ be the automorphism defined by
$\alpha(g)=\chi(g) g$ and let $f=x^{n}+\lambda_{n} \in K[x]$ be a monic polynomial whose coefficients satisfy the hypothesis required in the introduction. Let $Z(G)$ be the center of $G$. Assume that there exists $g_{1} \in Z(G)$ such that $\chi\left(g_{1}\right)$ is a primitive $n$th root of 1 . Here we apply the results obtained in Section 2 to compute the Hochschild homology of $A=K[x, \alpha] /\langle f\rangle$ relative to $K$, with coefficients in $A$ (if the characteristic of $k$ is relative prime to the order of $G$, then $k[G]$ is a separable $k$-algebra and so, by [G-S, Theorem 1.2], $\mathrm{HH}_{*}^{K}(A)$ coincides with the absolute Hochschild homology $\mathrm{HH}_{*}(A)$ of $\left.A\right)$. Note that the hypothesis of Theorem 2.3 are fulfilled, taking $\breve{\lambda}=g_{1}$. Since $\alpha$ is diagonalizable Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.7 apply. In this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{s}\right\}=\chi(G), \\
& \left.K^{\omega_{h}}=\bigoplus_{\{g \in G:} k(g)=\omega_{h}\right\} \\
& {[K, K]_{\alpha^{j}}^{\omega_{h}}=\sum_{\left\{g_{1}, g_{2} \in G:\right.} \sum_{\left.\chi\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)=\omega_{h}\right\}} k\left(\chi^{j}\left(g_{2}\right) g_{1} g_{2}-g_{2} g_{1}\right) .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we consider another situation in which the cohomology of $A$ can be computed. The following results are very close to the ones valid in the commutative setting.

Theorem 2.9. If $\alpha$ is the identity map, then

$$
C_{r}^{S}(A)=\frac{K}{[K, K]} \oplus \frac{K}{[K, K]} x \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{K}{[K, K]} x^{n-1}=\frac{A}{[A, A]} .
$$

Moreover, the odd boundary maps $d_{2 m+1}$ of $C^{S}(A)$ are zero, and the even boundary maps $d_{2 m}$ takes [a] to [ $f^{\prime} a$ ].

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1.
Corollary 2.10. If $\alpha$ is the identity map, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HH}_{0}^{K}(A)=\frac{A}{[A, A]}, \\
& \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+1}^{K}(A)=\frac{A}{[A, A]+f^{\prime} A}, \\
& \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+2}^{K}(A)=\frac{\left([A, A]: f^{\prime}\right)}{[A, A]},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left([A, A]: f^{\prime}\right)=\left\{a \in A: f^{\prime} a \in[A, A]\right\}$.

### 2.2. Hochschild homology of rank 1 Hopf algebras

Let $k$ be a characteristic zero field and let $n \geqslant 2$ be a natural number. Recall that $k^{\times}$denotes the group of unities of $k$. Let $G$ be a finite group and $\chi: G \rightarrow k^{\times}$a character. Assume there exists $g_{1} \in$ $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ such that $\chi\left(g_{1}\right)$ is a primitive $n$th root of 1 . In this section we compute the Hochschild homology of the $k$-algebra $A=k[G][x, \alpha] /\left\langle\chi^{n}-\xi\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right)\right\rangle$, where $\xi \in k$ and $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(k[G])$ is defined by $\alpha(g)=$ $\chi(g) g$. We divide the problem in three cases. The first and second ones give the Hochschild homology of rank 1 Hopf algebras. For the sake of completeness we recall from [ $\mathrm{Kr}-\mathrm{R}]$ that $A$ is the underlying algebra of a rank 1 Hopf algebra if $\xi\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right)=0$ or $\chi^{n}=1$. In both cases the comultiplication $\Delta$ is determined by

$$
\Delta(x)=x \otimes g_{1}+1 \otimes x \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta(g)=g \otimes g \quad \text { for all } g \in G
$$

the counit $\epsilon$ by $\epsilon(x)=0$ and $\epsilon(g)=1$ for all $g \in G$, and the antipode $S$ by $S(x)=-g_{1}^{-1} x$ and $S(g)=$ $g^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $C_{n} \subseteq k$ be the set of all $n$th roots of 1 .
$\boldsymbol{\xi}=\mathbf{0}$. In this case $A=K[x, \alpha] /\left\langle x^{n}\right\rangle$, where $K=k[G]$. Since $K$ is separable over $k$, we know that $\mathrm{HH}_{*}(A)=\mathrm{HH}_{*}^{K}(A)$. So, by Corollary 2.7,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HH}_{0}(A)=\frac{K}{[K, K]}, \\
& \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+1}(A)=\bigoplus_{\omega \in C_{n} \backslash\{1\}} \frac{K^{\omega}}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1) n}}^{\omega}} x^{n-1}, \\
& \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+2}(A)=\bigoplus_{\omega \in C_{n} \backslash\{1\}} \frac{K^{\omega}}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1) n}}^{\omega}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\boldsymbol{\xi} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\chi^{\mathbf{n}}=\mathbf{1}$. In this case $f=x^{n}-\xi\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right)$ satisfies the hypothesis required in the preliminaries. In fact

$$
\alpha\left(\xi\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right)\right)=\xi\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right)
$$

since $\alpha\left(g_{1}^{n}\right)=\chi\left(g_{1}^{n}\right) g_{1}^{n}=\chi\left(g_{1}\right)^{n} g_{1}^{n}=g_{1}^{n}$, and

$$
\xi\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right) \lambda=\alpha^{n}(\lambda) \xi\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right) \quad \text { for all } \lambda \in k[G],
$$

