A classless society is one where no social group exploits or oppresses another, i.e. where there are no social classes and therefore no class privileges or burdens. However, there are at least two ways in which such a society can be imagined or designed: with and without differences in status or authority. Most socialists are willing to put up with some differences in status deriving from the possession of special skills and the corresponding responsibilities -e.g. the status of the teacher is higher than that of his students at least inside the classroom. A few, such as Bakunin, Kropotkin and, nowadays, Nielsen (1985), advocate a statusless society where strict economic and political equality prevails. It must be objected that this is not only utopian: it is not even desirable, because if we wish the various social units so work efficiently

in the interest of all their members involves some authority. So, between radcal egalitarianism (or anarchy) and authoritarianism we must interpolate qualified egalitarianism, a regime under which the best qualified exert an authority guided and checked by the remaining members of the group, but sufficient to carry out the duties attached to the job. Such people in positions of authority, whether political, managerial, or intellectual, would belong to an élite but not to a higher class, because (a) their authority would be under constant challenge, and (b) the exercise of such authority would not be rewarded by privileges.