

TO EACH ACCORDING TO HIS NEEDS & BENEFIT, FROM EACH ACCORDING TO HIS ABILITIES

Share of person x in r society $C =$ Basic income making ^{the} basic needs of x and x 's dependants
 + ^{person's} extra contribution of x to the public good of C

$$\therefore S(x, \sigma) = B(x, \sigma) + C(x, \sigma) \quad (1)$$

In the case of an able-bodied individual x in a society σ where everybody has the right to work, ~~basic~~ ^{basic} paying employment, $B(x, \sigma) =$ basic living salary x # of dependants unable to work. In the case of a welfare state, ~~basic~~ ^{basic} C is an un-

employed individual x in a welfare state σ , $B(x, \sigma) =$ Unemployment compensation. It is a non-welfare state, $B(x, \sigma) = 0$ for ~~any~~ unemployed.

The above formula for the 'first' component of the free-form complex constitutes

3 viewpoints: those focusing on need, assets, and need inclusion. It differs from the

conventional formula 'to each according to his needs, from each according to his abilities'.

in (a) it makes no room for parents ('free-loaders'), and (b) it ~~encourages~~ incentivizes work done above the to meet more than the basic needs.

However, formula (1) could lead to extreme inequitarianism: see Fig. (a).



Fig. (a)



(b)

Fig. 1. Two divisions of the total pie: (a) ~~with~~ radical meritocracy involves large inequalities. (b) Moderate meritocracy involves bounded inequalities.

We must therefore supplement (1) with a restriction on the amount of goods to be awarded for extraordinary service, e. g.

$$\overline{S} = \overline{B} \quad C(x, \sigma) = 2 B(x, \sigma).$$