since $\xi\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right) \in \mathrm{Z}(G)$ and $\alpha^{n}(\lambda)=\lambda$, because $\chi^{n}=1$. Note also that $C_{n}$ is the set of eigenvalues of $\alpha$, since $G$ is a multiplicative basis of eigenvectors of $\alpha$, the eigenvalue $\chi\left(g_{1}\right)$ of $g_{1}$ is a primitive $n$th root of 1 and the eigenvalue $\chi(g)$ of every $g \in G$ is an $n$th root of 1 (again because $\chi^{n}=1$ ). Moreover, the algebra $K=k[G]$ is separable over $k$ and so, $H_{*}(A)=H_{*}^{K}(A)$. By Corollary 2.7,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HH}_{0}(A)=\frac{K^{1}}{[K, K]^{1}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\omega \in C_{n} \backslash\{1\}} \frac{K^{\omega}}{[K, K]^{\omega}+K^{\omega}\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right)}, \\
& \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+1}(A)=\bigoplus_{\omega \in C_{n} \backslash\{1\}} \frac{\left\{\lambda \in K^{\omega}: \lambda\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right) \in[K, K]^{\omega}\right\}}{[K, K]^{\omega}} x^{n-1}, \\
& \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+2}(A)=\bigoplus_{\omega \in C_{n} \backslash\{1\}} \frac{K^{\omega}}{[K, K]^{\omega}+K^{\omega}\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\xi \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\chi^{\mathbf{n}} \neq \mathbf{1}$. Let $g \in G$ such that $\chi^{n}(g) \neq 1$. Since

$$
g^{-1}\left(x^{n}-\xi\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right)\right) g=\chi^{n}(g) x^{n}-\xi\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right)
$$

we conclude that the ideal $\left\langle x^{n}-\xi\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right)\right\rangle$ coincides with the ideal $\left\langle x^{n}, g_{1}^{n}-1\right\rangle$. So, $A=k[G /$ $\left.\left\langle g_{1}^{n}\right\rangle\right][x, \widetilde{\alpha}] /\left\langle x^{n}\right\rangle$, where $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is the automorphism induced by $\alpha$. We consider now $K=k\left[G /\left\langle g_{1}^{n}\right\rangle\right]$ and $f=x^{n}$. These data satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2.6 with $\breve{\lambda}$ the class of $g_{1}$ in $G /\left\langle g_{1}^{n}\right\rangle$. Moreover the algebra $K=k\left[G /\left\langle\mathrm{g}_{1}^{\eta}\right\rangle\right]$ is separable over $k$ and so, $\mathrm{HH}_{*}(A)=\mathrm{HH}_{*}^{K}(A)$. Thus, by Corollary 2.7,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HH}_{0}(A)=\frac{K}{[K, K]}, \\
& \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+1}(A)=\bigoplus_{\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{n} \backslash\{1\}} \frac{K^{\omega}}{[K, K]_{\widetilde{\alpha}^{(m+1) n}}^{\omega}} x^{n-1}, \\
& \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+2}(A)=\bigoplus_{\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{n} \backslash\{1\}} \frac{K^{\omega}}{[K, K]_{\widetilde{\alpha}^{(m+1) n}}^{\omega}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Cyclic homology of $A$

Let $k, K, \alpha, f=X^{n}+\lambda_{1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n}$ and $A$ be as in Subsection 1.1. In this section we get a mixed complex, simpler than the canonical of Tsygan, computing the cyclic homology of $A$ relative to $K$.

A simple tensor $a_{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{r} \in A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{r}}$ will be called monomial if there exist $\lambda \in K \backslash\{0\}, 0 \leqslant i_{0}<n$ and $1 \leqslant i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}<n$ such that $a_{0}=\lambda x^{i_{0}}$ and $a_{j}=x^{i_{j}}$ for $j>0$. We define the degree of a monomial tensor

$$
\lambda x^{i_{0}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i_{r}} \in A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{r}}
$$

as $\operatorname{deg}\left(\lambda x^{i_{0}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i_{r}}\right)=i_{0}+\cdots+i_{r}$. Since $1, x, \ldots, x^{n-1}$ is a basis of $A$ as a left $K$-module, each element $\mathbf{a} \in A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{r}}$ can be written in a unique way as a sum of monomial tensors. The degree $\operatorname{deg}(\mathbf{a})$, of $\mathbf{a}$, is the maximum of the degrees of its monomial tensors. Since $\left[A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{r}}, K\right.$ ] is a homogeneous $k$-submodule of $A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{r}}$ we have a well defined concept of degree on $A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{r}} \otimes$. Similarly it can be defined the degree $\operatorname{deg}(\mathbf{a})$ of an element $\mathbf{a} \in A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{r}} \otimes A$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\omega_{r+1}$ as in item (3) of Theorem 2.1. It is true that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\omega_{r+1}(\mathbf{a})\right) \leqslant \operatorname{deg}(\mathbf{a})$.
Proof. Let $\mathbf{x}_{1}=1 \otimes x^{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i_{r}} \otimes 1 \in A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{r}} \otimes A$. By the definition of $\omega_{r+1}$ it suffices to show that $\omega_{r+1}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right)$ is a sum of tensors of the form

$$
\lambda^{\prime} x^{j_{0}} \otimes x^{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{j_{r+2}}
$$

with $j_{0}+\cdots+j_{r+2} \leqslant i_{1}+\cdots+i_{r}$. Using the formulas for $\phi_{r}^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{r}^{\prime}$ establish in Theorem 1.2 it is easy to see that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{r}^{\prime} \psi_{r}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right)\right) \leqslant \operatorname{deg}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right)
$$

The fact that $w_{r+1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)$ can be expressed as a sum of simple tensors satisfying the mentioned above property follows now by induction on $r$, since

$$
\omega_{r+1}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right)=(-1)^{r+1} \phi_{r}^{\prime} \psi_{r}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \otimes 1+\omega_{r}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right) x^{i_{r}} \otimes 1,
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{2}=1 \otimes x^{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i_{r-1}} \otimes 1$.
Let $D_{r}: C_{r}^{S}(A) \rightarrow C_{r+1}^{S}(A)$ be the composition $D_{r}=\psi_{r+1} B_{r} \phi_{r}$.
Theorem 3.2. $\left(C_{*}^{S}(A), d_{*}, D_{*}\right)$ is a mixed complex, giving the Hochschild, cyclic, negative and periodic homology of A relative to $K$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 we already know that the Hochschild homology of $\left(C_{*}^{S}(A), d_{*}, D_{*}\right)$ is the Hochschild homology of $A$ relative to $K$. Let

$$
\mathcal{X}=\left(C_{*}^{S}(A), d_{*}, D_{*}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{BC}\left(A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{*}} \otimes, b_{*}, B_{*}\right)
$$

By the perturbation lemma, in order to prove the assertion for the cyclic homology it suffices to check that there is a special deformation retract

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{X}) \underset{\Phi}{\leftarrow} \operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BC}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right), \quad W \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, in order to prove the assertion for the periodic and negative homology it suffices to show that the maps $\Phi, \Psi$ and $W$ commute with the canonical surjections

$$
\operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{X})[2] \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BC}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BC}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)[2]
$$

In fact, from this, the fact that

$$
\operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BP}(\mathcal{X})=\lim _{p} \operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BC}(\mathcal{X})[2 p], \quad \operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BP}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)=\lim _{p} \operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BC}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)[2 p]
$$

and (3), it follows that there is a special deformation retract

$$
\operatorname{Tot} \operatorname{BP}(\mathcal{X}) \underset{\widehat{\Phi}}{\ll} \operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BP}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right), \quad \widehat{W},
$$

which immediately implies the assertion for the periodic homology, and also for the negative homology, because from the existence of a commutative diagram with exact rows

with $\Phi$ and $\widehat{\Phi}$ quasi-isomorphisms, it follows that there is a quasi-isomorphism $\operatorname{Tot} \operatorname{BN}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow$ Tot $\mathrm{BN}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)$ making the diagram commutative.

Next we prove there is a special deformation retract (3) satisfying the above required conditions. Let

$$
\operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BC}\left(C_{*}^{S}(A), d_{*}, 0\right) \underset{\widetilde{\Phi}}{\leftarrow} \operatorname{\widetilde {\Psi }} \operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BC}\left(A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{*}} \otimes, b, 0\right), \quad \widetilde{W}
$$

be the special deformation retract obtained in Corollary 2.2. Consider the perturbation induced by $B$. Applying the perturbation lemma we obtain a special deformation retract

$$
\left(\widehat{C}_{*}^{S}(A), \widehat{d}_{*}\right) \underset{\Phi}{\stackrel{\Psi}{\longleftrightarrow}} \operatorname{Tot} \mathrm{BC}\left(A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^{*}} \otimes, b, B\right), \quad W,
$$

where

$$
\widehat{C}_{n}^{S}(A)=C_{n}^{S}(A) \oplus C_{n-2}^{S}(A) \oplus \cdots
$$

and $\widehat{d}_{n}=\sum_{j \geqslant 0} \psi_{n-2 l+2 j+1}(B \omega)^{j} B \phi_{n-2 l}$ on $C_{n-2 l}^{S}(A)$. In order to finish the proof it suffices to show that $\psi_{r+2 j+1}(B \omega)^{j} B \phi_{r}=0$ for all $j>0$. Assume first that $r=2 m$. By the definition of $\phi_{2 m}$ and Proposition 3.1,

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left((B \omega)^{j} B \phi_{2 m}\left(\left[\lambda^{\prime} x^{j}\right]\right)\right)<m n+n
$$

On the other hand $\psi_{2 m+2 j+1}$ vanishes on elements of degree less than $(m+j) n$. The fact that $\psi_{r+2 j+1}(B \omega)^{j} B \phi_{r}=0$ for all $j>0$ follows by combining theses facts. The case $r=2 m+1$ is similar.

Recall from Subsection 1.1, that given $P \in E$, there exist unique $\bar{P}$ and $\dddot{P}$ in $E$ such that

$$
P=\bar{P} f+\dddot{P} \quad \text { and } \quad \dddot{P}=0 \text { or } \operatorname{deg} \dddot{P}<n
$$

Theorem 3.3. The Connes operator $D_{*}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{2 m}\left(\left[\lambda x^{j}\right]\right) & =\left[\sum_{h=0}^{j-1} \alpha^{m n+h}(\lambda) x^{j-1}\right]+\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{u=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \alpha^{n u+\ell}(\lambda)\right) \overline{\lambda_{n-i} x^{i-1+j}}\right], \\
D_{2 m+1}\left(\left[\lambda x^{j}\right]\right) & = \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } j<n-1, \\
{\left[(\operatorname{id}-\alpha)\left(\sum_{u=0}^{m} \alpha^{n u}(\lambda)\right)\right]} & \text { if } j=n-1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Besides the notations introduced in Theorem 1.2 we use the following ones.

- $\breve{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{u, 1}}=x^{\ell_{u}} \otimes x \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{\ell_{1}} \otimes x$,
- $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m, u+1}}=x \otimes x^{\ell_{m}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x \otimes x^{\ell_{u+1}}$,
- $\left|\ell_{u, 1}\right|=\ell_{1}+\cdots+\ell_{u}+u$.

We shall first compute $D_{2 m+1}$. By definition

$$
B \phi_{2 m+1}\left(\left[\lambda x^{j}\right]\right)=\sum_{u=0}^{m} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_{m}} \sum_{\ell \in J_{\mathbf{i}}} \Delta_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}-\sum_{u=0}^{m} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_{m}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{\ell},
$$

where

$$
\Delta_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}=\left[\lambda_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}} \alpha^{\ell_{u, 1} \mid}(\lambda) \otimes \breve{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{u, 1}} \otimes x^{j} x^{\mathbf{i}-\ell \mid-m} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m, u+1}} \otimes x\right]
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}=\left[\lambda_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}} \alpha^{\left|\ell_{u, 1}\right|+1}(\lambda) \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{, 1}} \otimes x \otimes x^{j} x^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m, u+1}}\right] .
$$

If $\psi_{2 m+2}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}\right) \neq 0$, then $\ell_{1}=\cdots=\ell_{m}=n-1$. So $i_{1}=\cdots=i_{m}=n$. Thus,

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_{m}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \psi_{2 m+2}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}\right)=\left[\alpha^{n u}(\lambda) \overline{x^{j+1}}\right]= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } j<n-1, \\ {\left[\alpha^{n u}(\lambda)\right]} & \text { if } j=n-1 .\end{cases}
$$

Similarly, $\psi_{2 m+2}\left(\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}\right) \neq 0$ implies that $\ell_{1}=\cdots=\ell_{m}=n-1$. Hence $i_{1}=\cdots=i_{m}=n$ and

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_{m}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \psi_{2 m+2}\left(\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}\right)=\left[\alpha^{n u+1}(\lambda) \overline{x^{j+1}}\right]= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } j<n-1 \\ {\left[\alpha^{n u+1}(\lambda)\right]} & \text { if } j=n-1\end{cases}
$$

The formula for $D_{2 m+1}$ follows immediately from these facts. We now compute $D_{2 m}$. By definition

$$
B \phi_{2 m}\left(\left[\lambda x^{j}\right]\right)=\sum_{u=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_{m}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}}\left(\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}+\Delta_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}\right)+\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_{m}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \Upsilon_{\mathbf{i}}^{\ell}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}=\left[\lambda_{\mathbf{n - i}} \alpha^{\left|\ell_{u, 1}\right|}(\lambda) \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{u, 1}} \otimes x^{j} \chi^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m, u+1}}\right], \\
& \Delta_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}=\left[\lambda_{\mathbf{n - i}} \alpha^{\mid \ell_{u+1,1 \mid-1}}(\lambda) \otimes x^{\ell_{u+1}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{u, 1}} \otimes x^{j} \chi^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m, u+2}} \otimes x\right], \\
& \Upsilon_{\mathbf{i}}^{\ell}=\left[\lambda_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}} \alpha^{\left|\ell_{m, 1}\right|}(\lambda) \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{\ell_{m, 1}} \otimes x^{j} x^{|\mathbf{i}-\ell|-m}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\psi_{2 m+1}\left(\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}\right) \neq 0$, then $\ell_{1}=\cdots=\widehat{\ell_{u+1}}=\cdots=\ell_{m}=n-1$. In this case $i_{1}=\cdots=\widehat{i_{u+1}}=\cdots=i_{m}=n$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{2 m+1}\left(\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}\right) & =\sum_{h=0}^{\ell-1}\left[x^{\ell-h-1} \lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{n u}(\lambda) \chi^{\overline{j+i-\ell-1}} x x^{h}\right] \\
& =\sum_{h=0}^{\ell-1}\left[\lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{n u+\ell-h-1}(\lambda) x^{\ell-h-1 \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots} x^{j+i-\ell-1} x x^{h}\right] \\
& =\sum_{h=0}^{\ell-1}\left[\lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{n u+\ell-h-1}(\lambda) x^{\ell-1} \overline{x^{j+i-\ell-1}} x\right] \\
& =\sum_{h=0}^{\ell-1}\left[\lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{n u+\ell-h-1}(\lambda) x^{\ell-1}\left(\overline{x^{j+i-\ell}}-\overline{x^{j+i-\ell-1}} x\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

In the third equality we have used that

$$
x^{j+i-\ell-1} x x^{h}=x^{h} x^{j+i-\ell-1} \quad x
$$

which is valid since

$$
x^{j+i-\ell-1} \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}\right]
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}_{m}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \psi_{2 m+1}\left(\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}\right)= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1} \sum_{h=0}^{\ell-1}\left[\lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{n u+\ell-h-1}(\lambda) \chi^{\ell-1} \overline{\chi^{j+i-\ell}}\right] \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=2}^{i} \sum_{h=1}^{\ell-1}\left[\lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{n u+\ell-h-1}(\lambda) x^{\ell-1} \overline{\chi^{j+i-\ell}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1}\left[\lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{n u+\ell-1}(\lambda) x^{\ell-1} \overline{\chi^{j+i-\ell}}\right]
$$

Similarly, $\psi_{2 m+1}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}\right) \neq 0$ implies $\ell_{2}=\cdots=\ell_{m}=n-1$. In this case $i_{2}=\cdots=i_{m}=n$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{2 m+1}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}\right) & =\left[\lambda_{n-i_{1}} \alpha^{n u+\ell_{1}}(\lambda) \overline{x^{\ell_{1}}{x^{j+i_{1}-\ell_{1}-1}}}\right] \\
& =\left[\lambda_{n-i_{1}} \alpha^{n u+\ell_{1}}(\lambda)\left(\overline{x^{j+i_{1}-1}}-x^{\ell_{1}} \overline{x^{j+i_{1}-\ell_{1}-1}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}_{m}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \psi_{2 m+1}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}\right)= & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\lambda_{n-i}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1} \alpha^{n u+\ell}(\lambda)\right) \overline{x^{j+i-1}}\right] \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1}\left[\lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{n u+\ell}(\lambda) x^{\ell} \overline{\chi^{j+i-\ell-1}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_{m}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{i}}} \psi_{2 m+1}\left(\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}+\Delta_{\mathbf{i}, u}^{\ell}\right)= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1}\left[\lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{n u+\ell-1}(\lambda) x^{\ell-1} \overline{\chi^{j+i-\ell}}\right] \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=2}^{i}\left[\lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{n u+\ell-1}(\lambda) x^{\ell-1} \overline{x^{j+i-\ell}}\right] \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\lambda_{n-i}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1} \alpha^{n u+\ell}(\lambda)\right) \overline{x^{j+i-1}}\right] \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\lambda_{n-i} \alpha^{n u}(\lambda) \overline{x^{j+i-1}}\right] \\
& +\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{n-i}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1} \alpha^{n u+\ell}(\lambda)\right) \overline{x^{j+i-1}}\right] \\
= & {\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{n-i}\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \alpha^{n u+\ell}(\lambda)\right) \overline{x^{j+i-1}}\right] } \\
= & {\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \alpha^{n u+\ell}(\lambda)\right) \overline{x^{j+i-1}} \lambda_{n-i}\right] } \\
= & {\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \alpha^{n u+\ell}(\lambda)\right) \lambda_{n-i} \overline{x^{j+i-1}}\right] }
\end{aligned}
$$

Lastly, $\psi_{2 m+1}\left(\Upsilon_{\mathbf{i}}^{\ell}\right)=0$ except if $\ell_{1}=\cdots=\ell_{m}=n-1$. In this last case $i_{1}=\cdots=i_{m}=n$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{I}_{\boldsymbol{I}}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{i}}} \psi_{2 m+1}\left(\gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{\ell}\right) & =\sum_{h=0}^{j-1}\left[x^{j-h-1} \alpha^{m n}(\lambda) x^{h}\right] \\
& =\left[\sum_{h=0}^{j-1} \alpha^{m n+h}(\lambda) x^{j-1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression for $D_{2 m}$ follows immediately from all these facts.
Remark 3.4. Another formula for $D_{2 m}$ useful for some computations is the following

$$
D_{2 m}\left(\left[\lambda x^{j}\right]\right)=\left[\sum_{h=0}^{m n+j-1} \alpha^{h}(\lambda) x^{j-1}\right]-\left[\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{u=0}^{m-1} \alpha^{n u+\ell}(\lambda)\right) \overline{\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \lambda_{n-i} x^{i-1+j}}\right]
$$

This follows from Theorem 3.3 and the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \alpha^{n u+\ell}(\lambda) \lambda_{n-i} x^{i-1+j}} & =\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{n u+\ell}(\lambda) \overline{\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{n} \lambda_{n-i} x^{i-1+j}} \\
& =\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{n u+\ell}(\lambda)\left(x^{j-1}-\overline{\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \lambda_{n-i} x^{i-1+j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.1. Explicit computations

Let $k, K, \alpha, f=X^{n}+\lambda_{1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n}$ and $A$ be as above. In this subsection we compute the cyclic homology of $A$ relative to $K$, under suitable hypothesis. We will freely use the notations introduced at the beginning of Section 2 and below Corollary 2.5. Recall that by Theorem 2.3, if there exists $\check{\lambda} \in Z(K)$ such that

- $\alpha^{n}(\breve{\lambda})=\breve{\lambda}$,
- $\breve{\lambda}-\alpha^{i}(\breve{\lambda})$ is invertible in $K$ for $1 \leqslant i<n$,
then $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{n-1}=0$ and

$$
C_{r}^{S}(A)= \begin{cases}\frac{K}{[K, K]_{\alpha}^{m n}} & \text { if } r=2 m \\ \frac{K}{[K, K]_{\alpha}(m+1) n} x^{n-1} & \text { if } r=2 m+1\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, by Theorem 2.4, the Hochschild boundary maps of the mixed complex $\left(C_{*}^{S}(A), d_{*}, D_{*}\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{2 m+1}\left([\lambda] x^{n-1}\right)=\left[(\alpha(\lambda)-\lambda) \lambda_{n}\right], \\
& d_{2 m+2}([\lambda])=\left[\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{\ell}(\lambda)\right] x^{n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now compute the Connes operator $D_{*}$.

Theorem 3.5. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.3, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{2 m}([\lambda])=0 \\
& D_{2 m+1}\left([\lambda] x^{n-1}\right)=\left[(\operatorname{id}-\alpha)\left(\sum_{u=0}^{m} \alpha^{n u}(\lambda)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 3.3.
Theorem 3.6. Assume the hypothesis of Theorem 2.6 are fulfilled. Then the mixed complex $\left(C_{*}^{S}(A), d_{*}, D_{*}\right)$ decomposes as a direct sum

$$
\left(C_{*}^{S}(A), d_{*}, D_{*}\right)=\bigoplus_{h=1}^{S}\left(C_{*}^{S, \omega_{h}}(A), d_{*}^{\omega_{h}}, D_{*}^{\omega_{h}}\right)
$$

where the Hochschild complexes $\left(C_{*}^{S, \omega_{h}}(A), d_{*}^{\omega_{h}}\right)$ are as in Theorem 2.6. Moreover the Connes operators $D_{*}^{\omega_{h}}$ satisfy $D_{2 m}^{\omega_{h}}=0$ and

$$
D_{2 m+1}^{\omega_{h}}\left([\lambda] x^{n-1}\right)=\left(1-\omega_{h}\right)\left(\sum_{u=0}^{m} \omega_{h}^{n u}\right)[\lambda]
$$

Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 3.5.
In the rest of this section we assume that $k$ is a characteristic zero field and that hypothesis of Theorem 2.6 are fulfilled. We let $\mathrm{HC}_{*}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A), \mathrm{HN}_{*}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)$ and $\mathrm{HP}_{*}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)$ denote the cyclic, negative and periodic homology of $\left(C_{*}^{S, \omega_{h}}(A), d_{*}^{\omega_{h}}, D_{*}^{\omega_{h}}\right)$, respectively.

Theorem 3.7. The cyclic, negative and periodic homology of A relative to $K$ decompose as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HC}_{*}^{K}(A)=\bigoplus_{h=1}^{s} \mathrm{HC}_{*}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A), \\
& \mathrm{HN}_{*}^{K}(A)=\bigoplus_{h=1}^{s} \mathrm{HN}_{*}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A), \\
& \operatorname{HP}_{*}^{K}(A)=\bigoplus_{h=1}^{s} \mathrm{HP}_{*}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have:

$$
\mathrm{HC}_{2 m}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)= \begin{cases}\frac{K^{1}}{[K, K]^{1}} & \text { if } h=1 \\ \frac{K^{\omega_{h}}}{[K, K]^{\omega_{h}}+K^{\omega_{h}} \lambda_{n}} & \text { if } \omega_{h}^{n} \neq 1 \\ \frac{K^{\omega_{h}}}{[K, K]^{\omega_{h}}+K^{\omega_{h}} \lambda_{n}^{m+1}} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{HC}_{2 m+1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } h=1 \text { or } \omega_{h}^{n} \neq 1, \\ \frac{\left.\left[\lambda \in K^{\omega_{h}}: \lambda \lambda_{n}^{m+1} \in[K, K]\right]^{\omega} h\right]}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1) n}}(m+1} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The first assertion is an immediate consequence of Theorems 3.2 and 3.6, and the computation of $\mathrm{HC}_{*}^{K, \omega_{h}}$ for $h=1$ and for $\omega_{h}^{n} \neq 1$ follows from Corollary 2.7, using the spectral sequence associate with the filtration by columns of $\mathrm{BC}\left(C_{*}^{S, \omega_{h}}(A), d_{*}^{\omega_{h}}, D_{*}^{\omega_{h}}\right)$, which collapse in the first step since the homology of $\left(C_{*}^{S, \omega_{h}}(A), d_{*}^{\omega_{h}}\right)$ is concentrate in zero degree (it is also possible to give a direct argument that avoids any reference to spectral sequences). So, in order to finish the proof it remains to consider the case $h>1$ and $\omega_{h}^{n}=1$. By Theorems 2.6 and 3.6, the cyclic homology of the mixed complex $\left(C_{*}^{S, \omega_{h}}(A), d_{*}^{\omega_{h}}, D_{*}^{\omega_{h}}\right)$, is the homology of

where

- $X_{2 m}=\frac{K^{\omega_{h}}}{[K, K]_{\alpha_{h} m n}^{\omega_{n}}}$ and $X_{2 m+1}=\frac{K^{\omega_{h}}}{[K, K]_{\alpha}^{\omega_{h}}} x^{(m+1) n} x^{n-1}$,
- $D_{2 m+1}^{\omega_{h}}\left([\lambda] x^{n-1}\right)=(m+1)\left(1-\omega_{h}\right)[\lambda]$,
- $d_{2 m+1}^{\omega_{h}}\left([\lambda] x^{n-1}\right)=\left(\omega_{h}-1\right)\left[\lambda \lambda_{n}\right]$.

We first compute the homology in degree $2 m$. Let

$$
\iota: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{2 m} \oplus X_{2 m-2} \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{0}
$$

be the canonical inclusion. By using that each $D_{2 i+1}^{\omega_{h}}$ map is an isomorphism it is easy to see that $\iota$ induces an epimorphism

$$
i: X_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2 m}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)
$$

A direct computation shows now that the boundary of

$$
\left(\left[\zeta_{2 m+1}\right] x^{n-1}, \ldots,\left[\zeta_{1}\right] x^{n-1}\right) \in X_{2 m+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{1}
$$

equals $l([\lambda])$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\zeta_{2 i+1}\right]=\frac{i!}{m!}\left[\zeta_{2 m+1} \lambda_{n}^{m-i}\right] \text { for } 0 \leqslant i \leqslant m \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\frac{\omega_{h}-1}{m!}\left[\zeta_{2 m+1} \lambda_{n}^{m+1}\right]=[\lambda]$. The assertion about $\mathrm{HC}_{2 m}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)$ follows easily from these facts. We now are going to compute the homology in degree $2 m+1$. It is immediate that

$$
\left(\left[\zeta_{2 m+1}\right] x^{n-1}, \ldots,\left[\zeta_{1}\right] x^{n-1}\right) \in X_{2 m+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{1}
$$

is a cycle of degree $2 m+1$ if and only if it satisfies (4) and $\zeta_{2 m+1} \lambda_{n}^{m+1} \in[K, K]^{\omega_{h}}$. So the map

$$
\jmath: X_{2 m+1} \rightarrow X_{2 m+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{1}
$$

given by

$$
J([\lambda])=\left([\lambda] x^{n-1}, \frac{1}{m}\left[\lambda \lambda_{n}\right] x^{n-1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{m!}\left[\lambda \lambda_{m}^{n}\right] x^{n-1}\right),
$$

induce a quasi-isomorphism

$$
\bar{\jmath}: \frac{\left\{\lambda \in K^{\omega_{h}}: \lambda \lambda_{n}^{m+1} \in[K, K]^{\omega_{h}}\right\}}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1) n}}^{\omega_{h}}} x^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2 m+1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A),
$$

as desired.
Remark 3.8. Theorem 3.7 applies in particular to the monogenic extensions of finite group algebras $K=k[G]$ considered in Example 2.8. Note that since $K$ is a separable $k$-algebra, this computes the absolute cyclic homology, as follows easily from [G-S, Theorem 1.2] using the SBI-sequence.
3.2. Cyclic homology of rank 1 Hopf algebras

Let $k, G, \chi, g_{1}, \alpha$ and $A$ be as in Subsection 2.2. Here we compute the cyclic homology of $A$. Let $C_{n} \subseteq k$ be the set of all $n$th roots of 1 . As in the above mentioned subsection we consider three cases.
$\boldsymbol{\xi}=\mathbf{0}$. That is $A=K[x, \alpha] /\left\langle x^{n}\right\rangle$, where $K=k[G]$. Since $K$ is separable over $k$, from Theorem 3.7 it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HC}_{2 m}(A)=\frac{K}{[K, K]}, \\
& \mathrm{HC}_{2 m+1}(A)=\bigoplus_{\omega \in C_{n} \backslash\{1\}} \frac{K^{\omega}}{[K, K]_{\alpha^{(m+1) n}}^{\omega}} x^{n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\xi \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\chi^{\mathbf{n}}=\mathbf{1}$. In this case $A=K[x, \alpha] /\left\langle x^{n}-\xi\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right)\right\rangle$, where $K=k[G]$. Arguing as in Subsection 2.2, but using Theorem 3.7 instead of Corollary 2.7, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HC}_{2 m}(A)=\frac{K^{1}}{[K, K]^{1}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\omega \in C_{n} \backslash\{1\}} \frac{K^{\omega}}{[K, K]^{\omega}+K^{\omega}\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right)^{m+1}}, \\
& \mathrm{HC}_{2 m+1}(A)=\bigoplus_{\omega \in C_{n} \backslash\{1\}} \frac{\left\{\lambda \in K^{\omega}: \lambda\left(g_{1}^{n}-1\right)^{m+1} \in[K, K]^{\omega}\right\}}{[K, K]^{\omega}} x^{n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\xi \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\chi^{\mathbf{n}} \neq \mathbf{1}$. In this case $A=K[x, \widetilde{\alpha}] /\left\langle\chi^{n}\right\rangle$, where the algebra $K=k\left[G /\left\langle g_{1}^{n}\right\rangle\right]$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is the automorphism induced by $\alpha$. Since $K$ is separable over $k$, from Theorem 3.7 it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HC}_{2 m}(A)=\frac{K}{[K, K]} \\
& \mathrm{HC}_{2 m+1}(A)=\bigoplus_{\omega \in C_{n} \backslash\{1\}} \frac{K^{\omega}}{[K, K]_{\widetilde{\alpha}^{(m+1) n}}^{\omega}} x^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. The periodic and negative homology

Assume that $k$ is a characteristic zero field and that the hypothesis of Theorem 2.6 are satisfied. The aim of this section is to compute the periodic and negative homology of $A$ when $\alpha$ has finite order.

In the following remark we compute the maps of the SBI exact sequence of the mixed complex $\left(C_{*}^{S, \omega_{h}}(A), d_{*}^{\omega_{h}}, D_{*}^{\omega_{h}}\right)$ of Theorem 3.6. We will use the notations introduced above Theorem 3.7.

Remark 4.1. From the computations of Theorem 3.7 it follows that:
(1) If $h=1$ or $\omega_{h}^{n} \neq 1$, then the map

$$
S: \mathrm{HC}_{2 m+2}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2 m}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)
$$

is the identity map.
(2) If $h>1$ and $\omega_{h}^{n}=1$, then we have:
a. The map $S: \mathrm{HC}_{2 m+2}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2 m}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)$ is the canonical surjection.
b. The map $i: \mathrm{HH}_{2 m}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2 m}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)$ is given by

$$
i([\lambda])=\frac{1}{m!}\left[\lambda \lambda_{n}^{m}\right]
$$

c. The map $B: \mathrm{HC}_{2 m}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)$ is zero.
d. The map $S: \mathrm{HC}_{2 m+3}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2 m+1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)$ is given by

$$
S\left([\lambda] x^{n-1}\right)=\frac{1}{m+1}\left[\lambda \lambda_{n}\right] x^{n-1}
$$

e. The map $i: \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2 m+1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)$ is the canonical inclusion.
f. The map $B: \mathrm{HC}_{2 m+1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}_{2 m+2}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)$ is given by

$$
B\left([\lambda] x^{n-1}\right)=(m+1)\left(1-\omega_{h}\right)[\lambda] .
$$

Theorem 4.2. Assume the hypothesis of Theorem 2.6 are fulfilled and that there exists $m_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha^{m_{0}}=$ id. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{HP}_{0}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)= \begin{cases}\frac{K^{1}}{[K, K]^{1}} & \text { if } h=1, \\
\frac{K^{\omega_{h}}}{[K, K]^{\omega_{h}}+K^{\omega_{h}} \lambda_{n}} & \text { if } \omega_{h}^{n} \neq 1, \\
\frac{K^{\omega_{h}}}{\bigcap_{m \geqslant 0}\left([K, K]^{\omega_{h}}+K^{\omega_{h}} \lambda_{n}^{m+1}\right)} & \text { otherwise, }\end{cases} \\
& \operatorname{HP}_{1}^{K}(A)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover there exists a nonnegative integer $m_{1}$ such that

$$
\bigcap_{m \geqslant 0}\left([K, K]^{\omega_{h}}+K^{\omega_{h}} \lambda_{n}^{m+1}\right)=[K, K]^{\omega_{h}}+K^{\omega_{h}} \lambda_{n}^{m_{1}+j+1}
$$

for all $j \geqslant 0$.
Proof. We first compute $\mathrm{HP}_{0}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)$. By items (1) and (2a) of Remark 4.1, the sequence

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{HC}_{4}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{HC}_{2}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{HC}_{0}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)
$$

satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition. So,

$$
\mathrm{HP}_{0}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)={\underset{S}{\lim }}^{\mathrm{HC}_{2 m}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) .}
$$

If $h=1$ or $\omega_{h}^{n} \neq 1$, then by item (1) of Remark 4.1,

$$
\mathrm{HP}_{0}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)=\mathrm{HC}_{0}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)=\frac{K^{\omega_{h}}}{[K, K]^{\omega_{h}}+K^{\omega_{h}} \lambda_{n}} .
$$

If $h \neq 1$ and $\omega_{h}^{n}=1$, then by item (2a) of Remark 4.1,

$$
\mathrm{HP}_{0}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)=\frac{K^{\omega_{h}}}{\bigcap_{m \geqslant 0}\left([K, K]^{\omega_{h}}+K^{\omega_{h}} \lambda_{n}^{m+1}\right)}
$$

Moreover, since $K^{\omega_{h}}$ is a finite dimensional $k$-vector space, there exists a nonnegative integer $m_{1}$ such that

$$
\bigcap_{m \geqslant 0}\left([K, K]^{\omega_{h}}+K^{\omega_{h}} \lambda_{n}^{m+1}\right)=[K, K]^{\omega_{h}}+K^{\omega_{h}} \lambda_{n}^{m_{1}+j+1}
$$

for all $j \geqslant 0$. We now compute $\mathrm{HP}_{1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)$. Since $\mathrm{HC}_{2 n+1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)$ is a finite dimensional $k$-vector space, the sequence

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{HC}_{5}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{HC}_{3}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{HC}_{1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)
$$

satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition. Thus,

$$
\mathrm{HP}_{1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)={\underset{S}{\lim } \mathrm{HC}_{2 m+1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) . . . . .}^{\text {. }}
$$

If $h=1$ or $\omega_{h}^{n} \neq 1$, then by Theorem 3.7, we have $\operatorname{HP}_{1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)=0$. Assume now that $h \neq 1$ and $\omega_{h}^{n}=1$. By Theorem 3.7,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{HC}_{2 m_{0} m-1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)=\frac{\left\{\lambda \in K^{\omega_{h}}: \lambda \lambda_{n}^{m_{0} m} \in[K, K]^{\omega_{h}}\right\}}{[K, K]^{\omega_{h}}} x^{n-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again since $K^{\omega_{h}}$ is a finite dimensional $k$-vector space, there exists $m_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{HC}_{2 m_{0}\left(m_{2}+j\right)-1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)=\mathrm{HC}_{2 m_{0} m_{2}-1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \text { for all } j \geqslant 0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $m \geqslant m_{2}$ arbitrary. By item (2d) of Remark 4.1, the map

$$
S^{m_{0} m_{2}}: \mathrm{HC}_{2 m_{0}\left(m_{2}+m\right)-1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2 m_{0} m-1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A),
$$

is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{m_{0} m_{2}}\left([\lambda] x^{n-1}\right)=\frac{1}{m(m+1) \cdots\left(m+m_{2}-1\right)}\left[\lambda \lambda_{n}^{m_{0} m_{2}}\right] x^{n-1} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, by (5) and (6) with $j=m-m_{2}$,

$$
\mathrm{HC}_{2 m_{0} m-1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)=\frac{\left\{\lambda \in K^{\omega_{h}}: \lambda \lambda_{n}^{m_{0} m_{2}} \in[K, K]^{\omega_{h}}\right\}}{[K, K]^{\omega_{h}}} x^{n-1},
$$

using (7) we obtain that $S^{m_{0} m_{2}}\left([\lambda] x^{n-1}\right)=0$, and so

$$
\mathrm{HP}_{1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)=\underset{S}{\lim _{S}} \mathrm{HC}_{2 m_{0} m-1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)=0,
$$

as desired.
Theorem 4.3. Assume the hypothesis of Theorem 4.2 are fulfilled. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HN}_{2 m}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)= \begin{cases}\mathrm{HC}_{2 m-1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) & \text { if } h=1 \text { or } \omega_{h}^{n} \neq 1, \\
\mathrm{HC}_{2 m-1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \oplus L_{m} & \text { otherwise, }\end{cases} \\
& \mathrm{HN}_{2 m+1}^{K}(A)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
L_{m}=\frac{[K, K]^{\omega_{h}}+K^{\omega_{h}} \lambda_{n}^{m}}{\bigcap_{l \geqslant 0}\left([K, K]^{\omega_{h}}+K^{\omega_{h}} \lambda_{n}^{l+1}\right)} .
$$

Proof. Consider the canonical exact sequence

$$
\mathrm{HP}_{0}^{K}(A) \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{HC}_{2 m}^{K}(A) \xrightarrow{B} \mathrm{HN}_{2 m+1}^{K}(A) \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{HP}_{1}^{K}(A) .
$$

Since $\operatorname{HP}_{1}^{K}(A)=0$ and $S$ is an epimorphism, $\mathrm{HN}_{2 m+1}^{K}(A)=0$. Now, for each $\omega_{h}$ consider the exact sequence

$$
\mathrm{HP}_{1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{HC}_{2 m-1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \xrightarrow{B} \mathrm{HN}_{2 m}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{HP}_{0}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{HC}_{2 m-2}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) .
$$

Since $\mathrm{HP}_{1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)=0$, we have

$$
\mathrm{HN}_{2 m}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \simeq \mathrm{HC}_{2 m-1}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \oplus \operatorname{ker}\left(S: \mathrm{HP}_{0}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2 m-2}^{K, \omega_{h}}(A)\right) .
$$

The theorem follows now from Theorems 3.7 and 4.2.
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